arXiv:hep-th/0305081v3 25 Dec 2003

hep-th/0305081
CPHT-RR019.0503
LPTHE-03-14

String interactions in gravitational wave backgrounds

Giuseppe D'Appollonio

LPTHE, , Universités Paris VI et VII, 4 pl Jussieu,
75252 Paris cedex 05, FRANCE
E-mail: `giuseppe@lpthe.jussieu.fr`

Elias Kiritsis

CPHT, UMR du CNRS 7644, Ecole Polytechnique,
91128, Palaiseau, FRANCE
and
Department of Physics, University of Crete
71003 Heraklion, GREECE
E-mail: `kiritsis@cpht.polytechnique.fr`

Abstract: The non-compact CFT of a class of NS-supported pp-wave backgrounds is solved exactly. The associated tree-level covariant string scattering amplitudes are calculated. The S-matrix elements are well-defined, dual but not analytic as a function of p^+. They have poles corresponding to physical intermediate states with $p^+ \neq 0$ and logarithmic branch cuts due to on-shell exchange of spectral-flow images of $p^+ = 0$ states. When $\mu \to 0$ a smooth flat space limit is obtained. The $\mu \to \infty$ limit, unlike the case of RR-supported pp-waves, gives again a flat space theory.

Contents

1. Introduction **2**

2. The Penrose Limit **9**
- 2.1 Current algebra 10
- 2.2 The σ-model view point 14
- 2.3 $(\text{Current})^2$ deformations of NW pp-waves. 17

3. Current algebra representation theory **18**
- 3.1 Spectral flow 21
- 3.2 The quasi-free-field resolution 24

4. Three-point couplings and the operator product expansion **26**

5. Four-point correlators **31**
- 5.1 $< + + + - >$ correlators 32
- 5.2 $< + - + - >$ correlators 34
- 5.3 $< + + - \ 0 >$ correlators 37
- 5.4 $< + - \ 0 \ 0 >$ correlators 38

6. Transformations of the conformal blocks **40**

7. Null vectors **42**

8. Correlators between spectral-flowed states **45**
- 8.1 Spectral-flowed states as intermediate states 45
- 8.2 Correlators with spectral-flowed states as external states 47

9. String amplitudes **49**
- 9.1 Three-point amplitudes 51
- 9.2 The superstring theory 51
- 9.3 Four-point amplitudes 56

10. The flat space limit **60**

11. Comparison with light-cone quantization **62**

12. Generalizations **64**

13. Holography **66**

14. Conclusions **67**

A. The SU(2)$_k$ CFT and its Penrose limit **71**
A.1 SU(2)$_k$ CFT 71
A.2 The asymptotics of the P-function 74
A.3 The Penrose limit of the classical Clebsch-Gordan coefficients 76
A.4 Penrose limit of the quantum structure constants 77
A.5 Penrose limits of the four-point correlators 78
A.6 Spectral flow 79
A.7 Penrose contraction of characters 80

B. Calculation of general twist-field correlators **82**

C. Four-point amplitudes **84**
C.1 $< + + + - >$ correlators 84
C.2 $< + - + - >$ correlators 86
C.3 $< + + - \ 0 >$ correlators 89
C.4 $< + - \ 0 \ 0 >$ correlators 89

D. Deformations of the Nappi-Witten model **91**

1. Introduction

Plane gravitational wave backgrounds have been studied for a while in the context of string theory. The original interest in these backgrounds was motivated by the observation that, although the underlying CFT is not known in general, the σ-model can be shown to be conformally invariant to all orders in the α'-expansion [1].

Further interest was sparked with the discovery [2] that there are WZW models that describe such pp-wave backgrounds, where the Killing symmetries give rise to current algebra on the two-dimensional world-sheet. This approach produced a list of WZW and coset conformal theories with pp-wave character [3, 4, 5, 6].

The existence of the current algebra was used in [3] to organize the operator algebra of the Nappi-Witten (NW) WZW model, compute the exact spectrum, find a quasi-free-field resolution, and compute the partition function (really the vacuum energy) [4] which turns out to be equal to that of flat space[1]. Moreover, pp-waves with a partially compact light-cone were analyzed and the partition functions computed [8]. A characteristic feature of the NW background was observed, namely that there is an upper limit in p^+ due to stringy effects. This was visible directly in the quasi-free-field resolution [3] and emerged as a unitarity bound in the associated string theory [9]. The world-sheet and space-time supersymmetry of the NW background was analyzed and shown to be half of the maximal supersymmetry (compared to that of flat space) [4] and it was also pointed out that such pp-wave CFTs are T-dual (semiclassically) to flat space [3].

---

[1] For recent work on this see [7].

Scattering amplitudes remained for a while out of reach. An exception was the $p^+ = 0$ sector of these theories that was shown to be similar to that of flat space-time [3].

Renewed interest in pp-wave backgrounds emerged after the observation that, in the context of gravity/gauge-theory correspondence, they are dual to alternative large-N limits of the gauge theory. In the prototype example of N=4 super Yang-Mills theory, this corresponds to taking the limit $N \to \infty$ together with $J \to \infty$ (where J is a U(1) charge of the SO(6) R-symmetry) keeping the ratio N/J^2 fixed. The associated limit of the dual gravitational background is the Penrose limit of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background studied recently [10] where geodesics spinning around S^5 were blown-up.

This observation provided with a new laboratory for the study of AdS/CFT correspondence and holography in a context where the dual string theory may be exactly solvable. Indeed, the exact light-cone spectrum of the associated Green-Schwarz σ-model could be computed [11] and it was argued that it matches the one obtained from super-YM theory[12]. This conjecture was further sharpened [13] and today it stands on a firm footing despite complications associated with higher order mixing of the leading set of string-like states in the gauge theory.

The gauge-theory/gravity correspondence involves backgrounds containing non-trivial RR fields, and the only known string description today is the light-cone Green Schwarz formalism. Despite the simplicity of the light-cone sigma model for the Penrose limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$, (a free theory of massive two-dimensional fields), it turns out that the calculation of non-trivial light-cone scattering amplitudes seems formidable, and there is no consensus yet on the details of the three-string vertex[14].

There are other cases of similar holographic correspondences. The most interesting involves the correspondence of the Little String Theory (LST) to string theory on the near horizon region of NS5-branes [15], involving the $SU(2)_k$ CFT together with a Liouville direction as well as six flat longitudinal directions. The Penrose limit of this background, corresponding to blowing-up a geodesic spinning around S^3 was shown to be the NW background tensored with six flat non-compact coordinates [16, 17]. Such limits were considered earlier in the context of CFT in order to produce pp-wave backgrounds [6, 38, 39]. Other NS-supported pp-wave backgrounds corresponding to WZW models are Penrose limits of several intersecting NS5 and F1 configurations [17, 18].

The detailed formulation of holography in pp-wave backgrounds has proven not to be straightforward. There are several proposals in the literature [19, 17]. that share common points but also have differences. These reflect the position of the holographic screen (the analogue of the space-time boundary in AdS/CFT) as well as the nature of the "boundary" observables.

In [17] it was argued that the S-matrix elements (defined in a holographic way) are the observables of the bulk theory. This is the only natural set of on-shell bulk observables in any theory, and, as it was argued semiclassically in [17] and will be shown rigorously in this paper, they exist and are calculable on the string theory side. S-matrix elements in a pp-wave metric can be defined in terms of the evolution in light-cone time [17]. There are several bases for writing the S-matrix elements. In the oscillator basis, they are functions of p^+ and they are infinite dimensional matrices indicating that the "boundary theory", is an infinite-N matrix model with p^+ as a parameter. One could Fourier transform the p^+ dependence and introduce a null coordinate in the matrix theory. In a basis that diagonalizes the raising operators of the Heisenberg symmetry, they involve transverse continuous coordinates x^i, [17].

An alternative organisation of the S-matrix amplitudes (that will be used in this paper and that matches the one mostly used in [17]) is to introduce auxiliary charge variables. These will transmute into coordinates of the holographic dual as in the case of the AdS$_3$/CFT correspondence [20]. Beyond the spectrum of string excitations in pp-wave backgrounds the nature of "boundary observables" is still open.

In this paper, we deal with the calculation of tree-level scattering amplitudes in the pp-wave background obtained from the Penrose limit of LST theory. This involves the NW WZW model and six flat extra coordinates. The are several reasons for considering this particular background:

(i) It is supported by NS-flux and thus has a conventional CFT description as a WZW model for a non-compact and non semisimple group, the Heisenberg group H_4. Consequently, we can quantize the system covariantly and use the full machinery of CFT. A bonus is that the $p^+ = 0$ sector, invisible in light-cone quantization, will be present here. There are also direct generalizations of this model with several non-trivial transverse planes and our techniques will be valid in the general case.

(ii) It seems to contain all the important ingredients of similar RR-supported backgrounds and more. In fact, in NS-supported pp-wave backgrounds, we always have spectral flow and a related extension of the spectrum of fundamental strings that does not exist in the analogous RR-supported backgrounds.

(iii) The most important part of the parent theory (LST) surviving the Penrose limit, namely the $SU(2)_k$ CFT, is exactly solvable. The Penrose limit sends the level $k \to \infty$ with an appropriate scaling of the spectrum. The troublesome part of the parent theory, the linear dilaton, disappears in the Penrose limit. Thus, we are able to compute directly the Penrose limit of several LST data and this will be a non-trivial independent check on our calculations. Another important factor here is that we can follow, if we wish, the approach to the Penrose limit at large k, and establish a well defined perturbation theory in $1/k$.

We should mention, that the two different classes of $SU(2)_k$ states that will be relevant for our analysis, have been noted before, [22] in a seemingly unrelated construction of unitary representations of the W_∞ algebra from large-k limits of the $SU(2)_k$ (really the parafermion) theory. The two classes of states correspond to states with $l \sim \mathcal{O}(k)$ (that here correspond to the $V^\pm$ sector, i.e. states with non-zero p^+) and states with $l \sim \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})$ (corresponding to the V^0 sector, i.e. states with $p^+ = 0$). It was also observed that states belonging to the second class have a free-field operator algebra, a result that we will confirm here.

(iv) As shown in [3], the Heisenberg current algebra of the NW pp-wave has a quasi-free-field realization. This provides with an alternative method to compute the scattering amplitudes which will be extremely useful. Moreover, according to the free-field realization, primary fields of the H_4 current algebra can be represented as orbifold twist fields and therefore the correlators we will compute in this paper can be considered as generating functions for correlators of arbitrary-excited twist fields.

We should also stress that the NW CFT is an excellent laboratory to study the ramifications of non-compact curved CFTs. Substantial progress has been made already in the SL(2,R) case [25, 26, 27], but several questions there, remain unanswered. The NW CFT shares all nontrivial features of the SL(2,R) CFT and as we show in this paper, is completely solvable, and all S-matrix elements regular and computable. Moreover, it is one in a larger class of non-compact CFTs that can be handled with the present techniques.

We will have three different techniques at our disposal in order to calculate the NW CFT correlators and eventually the string theory S-matrix elements:

(A) Abstract current algebra techniques, namely solving Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations and imposing monodromy and crossing symmetry on correlators. This approach has the advantage, via the introduction of the usual auxiliary charge coordinates, that it keeps track of the structure of the whole Heisenberg algebra (infinite dimensional) representations. However, the equations to be solved for the four-point correlators are partial differential equations in two variables, and additional boundary conditions are needed.

(B) The quasi-free-field realization. Here the computations of the correlators are straightforward by a slight generalization of the techniques of [23]. However, obtaining the full infinite-dimensional set of four-point correlators is cumbersome in this approach.

It turns out that (A) and (B), used in conjunction, provides an unambiguous and complete set of rules that will enable us to calculate exactly all three-point and four-point correlators of the NW WZW model. Actually we will solve the KZ equation and present explicit expressions for the conformal blocks. Then, we can use the third method as an independent check on our results.

(C) The direct Penrose (large-k) limit of the $SU(2)_k$ correlators, first computed in [24]. The advantage of this approach is obvious. There are two difficulties however: the limiting amplitudes have divergent normalizations (that reflect the presence of continuous momentum-conserving δ-functions) and the four-point correlators which in the limit have an infinite number of blocks are very hard to deal with.

Our findings can be described as follows:

There are two basic sets of primary operators, corresponding to the standard Heisenberg current algebra representations [3]:

- The "discrete-like" $V^{\pm}$ sector involving operators with $0 < |p^+| < 1$. The superscript $\pm$ indicates positive or negative p^+. V^+ is conjugate to V^-. $V^{\pm}$ are organized into semi-infinite lowest-weight or highest-weight representations of the Heisenberg algebra. The transverse plane spectrum of such representations is discrete in agreement with the fact that in this sector there is an effective harmonic oscillator potential confining them around the center of the plane.

The cutoff $p^+ = 1$ is stringy in origin and descents from the $l \leq \frac{k}{2}$ cutoff of the parent $SU(2)_k$ theory. It is also a unitarity cutoff: standard current algebra representations with $p^+ > 1$ will contain physical negative-norm states in the associated string theory [9].

- The "continuous-like" V^0 sector involves operators with $p^+ = 0$. The transverse-plane spectrum of such operators is continuous in agreement with the fact that there is no potential for such operators. The interactions among states in this sector are similar to the flat space theory.

We will recover arbitrary values of p^+ using the spectral flow that shifts p^+ by integers [3, 17]. The spectral-flowed current algebra representations have L_0 eigenvalues not bounded from below. Such representations correspond to states deep-down the $SU(2)_k$ current algebra representations, related to the top states by the action of the affine Weyl group of $\widehat{SU(2)}$. They are crucial for the closure of the full operator algebra and the consistency of interactions, as they are in the parent $SU(2)_k$ theory. Their semiclassical picture involves long-strings [17], much like the AdS$_3$ case [25]. At $p^+ = 1$, the harmonic oscillator potential fails to squeeze the string since it is compensated by the NS-antisymmetric tensor background. Such long strings generate a sequence of new ground-states that are related to the current algebra ground states by the spectral flow [17].

There are three types of three-point functions: $\langle V^+V^+V^-\rangle$, $\langle V^+V^-V^0\rangle$, $\langle V^0V^0V^0\rangle$ and their conjugates. The first two have quantum structure constants that are non-trivial functions of the p_i^+ of $V^{\pm}$ and $\vec{p}$ of V^0.

The third is the same as in flat space (with $p^+ = 0$) since the V^0 operators are standard free vertex operators.

There are several types of four-point functions among the $V^{\pm,0}$ operators:

- $\langle V^+(p_1^+)V^+(p_2^+)V^+(p_3^+)V^-(-p_1^+ - p_2^+ - p_3^+)\rangle$ and their conjugates. Such correlators factorize on $V^{\pm}$ representations and have a finite number of conformal blocks.

They are given by powers of ${}_2F_1$-hypergeometric functions.

- $\langle V^+(p_1^+)V^+(p_2^+)V^-(-p_3^+)V^-(p_3^+ - p_1^+ - p_2^+)\rangle$. These correlators factorize on $V^\pm$ representations and their spectral-flowed images for generic values of p_i^+. They have an infinite number of conformal blocks and they are given by exponentials of hypergeometric functions. For special values of the momenta, for example $p_1^+ = p_3^+$, they factorize onto the V^0 representations and their spectral-flowed images. In this case, they develop logarithmic behavior in the cross-ratio which signals the presence of the continuum of intermediate operators. It should be noted that the logarithmic behavior here does not indicate that we are dealing with a logarithmic CFT (argued to describe cosets of pp-wave nature [28]) but rather signals the presence of a continuum of intermediate states.

- $\langle V^+(p_1^+)V^+(p_2^+)V^-(-p_1^+ - p_2^+)V^0(\vec{p})\rangle$. Such correlators have an infinite number of conformal blocks. They factorize on $V^\pm$ representations, and they are given in terms of the ${}_3F_2$-hypergeometric functions.

- $\langle V^+(p^+)V^-(-p^+)V^0(\vec{p}_1)V^0(\vec{p}_2)\rangle$. These correlators have an infinite number of conformal blocks. They factorize on $V^\pm$ or V^0 representations according to the channel.

- $\langle V^0(\vec{p}_1)V^0(\vec{p}_2)V^0(\vec{p}_3)V^0(-\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3)\rangle$. These correlators have a single conformal block and are the same as in flat space.

Starting from the solution of the NW CFT, we can calculate the three-point and the four-point S-matrix elements of the associated (super)string theory on this background. They have the following salient features:

- The S-matrix elements exist, i.e. they are free of spurious singularities.

- They are `non-analytic` as functions of the external p^+ momenta.

- They are "dual", i.e. crossing symmetric as in string theory in flat space.

- The four-point S-matrix elements have two types of singularities: Poles associated to the propagation of intermediate physical states with $p^+ \neq 0$, as well as logarithmic branch cuts signaling the propagation of a continuum of intermediate physical states which are spectral flow images of $p^+ = 0$ states. Such branch cuts are very much similar to those appearing in field theory S-matrix elements with massless on-shell intermediate states. However, because of momentum conservation such branch cuts can appear only in loops in field theory, while here they already appear at tree-level.

- The states corresponding to $p^+ = 0$ do not give rise to physical states except when there is a tachyon in the spectrum. This would be the case in a bosonic

NW$\times R^{22}$ string theory, but not in the superstring NW$\times R^{6}$ corresponding to the Penrose limit of LST. However, spectral-flowed V^0 states with $p^+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ integer, can be physical both in the massless (i.e. level-zero) sector as well as in massive sectors.

- The S-matrix elements we compute are naturally functions of the auxiliary charges variables $x, \bar{x}$, used to keep track of the Heisenberg symmetry of the pp-wave, as well as of p^+. In analogy with AdS_3, we can identify these variables as auxiliary coordinates of the holographic dual. Introducing also x^-, the Fourier conjugate of p^+, we obtain "boundary" coordinates that match the holographic setup of [17].

- The corresponding light-cone quantization [9] of this theory can be compared with the covariant quantization employed in this paper. In the light-cone gauge one obtains the same spectrum for $p^+ \neq 0$. The $p^+ = 0$ states are not accessible in the light-cone gauge. The presence and structure of the spectral flow is also visible in the light-cone gauge, but again, `not` the spectral flow images of the $p^+ = 0$ sector.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we discuss the Penrose limit of NS5-branes both at the σ-model level and at the CFT (current algebra) level. We also present semiclassical expressions for the string vertex operators in this background and discuss marginal deformations of the theory generated by current-current perturbations, which result in backgrounds that may or may not be in the pp-wave family. In section 3 we describe the representation theory of the H_4 current algebra, as well as its free-field resolution. In section 4 we present the three-point correlation functions and the associated operator product expansions. In section 5 we solve the KZ equation for the various classes of correlators and give explicit expressions for the conformal blocks and the four-point correlators. In section 6 we describe the transformation properties of the four-point conformal blocks , relevant for the monodromy invariance of the four-point amplitudes. In section 7 we describe the non-trivial affine null vectors of the H_4 current algebra and the associated null-vector equations and we use them to study three-point couplings involving spectral flowed states. In section 8 we describe the computation of correlators of spectral-flowed operators of the theory. In section 9 we analyze the structure of string S-matrix elements obtained upon integration of the CFT correlators. In section 10, we describe the flat space limit of the theory. In section 11, the light-cone quantization is described and the two approaches compared. In section 12, we describe generalized backgrounds that can be solved by our methods, with qualitatively similar physics. In section 13 we comment on the relevance of our results for the putative holographic description of these backgrounds. Finally section 14 contains our conclusions and an outlook.

In appendix A we present in detail the Penrose limit of $SU(2)_k$ correlators and other data, and confirm where such a limit is possible, the results presented in the main body of the paper, obtained by other methods. In appendix B we review the calculation of arbitrary four-point correlators of twist fields. In appendix C we present the details of the calculation of CFT four-point amplitudes. In appendix D we derive in more details the marginal deformations of the NW pp-wave.

2. The Penrose Limit

The Nappi-Witten model is a WZW model based on a non-semisimple group, namely the Heisenberg group H_4 defined by the following commutation relations

$$[P^+, P^-] = -2iK \ , \qquad [J, P^\pm] = \mp iP^\pm \ . \tag{2.0.1}$$

The background metric and antisymmetric tensor field entering the world-sheet σ-model

$$ds^2 = -2dudv - \frac{\mu^2\rho^2}{4}du^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\varphi^2 \ , \qquad B_{\varphi u} = \frac{\mu\rho^2}{2} \ , \tag{2.0.2}$$

describe a gravitational wave. In this section we study this model from two different points of view. From the σ-model perspective, the gravitational wave in (2.0.2) can be considered as the Penrose limit of some curved space-time. There are various possible choices but we will concentrate on a very simple one, a WZW model of the form $U(1)_{\text{time}} \times SU(2)_k$. Similarly, from an algebraic point of view, the affine algebra of the H_4 WZW model can be considered as a contraction of the $U(1)_{\text{time}} \times SU(2)_k$ WZW model. In the contraction, the level k is sent to infinity and the quantum number of the states scaled in such a way that the representations of the original algebra organize themselves in representations of the contracted one. The two points of view are closely related.

We will first consider the Penrose limit of the near horizon geometry of $k = N-2$ NS5-branes, blowing-up a null geodesic spinning along the sphere S^3. The metric, antisymmetric tensor and dilaton profiles are [29]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + dr^2 + k(d\psi^2\cos^2\theta + d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) + (dx^i)^2 &(2.0.3)\\ H_{\psi\theta\phi} &= \cos\theta\sin\theta &(2.0.4)\\ g_s^2 &= e^{-2r/\sqrt{k}} &(2.0.5)\end{aligned}$$

and are described by the exact CFT $R^6 \times SU(2)_k \times R_Q$ where R_Q represents the Liouville 1-d CFT corresponding to the radial coordinate.

In order to perform the Penrose limit we define

$$\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}} + \psi = u \ , \qquad \frac{t}{\sqrt{k}} - \psi = 2\lambda^2 v \ , \qquad \theta = \lambda\rho \ . \tag{2.0.6}$$

In the limit $\lambda \to 0$ keeping $\lambda^2 k = 1$ we obtain

$$ds^2 = -2dudv - \frac{\rho^2}{4}du^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2 + (dy^i)^2 \ . \tag{2.0.7}$$

The dilaton gradient disappears in this limit. We have obtained the Nappi-Witten geometry times a six-dimensional flat Euclidean space [16, 17].

2.1 Current algebra

Here, we discuss the Penrose limit from the point of view of the world-sheet CFT. As shown in [6], WZW models based on non-compact and non-semi-simple groups can be obtained by the contraction of a current algebra of the form $G \times H$ where G is a simple group and H is a compact subgroup of G. The contraction can be formulated as a large-level limit, whose semiclassical nature reflects itself in the integral value of the central charge which coincides with the number of space-time dimensions. As remarked in [28], if one performs a similar contraction starting with a current algebra given by the product of a coset model and a free boson, the final result is a logarithmic CFT.

We now discuss in some detail how the Nappi-Witten wave arises as a limit of an $U(1)_{\text{time}} \times SU(2)_k$ WZW model, paying particular attention to the relation between the quantum numbers labeling the representations of the two CFTs, since we shall use them in the study of the limit of the three-point couplings of $SU(2)_k$, presented in detail in Appendix A. The original current algebra is given by

$$J^a(z)J^b(0) = \frac{k}{2}\frac{\delta^{ab}}{z^2} + i\epsilon^{abc}\frac{J^c(0)}{z} + \text{RT} \ , \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$J^0(z)J^0(0) = -\frac{k}{2}\frac{1}{z^2} + \text{RT} \ , \tag{2.1.2}$$

where here and in the following RT stands for additional terms that are regular in the limit $z \to 0$. If we define the raising and lowering operators as $J^{\pm} = (J^1 \pm iJ^2)$ then

$$J^+(z)J^-(0) = \frac{k}{z^2} + \frac{2J^3}{z} + \text{RT} \ , \quad J^3(z)J^{\pm}(0) = \pm\frac{J^{\pm}}{z} + \text{RT} \ . \tag{2.1.3}$$

On the other hand, the H_4 current algebra of the Nappi-Witten model reads

$$\begin{aligned} P^+(z)P^-(w) &\sim \frac{2}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{2iK(w)}{z-w} + \text{RT} \ , \\ J(z)P^{\pm}(w) &\sim \mp i\frac{P^{\pm}(w)}{z-w} + \text{RT} \ , \\ J(z)K(w) &\sim \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \text{RT} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.4}$$

The most general contraction of $U(1) \times SU(2)_k \to H_4$ performed by taking $k \to \infty$ involves, up to changing the signs of the charges, a real parameter b. The relations between the currents of the two models are

$$K(z) = \frac{i}{k}\left[(2-b)J^0(z) + bJ^3(z)\right] \ , \quad J(z) = i(J^0(z) - J^3(z)) \ , \quad P^{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}J^{\pm} \ . \tag{2.1.5}$$

The limit $b \to \infty$ is singular as K and J coincide. Another special choice for the parameter b is $b = 0$ where the structure of the representations of $SU(2)$ remains intact.

Before investigating how the limit connects the highest-weight representations (hwr) of the two CFTs, we recall the structure of the H_4 affine algebra. The commutation relations, following from the OPE in (2.1.4) are

$$[P^+_n, P^-_m] = 2n\ \delta_{n+m,0} - 2iK_{n+m}\ , \qquad [J_n, P^\pm_m] = \mp i P^\pm_{n+m}\ , \qquad [J_n, K_m] = n\ \delta_{n+m,0}\ . \tag{2.1.6}$$

The horizontal subalgebra generated by the zero modes is

$$[P^+, P^-] = -2iK\ , \qquad [J, P^\pm] = \mp i P^\pm\ . \tag{2.1.7}$$

There are two independent Casimir operators. One is simply the central element of the algebra, K, while the other is given by the combination

$$C = \frac{1}{2}(P^+P^- + P^-P^+) + 2JK\ . \tag{2.1.8}$$

Eigenstates of J and K are defined according to

$$K|p,j>= ip|p,j>\ , \qquad J|p,j>= ij|p,j>\ , \tag{2.1.9}$$

(the J and K generators are anti-hermitian, while $(P^+)^\dagger = P^-$). Since

$$JP^\pm|p,j>= i(j\mp 1)P^\pm|p,j>\ , \tag{2.1.10}$$

P^+ lowers and P^- raises the J eigenvalue of a state.

The H_4 group has three types of unitary representations:

- $V^+_{p,\hat\jmath}$ representations [2]. These are lowest-weight representations, generated by acting with P^- on a state $|p,\hat\jmath>_+$ satisfying $P^+|p,\hat\jmath>_+= 0$. For these representations, unitarity implies $p > 0$, the spectrum of J is given by $\{\hat\jmath + n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $C = -2p(\hat\jmath - \frac{1}{2})$. Moreover, we have

  $$P^+|p,\hat\jmath{+}n>= \sqrt{2pn}|p,\hat\jmath{+}n{-}1>\quad ,\quad P^-|p,\hat\jmath{+}n>= \sqrt{2p(n+1)}|p,\hat\jmath{+}n{+}1> \tag{2.1.11}$$

- $V^-_{|p|,\hat\jmath}$ representations. These are highest-weight representations, generated acting with P^+ on a state $|p,\hat\jmath>_-$ which satisfies $P^-|p,\hat\jmath>_-= 0$. For such representations $p < 0$, the spectrum of J is given by $\{\hat\jmath - n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $C = -2p(\hat\jmath + \frac{1}{2})$. As before, we have

  $$P^+|p,\hat\jmath{-}n>= \sqrt{-2p(n+1)}|p,\hat\jmath{-}n{-}1>\quad ,\quad P^-|p,\hat\jmath{-}n>= \sqrt{-2pn}|p,\hat\jmath{-}n{+}1>\ . \tag{2.1.12}$$

  Note that the representation $V^-_{p,-\hat\jmath}$ is the representation conjugate to $V^+_{p,\hat\jmath}$.

---

[2] In reference [3] V^0, V^+, V^- representations were called type I, II and III respectively

- $V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations. Such representations have $p = 0$ and do not contain neither a lowest nor a highest-weight state. The spectrum of J is given by $\{\hat{\jmath} + n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\hat{\jmath} \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ and $C = s^2$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Finally,

$$P^{\pm}|s, \hat{\jmath} + n >= s|s, \hat{\jmath} + n \mp 1 > \quad . \tag{2.1.13}$$

The irreducible representations of the current algebra are, as usual, built on the infinite dimensional unitary representations of the zero-mode algebra. The associated "level-zero" states satisfy

$$J^a_{n>0}|R_i\rangle = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.14}$$

They are generated from the vacuum by the affine-primary fields

$$J^a(z)R_i(w) = T^a_{ij}\frac{R_j(w)}{z - w} + \mathrm{RT} \ . \tag{2.1.15}$$

Since the group is not semi-simple, the standard quadratic form is degenerate and we can not use it to express the stress energy tensor in the usual Sugawara form. It is however still possible to introduce a non-degenerate metric [2] and to represent the stress energy tensor as a bilinear combination of the currents

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(P^+P^- + P^-P^+\right) + 2JK + K^2\right] \ . \tag{2.1.16}$$

As is common when dealing with non-compact groups, an indefinite metric appears in the operator algebra and the Hilbert space created by acting with the modes of the currents contains negative-norm states. If we want that the H_4 WZW model, considered as part of a string theory background, leads to a spectrum of physical string states free of ghosts, we have to consider representations of the H_4 current algebra whose base is a unitary representations of the global H_4 algebra, described before. We start then with three sets of affine representations: those associated with $V^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations, whose conformal dimension is

$$h_{p,\hat{\jmath}} = \frac{|p|}{2}(1 - |p|) - p\hat{\jmath} \ , \qquad\qquad p \neq 0 \ , \tag{2.1.17}$$

and those associated with $V^0_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations, whose conformal dimension is

$$h_{s,\hat{\jmath}} = \frac{s^2}{2} \ . \tag{2.1.18}$$

The absence of negative-norm states imposes a further restriction on $V^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations, namely $p < 1$ [9]. As we will discuss in more detail later, states with $p = 1$ are null states. Arbitrary real values for the momentum p are recovered including other representations of the current algebra, that are not highest-weight, as spectral-flowed images of the usual representations. We will discuss them in more detail in the next section.

We can now follow how the H_4 affine representations arise as limits of the $U(1)_{\text{time}} \times SU(2)_k$ representations. First, the limit of the Sugawara stress tensor of the original CFT gives:

$$T = -\frac{1}{k} : J^0 J^0 : + \frac{: J^a J^a :}{k+2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(P^+P^- + P^-P^+\right) + 2JK + K^2\right] \ , \qquad (2.1.19)$$

which coincides with (2.1.16). It is interesting to note that the last term comes from the one-loop correction in the $SU(2)_k$ σ-model. This is an explanation of an earlier observation [2] that the last term indeed seemed as a one-loop term.

We introduce in the standard way the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ quantum numbers

$$J_0^0|q,l,m\rangle = q|q,l,m\rangle \ , \qquad J_0^3|q,l,m\rangle = m|q,l,m\rangle \ , \qquad (2.1.20)$$

and use the relations between the currents displayed in (2.1.5) to derive the following relations between the charges (in the limit $k \to \infty$, p and j, as well as the corresponding charges for the anti-holomorphic sector, are kept fixed)

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(kp + bj) \ , \qquad l = \frac{1}{2}\left(k|p| + \frac{p}{|p|}(b-2)\jmath\right) \ , \qquad m = \frac{1}{2}(kp + (b-2)j) \ . \qquad (2.1.21)$$

For generic b the eigenvalues of L_0 on the primary states are

$$h = -\frac{q^2}{k} + \frac{l(l+1)}{k+2} \rightarrow -\frac{b}{2}p(j - \hat{\jmath}) - |p|\hat{\jmath} + \frac{1}{2}|p|(1-|p|) \ , \qquad (2.1.22)$$

and it is evident that the H_4 and the SU(2) representations do not coincide. Only the $b = 0$ contraction preserves the structure of SU(2) representations and from now on we will focus on this case.

In the limit $k \to \infty$, the states that are sitting at the top of an $SU(2)$ representation give rise to a lowest-weight representation of H_4, while the states sitting at the bottom of an $SU(2)$ representation give rise to a highest-weight representation of H_4. More precisely, $V^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations result from states characterized by

$$l = \frac{1}{2}(kp - 2\hat{\jmath}) \ , \qquad m = \frac{1}{2}(kp - 2(\hat{\jmath} + n)) \ , \qquad p > 0 \ , \qquad (2.1.23)$$

while $V^-_{|p|,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations result from states characterized by

$$l = \frac{1}{2}(k|p| + 2\hat{\jmath}) \ , \qquad m = \frac{1}{2}(kp - 2(\hat{\jmath} - n)) \ , \qquad p < 0 \ . \qquad (2.1.24)$$

Note that each $SU(2)$ representation, being self-conjugate, splits in two conjugate H_4 representations. Finally, the states in a $V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ representation correspond to states in the middle of an SU(2) representation with $q, m, \bar{q}, \bar{m} \sim O(1)$ as $l = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}s + O(1) \to \infty$.

2.2 The σ-model view point

It is instructive to perform the same limit not at the algebraic level (as we did in the previous subsection) but on the fields that appear in the Lagrangian of the world-sheet σ-model. Here, we will ignore the radial as well as the other five flat directions which are present in the $NS5$ background since they do not play an important role in the Penrose limit, and we will keep only the time direction as well as the $SU(2)_k$ WZW model. Let us parameterize the $SU(2)$ group manifold using the Euler angles

$$g = \exp\left[i\chi\frac{\sigma^3}{2}\right]\exp\left[i\theta\frac{\sigma^1}{2}\right]\exp\left[i\phi\frac{\sigma^3}{2}\right] \ , \tag{2.2.1}$$

with $0 \leq \chi \leq 4\pi$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. From the action

$$S = \frac{k}{8\pi}\int d^2z\left[\partial\theta\bar{\partial}\theta + \partial\chi\bar{\partial}\chi + \partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + 2\cos\theta\ \partial\chi\bar{\partial}\phi - 4\partial t\bar{\partial}t\right] \ , \tag{2.2.2}$$

where we added the time direction, we read the background metric and the antisymmetric tensor field

$$ds^2 = \frac{k\alpha^{'}}{4}\left[d\chi^2 + d\phi^2 + d\theta^2 + 2\cos\theta d\chi d\phi - 4dt^2\right] \quad , \quad B_{\chi\phi} = \frac{k\alpha^{'}}{4}\cos\theta \ , \tag{2.2.3}$$

where $k\alpha^{'} = R^2$ and R is the radius of S^3. The holomorphic and anti-holomorphic currents are

$$J^{\pm} = \frac{k}{2}e^{\mp i\chi}\left[\partial\theta \pm i\sin\theta\partial\phi\right] \quad , \quad J^3 = \frac{k}{2}[\partial\chi + \cos\theta\partial\phi] \quad , \quad J^0 = -k\partial t \ , \tag{2.2.4}$$

$$\bar{J}^{\pm} = \frac{k}{2}e^{\pm i\phi}\left[\bar{\partial}\theta \mp i\sin\theta\bar{\partial}\chi\right] \quad , \quad \bar{J}^3 = \frac{k}{2}[\bar{\partial}\phi + \cos\theta\bar{\partial}\chi] \quad , \quad \bar{J}^0 = -k\bar{\partial}t \ . \tag{2.2.5}$$

The limit we are interested in is better described by first changing variables to

$$\chi = \alpha + \varphi \quad , \quad \phi = \alpha - \varphi \quad , \quad \theta = 2r \ , \tag{2.2.6}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \ , \varphi \ , r \leq 2\pi$. The metric becomes

$$ds^2 = k\alpha^{'}[dr^2 + \sin^2 r d\varphi^2 + \cos^2 r d\alpha^2 - dt^2] \ . \tag{2.2.7}$$

We then set

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda^2 v}{\mu} - \frac{\mu u}{2} \quad , \quad t = \frac{\lambda^2 v}{\mu} + \frac{\mu u}{2} \quad , \quad r = \lambda\rho \ , \tag{2.2.8}$$

and take the limit $\lambda \to 0$, $k \to \infty$ keeping $k\lambda^2 = 1$. The resulting background is the Nappi-Witten gravitational wave [3]

$$ds^2 = -2dudv - \frac{\mu^2\rho^2}{4}du^2 + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\varphi^2 \ , \qquad B_{\varphi u} = \frac{\mu\rho^2}{2} \ . \tag{2.2.9}$$

---

[3] From now on we will set $\alpha' = 1$.

The same form of the metric results from the following parameterization of the H_4 group manifold

$$g = e^{\frac{u}{2}J} e^{i\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2}}P^- + i\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}P^+} e^{\frac{u}{2}J - 2vK} \ , \tag{2.2.10}$$

where $\zeta = \rho e^{i\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\zeta} = \rho e^{-i\varphi}$. The currents

$$\begin{aligned} &K = -i\partial u \ , \ \ J = -2i\partial v - i\mu^2\rho^2\partial u - 2i\mu\rho^2\partial\varphi \ , \ \ P^{\pm} = \sqrt{2}e^{\pm i\mu u}\partial\left[\rho e^{\mp i(\varphi + \frac{\mu}{2}u)}\right] \ , \\ &\bar{K} = -i\bar{\partial} u \ , \ \ \bar{J} = -2i\bar{\partial} v - i\mu^2\rho^2\bar{\partial} u + 2i\mu\rho^2\bar{\partial}\varphi \ , \ \ \bar{P}^{\pm} = \sqrt{2}e^{\mp i\mu u}\bar{\partial}\left[\rho e^{\mp i(\varphi - \frac{\mu}{2}u)}\right] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.11}$$

precisely match the Penrose limit of the $SU(2)_k \times U(1)$ currents in (2.2.4-2.2.5). The dependence of the currents on the σ-model fields suggests the possibility of realizing the H_4 algebra in terms of free fields. Indeed if we change variables setting $\varphi = \phi - \frac{\mu}{2}u$ for the left currents and $\varphi = \phi + \frac{\mu}{2}u$ for the right currents and we define $y = \rho e^{i\phi}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &K = -i\partial u \ , \quad J = -2i\partial v - i\mu\rho^2\partial\phi \ , \quad P^+ = \sqrt{2}e^{i\mu u}\partial y \ , \quad P^- = \sqrt{2}e^{-i\mu u}\partial\tilde{y} \ , \\ &\bar{K} = -i\bar{\partial} u \ , \quad \bar{J} = -2i\bar{\partial} v + i\mu\rho^2\bar{\partial}\phi \ , \quad \bar{P}^+ = \sqrt{2}e^{-i\mu u}\bar{\partial} y \ , \quad \bar{P}^- = \sqrt{2}e^{i\mu u}\bar{\partial}\tilde{y} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.12}$$

In the next section, the free-field realization of the H_4 algebra will be explained in detail.

We conclude this section discussing the semiclassical form of the vertex operators corresponding to the states in the various H_4 representations. Vertex operators for the primary fields can be represented in terms of the σ-model fields as local expressions not containing derivatives. A natural choice [30] is to take as a complete basis for the space of functions on the group manifold the matrix elements of group operators between states in irreducible representations. For $V^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations the generating function for such matrix elements is

$$\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}} = e^{\mp ipv + i\hat{\jmath}u - \frac{\mu p}{2}\zeta\tilde{\zeta} + i\mu p\zeta x e^{\pm\frac{i\mu u}{2}} + i\mu p\tilde{\zeta}\bar{x}e^{\pm\frac{i\mu u}{2}} + \mu p x\bar{x}e^{\pm i\mu u}} \ , \tag{2.2.13}$$

where we have introduced the formal charge variables $x, \bar{x}$ to keep track of different states inside the representation. They will be described in more detail in the next section where we will use them to write in a compact way the operator algebra of the H_4 model.

Expanding the previous expression in powers of x and $\bar{x}$, we can see that the semiclassical vertex operators for the states that form a $V^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representation are given by the product of a gaussian and a generalized Laguerre polynomial, and thus coincide with the wave-functions for a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator in radial coordinates, which describes the semiclassical periodic motion in the transverse plane. The expansion can be performed using the following generating function

$$e^{xy+r(x-y)} = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{m-n}}{m!} L_n^{m-n}(r^2) x^m y^n \ , \tag{2.2.14}$$

and the result is

$$\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}} = e^{-ipv+i\hat{\jmath}u-\frac{\mu p}{2}\zeta\tilde{\zeta}} \sum_{n,\bar{n}=0}^{\infty} \ (ix)^n(-i\bar{x})^{\bar{n}} \ e^{\frac{i\mu u}{2}(n+\bar{n})} \ \frac{(\mu p)^n}{n!} \ \zeta^{n-\bar{n}} \ L_{\bar{n}}^{n-\bar{n}}(\mu p \ \zeta \ \tilde{\zeta}) \ . \tag{2.2.15}$$

Comparing this expression with the expansion in (3.0.9), we can read the semiclassical form of the primary fields in an H_4 representation. Moreover, if we take into account the relation between H_4 primary fields and orbifold twist fields implied by the free-field realization of the H_4 algebra [3], we obtain a nice representation of the latter in terms of a gaussian (for the unexcited twist field) and Laguerre polynomials (for the excited twist fields). One can reconstruct the expansion in (2.2.15) acting with the generators of the Killing symmetries on the wave-function of the ground state of a $V^{\pm}_{p\hat{\jmath}}$ representation. The generators are $K = \bar{K} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
P^+ &= -\sqrt{2}e^{-i\frac{\mu u}{2}} \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta} - \frac{\mu\tilde{\zeta}}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right] \ , \qquad & P^- &= -\sqrt{2}e^{i\frac{\mu u}{2}} \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\zeta}} + \frac{\mu\zeta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right] \ , \\
\bar{P}^+ &= -\sqrt{2}e^{-i\frac{\mu u}{2}} \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\zeta}} - \frac{\mu\zeta}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right] \ , \qquad & \bar{P}^- &= -\sqrt{2}e^{i\frac{\mu u}{2}} \left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta} + \frac{\mu\tilde{\zeta}}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial_v}\right] \\
J &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i\frac{\mu}{2}\left[\zeta\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta} - \tilde{\zeta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\zeta}}\right] \ , \qquad & \bar{J} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - i\frac{\mu}{2}\left[\zeta\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta} - \tilde{\zeta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\zeta}}\right] \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.16}$$

The semiclassical expression for the $V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ vertex operators is

$$\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}} = e^{iju+\frac{is}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\zeta\sqrt{\frac{x}{\bar{x}}}+\tilde{\zeta}\sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}}{x}}\right]} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \ (x\bar{x})^n \ e^{-in\mu u} \ , \tag{2.2.17}$$

where $x = e^{i\alpha}$ and the sum over n imposes the constraint $x\bar{x}e^{i\mu u} = 1$. The coefficients of the expansion of this vertex operators in x and $\bar{x}$ are Bessel functions describing a free motion in the transverse plane, in accord with the fact that the $p = 0$ sector does not feel the quadratic potential. Comparing (2.2.17) with the usual expression for a tachyonic vertex operators we see that we can identify s with the modulus of the momentum in the two-plane and $\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\alpha} - \alpha)$ with its phase.

Vertex operators for the graviton, for the dilaton and for the antisymmetric tensor are given by descendant fields and their correlation functions can be derived from those of the primary fields using the standard Ward identities of the current algebra.

The addition of four free fermions is all we need to make the model superconformal. Actually [4], the resulting σ-model realizes an $N = 4$ superconformal algebra.

2.3 (Current)^2 deformations of NW pp-waves.

Here we describe two families of CFTs that are related to the NW model by marginal deformations generated by its currents. Since the Cartan of H_4 is two-dimensional the moduli space of deformations is four-dimensional. There are, however, two inequivalent choices for the commuting currents, not related by the action of the group. The first choice consists of the generators K, J and the other of the generators K, P_1.

The former deformation is most easily described in coordinates exhibiting the polar angle in the transverse plane:

$$S_{NW} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[2\partial v\bar{\partial}u + 2\partial u\bar{\partial}v - \rho^2\partial u\bar{\partial}u + \partial\rho\bar{\partial}\rho + \right. \tag{2.3.1}$$

$$\left. + \rho^2\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi + \rho^2(\partial u\bar{\partial}\varphi - \partial\varphi\bar{\partial}u)\right] \ .$$

The non-trivial deformation is associated with the current J.

The deformed geometry is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{4R^2(dv)^2 + 4dvdu + \rho^2[-(du)^2 + (d\varphi)^2]}{R^2\rho^2 + 1} + d\rho^2 \ , \tag{2.3.2}$$

the antisymmetric tensor

$$B_{v\varphi} = \frac{2R^2\rho^2}{R^2\rho^2 + 1} \quad , \quad B_{u\varphi} = \frac{\rho^2}{R^2\rho^2 + 1} \ , \tag{2.3.3}$$

and the dilaton

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\log[R^2\rho^2 + 1] \ . \tag{2.3.4}$$

The undeformed model corresponds to $R = 0$. The background is smooth for R^2 positive and is not of the pp-wave type. For $R^2 < 0$ it has a naked singularity. Upon T-duality, it is mapped to flat Minkowski space or other dual versions of it. This is a generalization of the fact that the NW model is mapped by T-duality to flat Minkowski space [3].

The other inequivalent set of deformations are generated by the K and P_1 currents. It is convenient here to change coordinates and write the NW σ-model as [3]:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[\partial y^i\bar{\partial}y^i + 2\cos u\bar{\partial}y^1\partial y^2 + \partial u\bar{\partial}v + \partial v\bar{\partial}u\right] \ , \tag{2.3.5}$$

which bears a strong similarity to the $SU(2)$ WZW model. The line of marginal deformations, associated to the current P_1, parameterized by a positive real variable R, is given by [43]

$$S(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[2\partial v\bar{\partial}u + 2\partial u\bar{\partial}v + \partial x^1\bar{\partial}x^1 + \partial x^2\bar{\partial}x^2 + \right. \tag{2.3.6}$$

$$+\frac{[1-2R^2+(1-R^2)\cos(2u)](x^1)^2+R^2[R^2-2+(1-R^2)\cos(2u)](x^2)^2}{(\cos^2(u)+R^2\sin^2(u))^2}\partial u\bar{\partial}u-$$
$$-2\arctan[R\tan(u)](\partial x^1\bar{\partial}x^2-\bar{\partial}x^1\partial x^2)]\ ,$$

while the dilaton is

$$\Phi=-\frac{1}{2}\log\left[\frac{1}{R}\cos^2(u)+R\ \sin^2(u)\right]+\text{constant}\ , \tag{2.3.7}$$

after changing to Brinkman coordinates. The undeformed model corresponds in this case to $R = 1$. Note that there is an $R \to 1/R$ duality of the background fields accompanied by the reparametrization $x^1 \leftrightarrow x^2$ and $u \to u + \pi/2$. At the ends of the line, the theory becomes a direct product of a real line CFT and the coset of the NW theory obtained by gauging P_1 [3]. This class of space-times are of the pp-wave type. More details, and fully deformed σ models can be found in appendix D.

3. Current algebra representation theory

Before moving to the actual computation of the three and four-point correlators, we present in this section a more detailed discussion of some important features that the H_4 WZW model shares with other non-compact WZW model, in particular with AdS_3. We will first introduce an auxiliary coordinate x in order to organize the infinite number of fields that form an H_4 representation in a single field. We will then discuss the spectral-flowed representations of the affine algebra, representations that must be included in the spectrum of the model in order to obtain a closed operator algebra even though they are not highest-weight representations. Finally we will describe a free-field realization of the H_4 algebra and show that in this setting, its primary fields can be expressed in terms of orbifold twist-fields and the usual flat-space tachyonic vertex operators.

Since we are dealing with infinite dimensional representations, it is useful to realize the global H_4 algebra as an algebra of operators acting on a suitable space of functions. For $V^{\pm}$ representations we consider power series of the form

$$\varphi_{\pm}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\varphi_n\frac{(x\sqrt{|p|})^n}{\sqrt{n!}}\ , \tag{3.0.1}$$

where x is a formal complex variable. When $p > 0$, the operators realizing the H_4 algebra are [4]

$$P_0^+=\sqrt{2}p\ x\ ,\qquad P_0^-=\sqrt{2}\ \partial_x\ ,$$
$$J_0=i(\hat{\jmath}+x\partial_x)\ ,\qquad K_0=ip\ , \tag{3.0.2}$$

---

[4] We rescaled x to $\sqrt{p}\,x$ with respect to the more standard harmonic oscillator choice $\sqrt{2p}\,x$ and $\sqrt{2p}\,\partial_x$ in order to avoid factor of $\sqrt{p}$ in the following expressions.

while for $p < 0$ they are

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^+ &= \sqrt{2}\ \partial_x \ , \qquad & P_0^- &= \sqrt{2}|p|\ x \ , \\ J_0 &= i(\hat{\jmath} - x\partial_x) \ , \qquad & K_0 &= ip \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.3}$$

In this way, all the states in a given representations are collected in a single function of x.

The monomials $b_n = \frac{(x\sqrt{p})^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$ form an orthonormal basis if we define the scalar product using the measure

$$\int d\nu \equiv \frac{p}{\pi} \int d^2x \ e^{-px^*x} \ , \tag{3.0.4}$$

where x^* is the complex conjugate of x. The right-moving algebra is similarly realized as an algebra of operators acting on an independent variable $\bar{x}$. The operators are defined exactly as before and the measure is

$$\int d\bar{\nu} \equiv \frac{p}{\pi} \int d^2\bar{x} \ e^{-p\bar{x}^*\bar{x}} \ . \tag{3.0.5}$$

For $V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations we consider power series of the form

$$\varphi_0(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n x^n \ , \tag{3.0.6}$$

where $x = e^{i\alpha}$ is a phase and the zero-mode operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^+ &= sx \ , \qquad & P_0^- &= \frac{s}{x} \ , \\ J_0 &= i(\hat{\jmath} + x\partial_x) \ , \qquad & K_0 &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.7}$$

In this case the measure is simply

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \ . \tag{3.0.8}$$

The relation between $V^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ and $V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations can be considered as a further contraction of the H_4 group to the isometry group of the two-dimensional plane.

We proceed in precisely the same way for the representations of the current algebra: we introduce a complex variable x and regroup together the infinite number of fields that appear in a given representation defining

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^+_{p,\hat{\jmath};n}(z) \frac{(x\sqrt{p})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \ , \ p > 0 \ , \\ \Phi^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^-_{p,\hat{\jmath};n}(z) \frac{(x\sqrt{|p|})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \ , \ p < 0 \ , \\ \Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} R^0_{s,\hat{\jmath};n}(z) x^n \ , \ s \geq 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.9}$$

which are respectively the primary fields for V^+, V^- and V^0 representations. The OPEs in (2.1.15) translate to

$$\begin{aligned}
P^+(z)\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x) &= \sqrt{2p}\; x \;\; \frac{\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT}\ , \\
P^-(z)\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x) &= \sqrt{2}\; \partial_x \;\; \frac{\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT}\ , \\
J(z)\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x) &= i(\hat{\jmath} + x\partial_x)\frac{\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT}\ , \\
K(z)\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x) &= ip\frac{\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(w,x)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.0.10}$$

and similar expressions for the other vertex operators. We will refer to the variables x, $\bar{x}$ as to the *dual* variables, in analogy with the AdS_3 case [20]. The OPEs in (3.0.10) are the central elements for deriving Ward identities and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations. We can introduce an x-dependence also in the currents, using the generator of translations in x. For $p > 0$ we have

$$J^a(z,x) = e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}P_0^-} J^a(z,0) e^{-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}P_0^-}\ , \tag{3.0.11}$$

and for $p < 0$

$$J^a(z,x) = e^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}P_0^+} J^a(z,0) e^{-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}P_0^+}\ , \tag{3.0.12}$$

where the index a labels the four H_4 currents. Therefore the x dependent currents are

$$\begin{aligned}
P^-(z,x) &= P^-(z)\ , \qquad P^+(z,x) = P^+(z) + i\sqrt{2}xK(z)\ , \\
J(z,x) &= J(z) - \frac{ix}{\sqrt{2}}P^-(z)\ , \qquad K(z,x) = K(z)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.0.13}$$

when $p > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
P^+(z,x) &= P^+(z)\ , \qquad P^-(z,x) = P^-(z) - i\sqrt{2}xK(z)\ , \\
J(z,x) &= J(z) + \frac{ix}{\sqrt{2}}P^+(z)\ , \qquad K(z,x) = K(z)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.0.14}$$

when $p < 0$.

We also need to know how the tensor product of H_4 irreducible representations decomposes in a direct sum of irreducible representations, since such a decomposition corresponds to the (semi-classical) fusion rules between highest-weight representations of the current algebra. The tensor products between $V^\pm$ representations decompose according to (a detailed analysis can be found in [31])

$$\begin{aligned}
V^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1} \otimes V^+_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V^+_{p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n} \ , \\
V^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1} \otimes V^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V^+_{p_1-p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2-n} \ , \qquad\qquad p_1 > p_2 \ , \\
V^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1} \otimes V^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V^-_{p_2-p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n} \ , \qquad\qquad p_1 < p_2 \ , \\
V^-_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1} \otimes V^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V^-_{p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2-n} \ . \qquad (3.0.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$V^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1} \otimes V^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}_2} = \int_0^{\infty} s \ ds \ V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2} \ , \qquad (3.0.16)$$

and

$$V^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1} \otimes V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}_2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n} \ . \qquad (3.0.17)$$

The action of a generic H_4 group element (modulo the trivial action of K) on functions of x is as follows

$$f_{\hat{\jmath}}(x) \rightarrow b^{\hat{\jmath}} \ e^{ax} \ f(bx+c) \ , \qquad (3.0.18)$$

where a, b, c arbitrary. This should be compared with

$$f_l(x) \rightarrow (cx+d)^{2l} \ f\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right) \quad , \quad ad-bc=1 \qquad (3.0.19)$$

in the SU(2)/SL(2) case.

3.1 Spectral flow

The operator content of the H_4 WZW model is not exhausted by the highest-weight representations of the affine algebra. These representations are generated acting with the negative modes of the currents J^a_{-n} on an highest-weight state $|\psi>$ which is annihilated by all positive modes $J^a_n|\psi>=0$, $n>0$. However, as it was first observed for AdS_3 [25, 27] and for $SU(2)_k$ models at fractional level [35], they do not form a closed operator algebra since, in the fusion of two of them, new representations can appear that are not highest-weight. These new representations are called spectral-flowed representations, since they can be defined as highest-weight representations of an isomorphic algebra related to the original one by the operation of spectral flow. Spectral flow acts as an integer shift in the mode numbers of the lowering and rising generators as well as an integer shift in the value of the Cartan generators of the finite Lie algebra. In our case the action of the spectral flow is defined as follows [3]

$$\tilde{P}^{\pm}_n = P^{\pm}_{n\mp w} \ , \qquad \tilde{K}_n = K_n - iw\delta_{n,0} \ , \qquad \tilde{J}_n = J_n \ , \qquad \tilde{L}_n = L_n - iwJ_n \ , \quad (3.1.1)$$

where $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. In appendix A.6 we show that there is a two parameter spectral flow of the H_4 algebra. In appendix A.7 by studying the Penrose contraction of characters we show that the spectral flow relevant for the NW theory is the one discussed here.

The new modes in (3.1.1) generate an algebra $\tilde{H}_{4,w}$ isomorphic to the original one. Let us denote a highest-weight representation of this algebra as $\Omega_w(\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}})$. The eigenvalue of K_0 on the states in this representation is $p+w$. However, a highest-weight representation of $\tilde{H}_{4,w}$ is not a highest-weight representation of the original algebra. This is evident because the conditions obeyed by a highest-weight state of the $\tilde{H}_{4,w}$ become, in terms of the original modes

$$P^{+}_n|\psi>= 0 \ , \quad n > -w \qquad P^{-}_n|\psi>= 0 \ , \quad n > w \ , \qquad (3.1.2)$$

and therefore, the state is annihilated only by the modes with n bigger than a fixed positive integer. Moreover, the spectrum of L_0 is generically unbounded from below. In only two cases does the action of the spectral flow give back a highest-weight representation. These two cases are

$$\Omega_{-1}(\Phi^{+}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}) = \Phi^{-}_{1-p,\hat{\jmath}} \ , \qquad \Omega_{1}(\Phi^{-}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}) = \Phi^{+}_{1-p,\hat{\jmath}} \ . \qquad (3.1.3)$$

It is important to notice that when $w > 0$, all the states in an affine representation $\Omega_w(\Phi^+)$ or $\Omega_w(\Phi^0)$ belong to some lowest-weight representations of the horizontal algebra and that when $w < 0$, all the states in an affine representation $\Omega_w(\Phi^-)$ or $\Omega_w(\Phi^0)$ belong to some highest-weight representations of the horizontal algebra. Therefore representations that are neither highest nor lowest-weight representations of the horizontal algebra appear only in Φ^0.

Fusion rules between spectral-flowed representations can be derived using [35]

$$\Omega_{w_1}(\Phi_1) \otimes \Omega_{w_2}(\Phi_2) = \Omega_{w_1+w_2}(\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2) \ . \qquad (3.1.4)$$

As we will show explicitly when studying the factorization properties of the four-point correlators, these representations appear in the fusion of the highest-weight representations and therefore we have to include them in the spectrum of the CFT. With the addition of the spectral-flowed representations we can cover all possible values of p. One can understand the necessity of including them also with a semi-classical reasoning, following [25]. From the semiclassical point of view, spectral flow generates new solutions of the equations of motion by conjugating a given one with an element of the loop group not continuously connected to the identity. A similar analysis was performed in [17] where it was shown that, at the semiclassical level, highest-weight representations correspond to geodesics on H_4. When $p \neq 0$ the particle moves linearly in u and performs a periodic motion in the plane while for $p = 0$ the particle has $u = const$ and moves along a straight line in the plane. The spectral flow images of these trajectories also have a σ dependence: the particle becomes a string with a winding in the plane. Such a winding number is related to the integer index of the spectral flow and is not conserved. In the case we consider its non-conservation is evident since only the total p is conserved. Here, unlike the SL(2,R) case, the necessity of spectral-flowed representations is also visible in the parent $U(1) \times SU(2)_k$ theory.

We will eventually impose the mass-shell condition $(L_0 - 1)|\psi >= 0$ on a state in a spectral-flowed representation $|\psi >= |\tilde{p}, j, \tilde{N}, h >$, where h is the conformal dimension of the state in the internal CFT, which is needed to construct a critical string background, $\tilde{p}$ and j are the eigenvalues of $\tilde{K}_0$ and J_0 respectively and $\tilde{N}$ the level with respect to the $\tilde{H}_{4,w}$ algebra. Moreover we can write $j = \hat{\jmath} + n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq -\tilde{N}$. The result is

$$j = \frac{1}{\tilde{p} + w} \left[\frac{\tilde{p}(1 - \tilde{p})}{2} + h + \tilde{N} + n\tilde{p} - 1 \right] \ , \tag{3.1.5}$$

when $\tilde{p} > 0$. Similarly for $|\psi >= |s, j, \tilde{N}, h >$,

$$j = \frac{1}{w} \left[\frac{s^2}{2} + h + \tilde{N} - 1 \right] \ . \tag{3.1.6}$$

The restriction $0 < \tilde{p} < 1$ for $\Phi^{\pm}$ representations leads to

$$\begin{aligned} wj &< h + \tilde{N} - 1 < wj + \hat{\jmath} \ , \quad \text{when} \ \ \hat{\jmath} \geq 0 \ , \\ wj &+ \hat{\jmath} < h + \tilde{N} - 1 < wj \ , \quad \text{when} \ \ \hat{\jmath} \leq 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.7}$$

and it is clear from the previous expressions that whenever h saturates the upper or the lower bound, there is a continuous representation with the same light-cone energy. Each time $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a point of contact between discrete and continuous representations. This is similar to the AdS_3 WZW model where, when $j = 1/2$, there is a point of contact between the discrete and the continuous representations. The structure of the spectrum is indeed very similar to the one of AdS_3, the only difference being the absence of the mass gap $j > 1/2$. The presence of a continuum of states for $p = 1$, signal the fact that starting from $p \geq 1$ we have to construct the Hilbert space of string states from a different vacuum state.

The spectrum of our model is then given by $\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations, with $p < 1$ and $\hat{\jmath} \in \mathbb{R}$ together with their spectral-flowed images $\Omega_w(\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}})$ with $w \in \mathbb{N}$, by $\Phi^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations, with $p < 1$ and $\hat{\jmath} \in \mathbb{R}$ together with their spectral-flowed images $\Omega_{-w}(\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}})$ with $w \in \mathbb{N}$, and by $\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations, with $\hat{\jmath} \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ together with their spectral-flowed images $\Omega_w(\Phi^0_{S,\hat{\jmath}})$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the u and v coordinates are non-compact, the spectrum of $\hat{\jmath}$ is continuous and we consider left-right symmetric combination of the representations. This also implies that the amounts of spectral flow in the left and right sector have to coincide.

In Appendix A we show how the spectral-flowed representations arise in the contraction of the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ CFT.

3.2 The quasi-free-field resolution

Before we start discussing the correlation functions between primary fields we recall the quasi-free-field resolution of the H_4 algebra [3], since it provides an interesting relation between H_4 correlators and correlators between twist fields in orbifold models. Moreover, in these variables the action of the spectral flow is extremely simple. We introduce a pair of free bosons u, v with $< v(z)u(w) >= \log{(z-w)}$ and a complex boson $y = y_1 + iy_2$, $\tilde{y} = y_1 - iy_2$ with $< y(z)\tilde{y}(w) >= -2\log{(z-w)}$. One can then verify that the following currents

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \partial v \ , & K &= \partial u \ , \\ P^+ &= ie^{-iu}\partial y \ , & P^- &= ie^{iu}\partial \tilde{y} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.1}$$

satisfy the H_4 operator algebra. The description of the primary fields for the $V^{\pm}$ representations requires, in this quasi-free-field formalism, the introduction of twist fields $H^{\mp}_p(z)$ characterized by the following OPEs

$$\begin{aligned} \partial y(z) H^-_p(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-p} T^-_p(w) \ , & \partial \tilde{y}(z) H^-_p(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-1+p} H^{-(1)}_p(w) \ , \\ \partial y(z) H^+_p(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-1+p} H^{+(1)}_p(w) \ , & \partial \tilde{y}(z) H^+_p(w) &\sim (z-w)^{-p} T^+_p(w) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $T^{\pm}_p(w)$, $H^{\pm(1)}_p(w)$ are excited twist fields.

The ground state of a $V^{\pm}$ representation is then given by

$$R^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath};0}(z) = e^{i(\hat{\jmath}u(z) \pm pv(z))} H^{\mp}_p(z) \ , \tag{3.2.3}$$

and the other states are obtained through the action of $P^{\mp}_0$. We recall that in the presence of a twist field H^-_p, the mode expansion of a complex free boson reads

$$\partial y = \sum_{n \in Z} \alpha_{n+p} z^{-n-1-p} \ , \qquad \partial \tilde{y} = \sum_{n \in Z} \tilde{\alpha}_{n-p} z^{-n-1+p} \ , \tag{3.2.4}$$

where the oscillators obey the following commutation relations

$$[\tilde{\alpha}_{n-p}, \alpha_{m+p}] = (n-p)\delta_{n+m,0} \ , \tag{3.2.5}$$

and act on the state created by H^-_p according to

$$\alpha_{n+p}|H^-_p >= 0 \ , \quad n \geq 0 \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_{n-p}|H^-_p >= 0 \ , \quad n \geq 1 \ . \tag{3.2.6}$$

In the presence of a twist field H_p^+, one has exactly the same expressions up to exchanging α_n with $\tilde{\alpha}_n$. Consider now a representation with $p > 0$; starting from the vertex operator in (3.2.3) we can generate all the other ground-states acting with P^- and the result is

$$(P_0^-)^n R^+_{p,\hat{\jmath};0}(z) = e^{i((\hat{\jmath}+n)u+pv)} H_p^{-(n)}(z) \ , \tag{3.2.7}$$

where we introduced the excited twist field $H_p^{-(n)}$ defined as

$$|H_p^{-(n)} > = \ \tilde{\alpha}^n_{-p} |H_p^- > \ . \tag{3.2.8}$$

The conformal dimension of the vertex operator in (3.2.7) is

$$\frac{p}{2}(1-p) + np - p(\hat{\jmath}+n) = \frac{p}{2}(1-p) - p\hat{\jmath} \ , \tag{3.2.9}$$

that is, the additional contribution to the conformal dimension due to the excited twist field is exactly compensated by the shift in $\hat{\jmath}$.

Proceeding in the same way for V^- representations one can write the other states in the multiplet as

$$(P_0^+)^n R^-_{p,\hat{\jmath};0}(z) = e^{i((\hat{\jmath}-n)u-pv)} H_p^{+(n)}(z) \ , \tag{3.2.10}$$

where the excited twist field $H_p^{+(n)}$ is defined as

$$|H_p^{+(n)} > = \ \alpha^n_{-p} |H_p^+ > \ . \tag{3.2.11}$$

The vertex operators for V^0 representations are

$$\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) = e^{i\hat{\jmath}u + \frac{is}{2}\left(y\sqrt{\frac{x}{\bar{x}}} + \tilde{y}\sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}}{x}}\right)} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (x\bar{x})^n e^{in\mu u} \ . \tag{3.2.12}$$

If we set $\frac{\bar{x}}{x} = e^{2i\varphi}$, we see that we are dealing with a collection of standard tachyonic vertex operators carrying a momentum $p = p_1 + ip_2 = se^{i\varphi}$.

Spectral flow by w units corresponds in this setting to the multiplication by the operator e^{iwv}. Indeed defining

$$\Omega_w(R^+_{p,\hat{\jmath};0}(z)) = e^{i(\hat{\jmath}\ u+(p+w)v)} H_p^-(z) \ , \qquad \Omega_{-w}(R^-_{p,\hat{\jmath};0}(z)) = e^{i(\hat{\jmath}\ u-(p+w)v)} H_p^+(z) \ , \tag{3.2.13}$$

it is easy to verify from the OPE that the corresponding states satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &P_n^+|p+w> \, = 0 \ , \quad n \geq -w \qquad P_n^-|p+w>= 0 \ , \quad n \geq w+1 \ , \\ &P_n^+|p+w> \, = 0 \ , \quad n \geq w+1 \qquad P_n^-|p+w>= 0 \ , \quad n \geq -w \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.14}$$

We will make use of this quasi-free-field representation to compute correlators between spectral-flowed states in section 8.

As we have seen, the primary vertex operators are actually unchanged with respect to the corresponding ones in flat-space when $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, while in the other cases the operator $e^{i(p_1y_1+p_2y_2)}$, which describes free propagation in the transverse plane is replaced by a twist field $H_p^\pm$, which describes the bounded motion.

4. Three-point couplings and the operator product expansion

In this section, we discuss the two- and three-point amplitudes between primary vertex operators of the H_4 CFT. Following [24], we will present the OPE in a way that is well suited for the study of the factorization of the amplitudes. As we shall see, the structure of the three-point couplings is completely fixed by conformal invariance and invariance under global H_4 transformations, up to constants which are the quantum structure constants of the operator algebra. We present the structure constants in this section even though we will derive them from the study of the factorization of the four-point correlators in a later section.

As we already explained, the relevant local conformal primary fields depend, apart from the two-dimensional coordinates $z, \bar{z}$, on two further variables x and $\bar{x}$, that encode the states of the left and right infinite-dimensional representations of the left and right H_4 current algebras of the conformal field theory. Putting left and right together we consider the local fields

$$\Phi^a_q(z, \bar{z}; x, \bar{x}) \ , \tag{4.0.1}$$

where a labels the different representations ($a \in \{+, -, 0\}$) and q stands for the set of charges needed to completely specify the given representation, that is $q = (p, \hat{\jmath})$ when $a = \pm$ and $q = (s, \hat{\jmath})$ when $a = 0$. Typical correlators are of the form

$$A_n = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi^{a_i}_{q_i}(z_i, \bar{z}_i; x_i, \bar{x}_i) \right\rangle \ . \tag{4.0.2}$$

We start with the two-point functions, fixing in this way the normalization of our operators. The two-point function between a Φ^+ operator and its conjugate Φ^- is

$$< \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_1, \bar{x}_1)\Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2, x_2, \bar{x}_2) >= \delta(p_1 - p_2)\delta(\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2)\frac{e^{-p_1(x_1x_2+\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2)}}{|z_{12}|^{4h}} \ , \tag{4.0.3}$$

where h is the scaling dimension given in (2.1.17). The measure on the p and $\hat{\jmath}$ quantum numbers is

$$\int d\sigma_\pm \equiv \int_0^1 dp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\hat{\jmath}. \tag{4.0.4}$$

In terms of the component fields,

$$< R^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;n,\bar{n}}(z_1, \bar{z}_1)R^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;m,\bar{m}}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) >= \delta(p_1 - p_2)\delta(\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2)\delta_{n,m}\delta_{\bar{n},\bar{m}}\frac{(-1)^{n+\bar{n}}}{|z_{12}|^{4h}} \ . \tag{4.0.5}$$

For Φ^0 operators, the two-point function is

$$\begin{aligned} &< \Phi^0_{s_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, \alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_1)\Phi^0_{s_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2, \alpha_2, \bar{\alpha}_2) >= \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^2}{|z_{12}|^{4h}}\frac{\delta(s_1 - s_2)}{s_1} \ \delta(\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2) \ \delta(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \ \delta(\bar{\alpha}_1 - \bar{\alpha}_2) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.0.6}$$

where we used the conventional normalization for the two-point function of tachyon vertex operators in flat space, namely $\delta^{(2)}(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)$. The measure over the Casimir s and the $\hat{\jmath}$ quantum number is

$$\int d\sigma_0 \equiv \int_0^\infty ds\ s \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\hat{\jmath}. \tag{4.0.7}$$

From (4.0.6) it follows for the component fields

$$\begin{aligned} &< R^0_{s_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;n,\bar{n}}(z_1,\bar{z}_1) R^0_{s_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;m,\bar{m}}(z_2,\bar{z}_2) >= \\ &= \frac{\delta(s_1-s_2)}{s_1}\delta(\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2)\delta_{n+m,0}\ \delta_{\bar{n}+\bar{m},0}\ \frac{(-1)^{n+\bar{n}}}{|z_{12}|^{4h}}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.0.8}$$

We now turn to the three-point couplings. Their general form is

$$\begin{aligned} &< \Phi^a_{q_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^b_{q_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^c_{q_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3) > \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_{abc}(q_1,q_2,q_3) D_{abc}(x_1,x_2,x_3;\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3)}{|z_{12}|^{2(h_1+h_2-h_3)}|z_{13}|^{2(h_1+h_3-h_2)}|z_{23}|^{2(h_2+h_3-h_1)}}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.0.9}$$

In the previous expression, the $\mathcal{C}_{abc}(q_1,q_2,q_3)$ are the quantum structure constants of the CFT and, as before, the labels a, b and c distinguish between the different types of representations ($a=+,-,0$) while q_1, q_2 and q_3 stand for the set of charges describing the corresponding state, $(p,\hat{\jmath})$ or $(s,\hat{\jmath})$. As usual, the z dependence is completely fixed by conformal invariance and the functions D, which encode the x dependence of the three-point couplings, are completely fixed as well by the Ward identities of the H_4 algebra. They are the classical Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the left and right-moving H_4 algebras.

Using these quantities, the OPE can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^a_{q_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^b_{q_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2) = \\ &= \int d\sigma_c \int d\nu_3 \int d\bar{\nu}_3\ D_{ab}{}^c(x_1,x_2,x_3^*;\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3^*)\ \mathcal{C}_{ab}{}^c\ \frac{[\Phi^c_3(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_3,\bar{x}_3)]}{|z_{12}|^{2(h_1+h_2-h_3)}}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.0.10}$$

where $[\Phi^c_3]$ denotes the affine family comprising the primary Φ^c_3 and all of its descendants and the measures $d\nu$, $d\bar{\nu}$ are as defined in (3.0.4), (3.0.5) and (3.0.8). Indexes are lowered and raised using the two-point functions (4.0.3) and (4.0.6). When we raise or lower an index in D_{abc} or in C_{abc}, we have to replace a V^+ with a V^- representation and to change the sign of x and $\hat{\jmath}$.

The OPE between the components of the various fields Φ^a can be obtained by expanding both sides of (4.0.10) in powers of x, $\bar{x}$ and performing the integral in x_3, $\bar{x}_3$.

As an explicit example consider the fusion between two Φ^+ representations

$$[\Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}] \otimes [\Phi^+_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\Phi^+_{p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n}]\ . \tag{4.0.11}$$

The function D_{++-} which appears in the three-point coupling is

$$D_{++-}(x_1,x_2,x_3,\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3) = \left|e^{-x_3(p_1x_1+p_2x_2)}(x_2-x_1)^{-L}\right|^2 \delta(p_3-p_1-p_2)\delta_{\mathbb{N}}(-L) \ , \tag{4.0.12}$$

where $L=\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+\hat{\jmath}_3$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{N}}(a) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\delta(a-n)$. Thus, the coupling is non-vanishing only when L is a non-positive integer. The two δ-functions keep track of the structure of the tensor products displayed in (3.0.15). In this and in the following equations we use the shorthand $|f(x,z)|^2$ for $f(x,z)f(\bar{x},\bar{z})$. Similarly, the D-function appearing in the OPE is

$$D_{++}{}^{+}(x_1,x_2,x_3^*,\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3^*) = \left|e^{x_3^*(p_1x_1+p_2x_2)}(x_2-x_1)^{-L}\right|^2 \delta(p_3-p_1-p_2)\delta_{\mathbb{N}}(-L) \ , \tag{4.0.13}$$

where now $L=\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2-\hat{\jmath}_3$. Finally, the OPE between the component fields reads

$$R^{+}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;n_1,\bar{n}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1)R^{+}_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;n_2,\bar{n}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mathcal{C}_{++}{}^{+}(p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n)}{|z_{12}|^{2(h_1+h_2-h_n)}}$$
$$\sum_{m,\bar{m}} D_{++}{}^{+}[n_1,n_2;n,m]D_{++}{}^{+}[\bar{n}_1,\bar{n}_2;n,\bar{m}][R^{+}_{p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n;m,\bar{m}}(z_2,\bar{z}_2)] \ , \tag{4.0.14}$$

with $n+m=n_1+n_2$ and $\bar{n}+\bar{m}=\bar{n}_1+\bar{n}_2$. The coefficients

$$D_{++}{}^{+}[n_1,n_2;n,m] = p_1^{-\frac{n_1}{2}}p_2^{\frac{m+n_1-n}{2}}p_3^{-\frac{m}{2}}n!\sqrt{(n_1)!(n_2)!m!}$$
$$\sum_k \frac{(-1)^{n_1-k}}{k!(m-k)!(n_1-k)!(n_2-k)!}\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)^k \ , \tag{4.0.15}$$

coincide, up to a factor we absorbed in the structure constant $\mathcal{C}_{abc}$, with the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the H_4 group [31]. In the previous expression, the index k takes all values such that the summand is well defined and non-zero.

Similar expressions can be derived in the other cases and we describe them in turn. The OPE between Φ^+ and Φ^- vertex operators produces Φ^+ vertex operators when $p_1>p_2$ and Φ^0 vertex operators when $p_1=p_2$. Indeed the fusion rules are in the first case

$$[\Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}]\otimes[\Phi^{-}_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[\Phi^{+}_{p_1-p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2-n}] \ . \tag{4.0.16}$$

The relevant D function for this OPE is

$$D_{+--}(x_1,x_2,x_3,\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3) = \left|e^{-x_1(p_2x_2+p_3x_3)}\,(x_2-x_3)^{L}\right|^2 \delta(p_3-p_1+p_2)\delta_{\mathbb{N}}(L) \ , \tag{4.0.17}$$

with $L=\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+\hat{\jmath}_3$ and the coefficients appearing in the component expansion are

$$D_{+-}{}^{+}[n_1,n_2;n,m] = = p_1^{-\frac{n_1}{2}}p_2^{\frac{n_2}{2}-n}p_3^{\frac{m}{2}}n!\sqrt{(n_1)!(n_2)!m!}$$
$$\sum_k \frac{(-1)^{n_1+m-k}}{k!(m-k)!(n-k)!(n_1-m+k)!}\left(\frac{p_2}{p_3}\right)^k , \tag{4.0.18}$$

non vanishing only when $m - n = n_1 - n_2$. On the other hand, when $p_1 = p_2$ the fusion rules are

$$[\Phi^{+}_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1}] \otimes [\Phi^{-}_{p,\hat{\jmath}_2}] = \int_0^\infty ds\ s\ [\Phi^{0}_{s,\hat{\jmath}_3}] \ , \tag{4.0.19}$$

where $\hat{\jmath}_3 \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ is given by $\hat{\jmath}_3 + a = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover

$$D_{+-0}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3) = \left| e^{-p_1 x_1 x_2 - \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_2 x_3 + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\right)} x_3^{-L} \right|^2 \delta(p_1 - p_2) \ , \tag{4.0.20}$$

where $L = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3 = -a$ and $x_3 = e^{i\alpha_3}$. The coefficients appearing in the component expansion are

$$D_{+-}{}^{0}[n_1, n_2; a, m] = \sqrt{n_1! n_2!} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{2p}}\right)^{n_2 - n_1} \sum_l \frac{(-1)^{n_2 + l}}{l!(n_1 - l)!(a - m + l)!} \left(\frac{s^2}{2p}\right)^l \ , \tag{4.0.21}$$

non zero only for $n_1 - n_2 = m - a$. The fusion product between Φ^+ and Φ^0 operators is

$$[\Phi^{+}_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1}] \otimes [\Phi^{0}_{s,\hat{\jmath}_2}] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [\Phi^{+}_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + n}] \ . \tag{4.0.22}$$

The relevant coefficients for the OPE between components are

$$D_{+0}{}^{+}[n_1, n_2; n, m] = \sqrt{n_1! m!} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{2p}}\right)^{n_1 - m} \sum_l \frac{(-1)^{n_1 - m + l}}{l!(m - l)!(n_1 - m + l)!} \left(\frac{s^2}{2p}\right)^l \ , \tag{4.0.23}$$

non-vanishing only when $n + m = n_1 + n_2$. Note that

$$D_{+-}{}^{0}[n_1, n_2; a, m] = (-1)^{n_1} D_{+0}{}^{+}[n_2, m; a, n_1] \ . \tag{4.0.24}$$

Finally we consider the three-point couplings between Φ^0 representations. The P^+ and P^- constraints amounts to momentum conservation in polar coordinates

$$s_3^2 = s_1^2 + s_2^2 + 2 s_1 s_2 \cos\gamma \ , \qquad s_3 e^{i\eta} = -s_1 - s_2 e^{i\gamma} \ , \tag{4.0.25}$$

where $\gamma = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$ and $\eta = \alpha_3 - \alpha_1$. Similar equations can be written for $\bar{P}^{\pm}$ and combining them with the J and the $\bar{J}$ constraints we obtain

$$D_{000}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_3) = e^{iL(\alpha_1 + \bar{\alpha}_1)} \frac{8\pi^2 \delta(\gamma + \bar{\gamma}) \delta(\eta + \bar{\eta})}{\sqrt{4 s_1^2 s_2^2 - (s_3^2 - s_1^2 - s_2^2)^2}} \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}(L) \ , \tag{4.0.26}$$

where $L = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3$ and the angles γ and η are fixed by Eqs. (4.0.25).

We now turn to the quantum structure constants of the operator algebra. The three-point couplings will be derived in the next section by studying the factorization of the four-point amplitudes. They can also be derived taking the Penrose limit of the $SU(2)_k \times U(1)_k$ model, as we will show in Appendix A, and the two results agree (up to normalization). Moreover, they can be compared with the three-point couplings for twist fields computed in [23]. The three-point coupling that appears in the OPE of two Φ^+ is

$$\mathcal{C}_{++}{}^{+}(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \hat{\jmath}_3 - \hat{\jmath}_1 - \hat{\jmath}_2)} \left[\frac{\gamma(p_3)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}+\hat{\jmath}_3-\hat{\jmath}_1-\hat{\jmath}_2} , \tag{4.0.27}$$

where we defined

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(1-x)} . \tag{4.0.28}$$

We also recall that in this case, $p_3 = p_1 + p_2$ and $\hat{\jmath}_3 = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. When we have one Φ^+ and one Φ^- operator with $p_1 > p_2$ the coupling is

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-}{}^{+}(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \hat{\jmath}_3 + \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2)} \left[\frac{\gamma(p_1)}{\gamma(p_2)\gamma(p_3)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}-\hat{\jmath}_3+\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2} , \tag{4.0.29}$$

where $p_3 = p_1 - p_2$ and $\hat{\jmath}_3 = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 - n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If on the other hand $p_1 < p_2$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-}{}^{-}(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \hat{\jmath}_3 - \hat{\jmath}_1 - \hat{\jmath}_2)} \left[\frac{\gamma(p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_3)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}+\hat{\jmath}_3-\hat{\jmath}_1-\hat{\jmath}_2} , \tag{4.0.30}$$

where $p_3 = p_2 - p_1$ and $\hat{\jmath}_3 = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover $\mathcal{C}_{++-} = \mathcal{C}_{--+}$ up to changing the sign of all the $\hat{\jmath}_i$ and similarly for the other couplings. We will often use a short-hand notation for the three-point couplings writing for instance

$$\mathcal{C}_{++}{}^{+}(q_1, q_2, n) , \tag{4.0.31}$$

to denote the coupling (4.0.27) with $\hat{\jmath}_3 = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + n$.

A coupling of particular interest is the coupling between two discrete and one continuous representations. It is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p, \hat{\jmath}_1; p, \hat{\jmath}_2; s, \hat{\jmath}_3) = e^{\frac{s^2}{2}[\psi(p)+\psi(1-p)-2\psi(1)]} , \tag{4.0.32}$$

where $\psi(x) = \frac{d \ln \Gamma(x)}{dx}$ is the digamma function. We introduce a short-hand notation also for this coupling setting

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p, s) \equiv \mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p, \hat{\jmath}_1; p, \hat{\jmath}_2; s, \hat{\jmath}_3) . \tag{4.0.33}$$

The couplings $\mathcal{C}_{abc}$ are symmetric in the indexes. The normalization of the coupling $\mathcal{C}_{+-0}$ is fixed by the requirement

$$< \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1} \Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2} \Phi^0_{0,0} > \;=\; < \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1} \Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2} > , \tag{4.0.34}$$

since the identity operator is contained in $\Phi^0_{0,0}$. The normalization of the other three-point couplings has been fixed factorizing the four-point amplitude first on a channel where the intermediate states belong to Φ^0 representations so that we can fix its overall normalization using (4.0.32) and then factorizing it on a channel where the intermediate states belong to $\Phi^\pm$ representations in order to read the other $\mathcal{C}_{abc}$ couplings.

5. Four-point correlators

A very powerful method for the computation of correlation functions in a CFT is to resort to a free field realization of the theory, as has been done for the Virasoro minimal models [32] or for $SU(2)_k$ [33]. As we have already explained, the H_4 algebra has a quasi-free-field realization and the primary states are collections of twist fields. A natural way of computing correlators would therefore be to compute correlation functions for twist fields, following [23]. We will discuss this method in Appendix B.

In this section we choose a different approach and compute the correlators solving directly the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation [34], since in this way the factorization properties of the amplitudes and the constraints imposed by the H_4 symmetry are more transparent. We will compute the conformal blocks that can appear in the intermediate channels for a given collection of external states and then we will reconstruct the four-point correlator summing these conformal blocks in such a way as to construct a monodromy invariant combination. We will see in a very clear context two generic features of WZW models related to σ-models with a non-compact target-space: the number of conformal blocks is infinite and fusion does not close on highest-weight representations of the affine algebra but it is necessary to include the spectral-flowed representations. Moreover, since we are using a covariant formalism, we can study in detail states with $p = 0$, which belong to continuous representations, and their couplings with $p \neq 0$ states, which belong to discrete representations.

The KZ equation is a consequence of the existence of the null vector

$$\left[L_{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(P^-_{-1}P^+_0 + P^+_{-1}P^-_0) - J_{-1}K_0 - K_{-1}J_0 - K_{-1}K_0\right]|V\rangle \ , \qquad (5.0.1)$$

in an arbitrary highest-weight representation V of the affine algebra. When we insert this null vector in a four-point amplitude we obtain a partial differential equation of the form

$$\partial_{z_i} A = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{4} \frac{1}{z_{ij}} \left[\frac{1}{2}(D^+_i D^-_j + D^-_i D^+_j) + D^J_i D^K_j + D^K_i D^J_j + D^K_i D^K_j\right] A \ . \qquad (5.0.2)$$

In the previous equation, the four-point function $A = A(z_i, \bar{z}_i, x_i, \bar{x}_i)$ is a function of the world-sheet variables z_i, $\bar{z}_i$, the insertion points of the four vertex operators, and of the charge variables x_i, $\bar{x}_i$. The $D^{J^a}_i$ are the operators displayed in Eq. (3.0.2), (3.0.3) and (3.0.7), which act on the x variables and realize the H_4 algebra, the index i labeling the vertex operator they are acting on. The precise operators that appear in the KZ equation depend on the choice of the external states.

As usual, we can reduce the dependence of $A(z_i, \bar{z}_i, x_i, \bar{x}_i)$ on the z_i and $\bar{z}_i$ to the dependence on the two cross-ratios z and $\bar{z}$ using the global $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ symmetry. Using the global H_4 symmetry we can similarly reduce the dependence on the group variables x_i and $\bar{x}_i$ to the dependence on two invariant variables x and $\bar{x}$. We then write

$$A(z_i, \bar{z}_i, x_i, \bar{x}_i) = \prod_{i<j}^{4} |z_{ij}|^{2\left(\frac{h}{3} - h_i - h_j\right)} K(x_i, \bar{x}_i) \mathcal{A}(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x}) \ , \tag{5.0.3}$$

where $h = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i$ and the cross-ratios z, $\bar{z}$ are defined according to

$$z = \frac{z_{12} z_{34}}{z_{13} z_{24}} \ , \qquad z = \frac{\bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{34}}{\bar{z}_{13} \bar{z}_{24}} \ . \tag{5.0.4}$$

The form of the function K and the expression of x and $\bar{x}$ in terms of the x_i and $\bar{x}_i$ are fixed by the H_4 symmetry but are different for different types of correlators and we will show them explicitly in the next sub-sections. We start our discussion with correlators involving only $\Phi^{\pm}$ vertex operators which can be divided in two types: correlators containing three states with Φ^{+} and one Φ^{-} ($< + + + - >$ correlators) and correlators containing two Φ^{+} and two Φ^{-} ($< + - + - >$ correlators). We then consider correlators containing also Φ^{0} operators which can also be divided in two types: $< + + - \ 0 >$ and $< + - \ 0 \ 0 >$ correlators. Moreover we note that states in V^{0} representations can also appear as intermediate states in correlators between $\Phi^{\pm}$ states whenever their propagation is allowed by the kinematics.

Using the operator algebra in (4.0.10), we can rewrite each four-point function as a sum over intermediate representations of the affine algebra. The functions appearing in this decomposition are called conformal blocks and are in principle fixed by the algebra, while the three-point couplings represent the dynamical input of the theory. We can choose to decompose the four-point functions in different ways and all of them must agree due to the associativity of the operator algebra. In terms of the cross-ratios in (5.0.4), the s-channel limit $z_1 \sim z_2$ corresponds to $z \sim 0$ while the t-channel limit $z_1 \sim z_3$ and the u-channel limit $z_1 \sim z_4$ correspond respectively to $z \sim \infty$ and $z \sim 1$.

In the following, we will derive the KZ equation for the various classes of correlators and we will solve it presenting explicit expressions for the conformal blocks. The four-point function is then given by a monodromy invariant combination of the conformal blocks. The coefficients multiplying the conformal blocks coincide with the product of the three-point couplings once the four-point amplitude has been normalized in a way consistent with the two point-functions. Further details about the solutions of the KZ equations can be found in Appendix C.

5.1 $< + + + - >$ correlators

Consider a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_1, \bar{x}_1) \Phi^{+}_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2, x_2, \bar{x}_2) \Phi^{+}_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3, \bar{z}_3, x_3, \bar{x}_3) \Phi^{-}_{p_4,\hat{\jmath}_4}(z_4, \bar{z}_4, x_4, \bar{x}_4) > \ , \tag{5.1.1}$$

with

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p_4 \ , \tag{5.1.2}$$

as required by momentum conservation. From the global H_4 symmetry constraints we can derive

$$K(x_i, \bar{x}_i) = \left| e^{-x_4(p_1x_1+p_2x_2+p_3x_3)}(x_3 - x_1)^{-L} \right|^2 \ , \tag{5.1.3}$$

where $L = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3 + \hat{\jmath}_4$ and

$$x = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1} \ , \qquad \bar{x} = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{\bar{x}_3 - \bar{x}_1} \ . \tag{5.1.4}$$

From the decomposition of the tensor products of H_4 representations displayed in Eq. (3.0.15) it follows that the correlator vanishes for $L > 0$ while for $L \leq 0$ it decomposes in the sum of a finite number of conformal blocks $N = |L| + 1$ which reflects the propagation in the s-channel of the representations $\Phi^+_{p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n}$ with $n = 0, ..., |L|$. We also note that only states with $p \neq 0$ can appear in the intermediate channels. When passing to the conformal blocks, we can write

$$\mathcal{A}(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x}) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{|L|} \mathcal{F}_n(z, x)\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n(\bar{z}, \bar{x}) \ , \tag{5.1.5}$$

and setting $\mathcal{F}_n = z^{\kappa_{12}}(1 - z)^{\kappa_{14}} F_n$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{12} &= h_1 + h_2 - \frac{h}{3} - \hat{\jmath}_2 p_1 - \hat{\jmath}_1 p_2 - p_1 p_2 \ , \\ \kappa_{14} &= h_1 + h_4 - \frac{h}{3} - \hat{\jmath}_4 p_1 + \hat{\jmath}_1 p_4 + p_1 p_4 - p_1 - L(p_2 + p_3) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.6}$$

the KZ equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z F_n(x, z) &= \frac{1}{z} \left[-(p_1 x + p_2 x(1 - x))\partial_x + L p_2 x \right] F_n(x, z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{1 - z} \left[(1 - x)(p_2 x + p_3)\partial_x + L p_2 (1 - x) \right] F_n(x, z) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.7}$$

The conformal blocks are

$$F_n(z, x) = f^n(z, x)(g(z, x))^{|L|-n} \ , \qquad n = 0, ..., |L| \ , \tag{5.1.8}$$

where

$$f(z, x) = \frac{z^{1-p_1-p_2} p_3}{1 - p_1 - p_2}\varphi_0 - x z^{-p_1-p_2}\varphi_1 \ , \qquad g(z, x) = \gamma_0 - \frac{x p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\gamma_1 \ , \tag{5.1.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= F(1 - p_1, 1 + p_3, 2 - p_1 - p_2, z) \ , \qquad \gamma_0 = F(p_2, p_4, p_1 + p_2, z) \ , \\ \varphi_1 &= F(1 - p_1, p_3, 1 - p_1 - p_2, z) \ , \qquad \gamma_1 = F(1 + p_2, p_4, 1 + p_1 + p_2, z) \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.10}$$

where $F(a,b,c,z)$ is the standard ${}_1F_2$-hypergeometric function.

The four-point function, given by a monodromy invariant combination of the conformal blocks, is

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = |z|^{2\kappa_{12}}|1-z|^{2\kappa_{14}}\frac{\sqrt{C_{12}C_{34}}}{|L|!}\left(C_{12}|f(z,x)|^2 + C_{34}|g(z,x)|^2\right)^{|L|} \ , \quad (5.1.11)$$

where

$$C_{12} = \frac{\gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2)} \ , \qquad C_{34} = \frac{\gamma(p_4)}{\gamma(p_3)\gamma(p_4-p_3)} \ . \quad (5.1.12)$$

The monodromy invariance of this correlators is manifest around $z=0$ and can be easily verified around $z=1$ and $z=\infty$. Moreover it can be expressed as a sum over all conformal blocks with the appropriate three-point couplings (we use the short-hand notation introduced in the previous section)

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{|L|}\mathcal{C}_{++-}(q_1,q_2,n)\mathcal{C}_{+-+}(q_3,q_4,|L|-n)|\mathcal{F}_n(z,x)|^2 \ . \quad (5.1.13)$$

Moreover, in the t and in the u channels the correlator factorizes as expected from (3.0.15). In Appendix A we show how to get exactly the same correlator taking a suitable limit of the $SU(2)$ correlators computed in [24].

5.2 $<+-+->$ correlators

Correlators of the form

$$< \Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^{-}_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^{+}_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^{-}_{p_4,\hat{\jmath}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \ , \quad (5.2.1)$$

are more interesting. First of all, according to (3.0.15) they involve an infinite number of conformal blocks. Moreover, for particular values of the momenta of the external states (for instance $p_1 = p_2$ and $p_3 = p_4$), the correlator can be factorized on states with $p = 0$. Finally, we can see explicitly that spectral-flowed representations appear in the fusion of highest-weight representations of the affine algebra. The H_4 Ward identities require

$$p_1 + p_3 = p_2 + p_4 \ , \quad (5.2.2)$$

and give the function K

$$K(x_i,\bar{x}_i) = \left|e^{-p_2x_1x_2-p_3x_3x_4-(p_1-p_2)x_1x_4}(x_1-x_3)^{-L}e^{-\frac{x}{4}(p_1-2p_2-p_3)}\right|^2 \ , \quad (5.2.3)$$

where $L = \hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+\hat{\jmath}_3+\hat{\jmath}_4$ as well as

$$x = (x_1-x_3)(x_2-x_4) \ , \qquad \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1-\bar{x}_3)(\bar{x}_2-\bar{x}_4) \ . \quad (5.2.4)$$

Proceeding as before we pass to the conformal blocks and we set $\mathcal{F}_n = z^{\kappa_{12}}(1-z)^{\kappa_{14}} F_n(x,z)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_{12} &= h_1 + h_2 - \frac{h}{3} + p_1 p_2 - \hat{\jmath}_2 p_1 + \hat{\jmath}_1 p_2 - p_2 \ , \\ \kappa_{14} &= h_1 + h_4 - \frac{h}{3} + p_1 p_4 - \hat{\jmath}_4 p_1 + \hat{\jmath}_1 p_4 - p_4 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.5}$$

We then arrive at the following form for the KZ equation

$$\begin{aligned} z(1-z)\partial_z F_n(x,z) &= \left[x\partial_x^2 + (ax+1-L)\,\partial_x + \frac{x}{4}(a^2-b^2) + \rho_{12}\right] F_n(x,z) \\ &\quad + z\left[-2ax\partial_x + \frac{x}{4}(b^2-c^2) - \rho_{12} - \rho_{14}\right] F_n(x,z) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.6}$$

where

$$2a = p_1 + p_3 \ , \quad b = p_1 - p_2 \ , \quad c = p_2 - p_3 \ , \tag{5.2.7}$$

and

$$\rho_{12} = \frac{(1-L)}{2}(a-b) \ , \qquad \rho_{14} = \frac{(1-L)}{2}(a-c) \ . \tag{5.2.8}$$

According to (3.0.15) when $p_1 > p_2$ and $L \le 0$ in the s-channel flow the representations $\Phi^{+}_{p_1-p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The conformal blocks are

$$F_n(z,x) = \nu_n \frac{e^{xg_1(z)}}{(f_1(z))^{1-L}} L_n^{|L|}(x\gamma_\psi(z))\psi(z)^n \ , \qquad n \in \mathbb{N} \ , \tag{5.2.9}$$

where $L_n^{|L|}$ is the n-th generalized Laguerre polynomial,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= \frac{f_2(z)}{f_1(z)} \ , \qquad \gamma_\psi(z) = -z(1-z)\partial \ln \psi \ , \qquad \nu_n = \frac{n!}{(p_1-p_2)^n} \ , \\ q(z) &= \frac{p_1 - 2p_2 - p_3}{4} + zp_3 \ , \qquad g_1(z) = q(z) - z(1-z)\partial \ln f_1 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= F(p_3, 1-p_1, 1-p_1+p_2, z) \ , \\ f_2(z) &= z^{p_1-p_2} F(p_4, 1-p_2, 1-p_2+p_1, z) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.11}$$

The full correlator is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \frac{\mu_L |z|^{2\kappa_{12}} |1-z|^{2\kappa_{14}}}{S^{1+|L|}} \left|e^{xq(z) - xz(1-z)\partial \ln S}\right|^2 \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{-|L|} I_{|L|}(u) \ , \tag{5.2.12}$$

where I_α is the standard Bessel function of imaginary argument, we have introduced the following quantities

$$r = \frac{C_{12}C_{34}}{(p_1-p_2)^2} \ , \qquad C_{12} = \frac{\gamma(p_1)}{\gamma(p_2)\gamma(p_1-p_2)} \ , \qquad C_{34} = \frac{\gamma(p_4)}{\gamma(p_3)\gamma(p_4-p_3)} \ , \tag{5.2.13}$$

and defined

$$S = |f_1|^2 - r|f_2|^2 \ , \qquad \mu_L = C_{12}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{34}^{\frac{1}{2}+|L|} \ , \tag{5.2.14}$$

as well as

$$u = \frac{2\sqrt{r}|xz(1-z)\partial\psi|}{1-r|\psi|^2} = \frac{2\sqrt{r}|xz(1-z)W(f_1,f_2)|}{S} \ , \tag{5.2.15}$$

with $W(f_1, f_2)$ the Wronskian of the two solutions of the hyper-geometric equation,

$$W(f_1,f_2) = (p_1 - p_2) z^{p_1-p_2-1}(1-z)^{p_2-p_3-1} \ . \tag{5.2.16}$$

The correlator in (5.2.12) factorizes as

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{+--}(q_1,q_2,n)\mathcal{C}_{+-+}(q_3,q_4,n-L)|\mathcal{F}_n(z,x)|^2 \ , \tag{5.2.17}$$

where we used again the short-hand notation for the three-point couplings introduced in the previous section. Similar expressions can be written in the t and in the u channel. We have derived (5.2.12) for $p_1 > p_2$. The correlator for $p_1 < p_2$ is given by the same expression up to exchanging p_1 with p_2, p_3 with p_4 and changing the sign of the $\hat{\jmath}_i$ and there is a similar decomposition in conformal blocks. Moreover when $L > 0$ one can show that

$$\mathcal{A}(L) = |x|^{2L}|z|^{2Lb}|1-z|^{-2Lc}\mathcal{A}(-L) \ , \tag{5.2.18}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(-L)$ is the amplitude we computed before.

We have been dealing so far only with conformal blocks pertaining to the propagation of states belonging to the discrete series. For particular values of the external momenta, also states with $p = 0$ can flow in the intermediate channel and as a consequence it has to be possible in these cases to represent the correlator as an integral over the continuous representations. A correlator of the form $< p, -p, l, -l >$ factorizes on Φ^0 representations in the s channel, while a correlator of the form $< p, -p, p, -p >$ both in the s and in the u channel. Let us consider the former. The conformal blocks are

$$F_s(z,x) = \frac{e^{xg_1(z)}}{(c_1(z))^{1-L}} e^{\frac{s^2}{2}\rho(z)} (xz(1-z)\partial\rho)^{\frac{L}{2}} J_{|L|}(v) \ , \tag{5.2.19}$$

where

$$\rho(z) = \frac{c_2(z)}{c_1(z)} \ , \qquad v = s\sqrt{-2xz(1-z)\partial\rho(z)} \ , \tag{5.2.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(z) &= F(l, 1-p, 1, z) \ , \\ c_2(z) &= [\ln z + 2\psi(1) - \psi(l) - \psi(1-p)]c_1(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l)_n(1-p)_n}{n!^2}[\psi(l+n) + \psi(1-p+n) - 2\psi(n+1)]z^n \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.21}$$

where

$$(a)_n \equiv \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} \ . \tag{5.2.22}$$

Moreover

$$q(z) = lz - \frac{p+l}{4} \ , \qquad g_1(z) = q(z) - z(1-z)\partial_z \ln c_1 \ . \tag{5.2.23}$$

The four-point amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \frac{|z|^{2\kappa_{12}}|1-z|^{2\kappa_{14}}}{S^{1+|L|}} \left|e^{xq(z)-xz(1-z)\partial \ln S}\right|^2 \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{-|L|} I_{|L|}(u) \ , \tag{5.2.24}$$

where

$$S = -|c_1|^2\{2[\psi(p)+\psi(1-p)-2\psi(1)]+\rho+\bar{\rho}\} \ , \qquad u = \frac{2|xz(1-z)W(c_1,c_2)|}{S} \ , \tag{5.2.25}$$

and the Wronskian now is

$$W(c_1,c_2) = \frac{(1-z)^{p-l-1}}{z} \ . \tag{5.2.26}$$

Using the coupling between states in discrete and states in continuous representations we can represent it as

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \int_0^\infty ds s \mathcal{C}^2_{+-0}(p,s)|\mathcal{F}_s(z,x)|^2 \ . \tag{5.2.27}$$

The four-point amplitude in (5.2.24) can also be obtained taking the limit $p_1 \to p_2$ in the four-point amplitude in (5.2.12) as discussed in Appendix C.

5.3 $< + + - \ 0 >$ correlators

Consider a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^+_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^-_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \ , \tag{5.3.1}$$

with

$$p_1 + p_2 = p_3 \ , \tag{5.3.2}$$

as required by momentum conservation. From the global H_4 symmetry constraints we can derive

$$K(x_i,\bar{x}_i) = \left|e^{-x_3(p_1x_1+p_2x_2)-\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{x_3}{x_4}-\frac{s}{2\sqrt{2}}(x_1+x_2)x_4}(x_1-x_2)^{-L}\right|^2 \ , \tag{5.3.3}$$

where $L = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3 + \hat{\jmath}_4$ and $x = (x_1-x_2)x_4$. When passing to the conformal blocks we can write

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n(z,x)\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n(\bar{z},\bar{x}) \ , \tag{5.3.4}$$

and setting $\mathcal{F}_n = z^{\kappa_{12}}(1-z)^{\kappa_{14}} x^L F_n$ where

$$\kappa_{12} = h_1 + h_2 - \frac{h}{3} - p_1\hat{\jmath}_2 - p_2\hat{\jmath}_1 - p_1 p_2 \ , \qquad \kappa_{14} = h_1 + h_4 - \frac{h}{3} - p_1\hat{\jmath}_4 + Lp_1 - \frac{s^2}{4} \ , \tag{5.3.5}$$

the KZ equation reads

$$z(1-z)\partial_z F_n = -\left[p_3 x\partial_x + \frac{s}{2\sqrt{2}}(p_1 - p_2)x\right] F_n + z\left[\left(p_2 x - \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\partial_x - \frac{sp_2}{2\sqrt{2}}x\right] F_n \ . \tag{5.3.6}$$

The conformal blocks are

$$F_n(z,x) = (s\varphi(z) + x\gamma(z))^n e^{s^2\eta(z) + sx\psi(z)} \ , \tag{5.3.7}$$

with $n \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \frac{z^{1-p_3}}{\sqrt{2}(1-p_3)} F(1-p_1, 1-p_3, 2-p_3, z) \ , \\ \gamma(z) &= -z^{-p_3}(1-z)^{p_1} \ , \\ \psi(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{2}p_3}(1-z)F(1+p_2, 1, 1+p_3, z) \ , \\ \eta(z) &= -\frac{zp_2}{2p_3}\, {}_3F_2(1+p_2, 1, 1; 1+p_3, 2; z) - \frac{1}{4}\ln(1-z) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.8}$$

The four-point function is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \ & \sqrt{C_{12}}\, C_{+-0}(p_3,s)|x|^{2L}|z|^{2\kappa_{12}}|1-z|^{2\kappa_{14}} \\ & e^{C_{12}|s\varphi + x\gamma|^2 + s^2(\eta + \bar{\eta}) + s(x\psi + \bar{x}\bar{\psi})} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.9}$$

where

$$C_{12} = \frac{\gamma(p_1 + p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2)} \ . \tag{5.3.10}$$

This correlator can be expressed as a sum over the conformal blocks with the appropriate three-point couplings (we use the short-hand notation introduced in the previous section)

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{++-}(q_1,q_2,n)\mathcal{C}_{-0+}(p_3,s)|\mathcal{F}_n(z,x)|^2 \ . \tag{5.3.11}$$

5.4 $<+-\ \ 0\ 0>$ correlators

Consider finally a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^0_{s_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^0_{s_4,\hat{\jmath}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \ . \tag{5.4.1}$$

The Ward identities give

$$\mathcal{K}(x_i,\bar{x}_i) = \left| e^{-px_1x_2-\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{s_3}{x_3}+\frac{s_4}{x_4}\right)-\frac{x_2}{\sqrt{2}}(s_3x_3+s_4x_4)} x_3^{-L} \right|^2 \ , \tag{5.4.2}$$

where $L = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\jmath}_i$ and $x = \frac{x_4}{x_3}$.

The structure of this correlator is simpler if we decompose it in conformal blocks around $z = 1$ writing

$$\mathcal{A}(u,\bar{u},x,\bar{x}) \sim \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_n(u,x)\bar{\mathcal{F}}_n(\bar{u},\bar{x}) \ , \tag{5.4.3}$$

where $u = 1 - z$. Setting $\mathcal{F}_n = u^{\kappa_{14}}(1-u)^{\kappa_{12}} F_n$ where

$$\kappa_{14} = h_1 + h_4 - \frac{h}{3} - p\hat{\jmath}_4 - \frac{s_4^2}{2} \ , \qquad \kappa_{12} = \frac{s_3^2+s_4^2}{2} - \frac{h}{3} \ , \tag{5.4.4}$$

we obtain the following KZ equation

$$\partial_u F_n = -\frac{1}{u}\left[px\partial_x + \frac{s_3s_4x}{2}\right]F_n - \frac{1}{1-u}\frac{s_3s_4}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)F_n \ . \tag{5.4.5}$$

The conformal blocks are

$$F_n(u,x) = (x\gamma(u))^n e^{x\omega(u)+\frac{\psi(u)}{x}} \ , \tag{5.4.6}$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\gamma(u) = u^{-p} \ , \quad \omega(u) = -\frac{s_3s_4}{2p}F_p(u) \ , \quad \psi(u) = -\frac{s_3s_4}{2(1-p)}uF_{1-p}(u) \ , \tag{5.4.7}$$

where $F_p(u) = F(p,1,1+p,u)$ and $F_{1-p}(u) = F(1-p,1,2-p,u)$. The four-point function is then given by

$$\mathcal{A}(u,\bar{u},x,\bar{x}) = \mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p,s_3)\mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p,s_4)|u|^{2\kappa_{12}}|1-u|^{2\kappa_{14}} \left| e^{x\omega(u)+\frac{\psi(u)}{x}} \right|^2 \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| xu^{-p} \right|^{2n} \ . \tag{5.4.8}$$

The monodromy invariance of this correlator is more evident if we reorganize the series in (5.4.8) as

$$\left| e^{x\omega(u)+\frac{\psi(u)}{x}} \right|^2 \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left| xu^{-p} \right|^{2n} = \sum_{l,m\in\mathbb{Z}} x^l \bar{x}^m |u|^{-p(l+m)} \chi^{\frac{m-l}{2}} I_{|m-l|}(R) \ , \tag{5.4.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \frac{upF_{1-p} + (1-p)|u|^{2p}\bar{F}_p}{\bar{u}p\bar{F}_{1-p} + (1-p)|u|^{2p}F_p} \ , \\ R^2 &= s_3^2s_4^2|u|^{-2p}\left(u\frac{F_{1-p}}{1-p} + |u|^{2p}\frac{\bar{F}_p}{p}\right)\left(\bar{u}\frac{\bar{F}_{1-p}}{1-p} + |u|^{2p}\frac{F_p}{p}\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.10}$$

In Appendix C we explain how to compute this correlation function using the free-field realization of the H_4 algebra.

6. Transformations of the conformal blocks

In the previous section we computed the four-point correlation functions between highest-weight representations of the H_4 WZW model and derived explicit expressions for the corresponding conformal blocks. Due to the fact that each four-point correlator can be factorized around $z = 0$, 1 and ∞, we have at our disposal three different sets of conformal blocks, related between themselves by linear transformations known as fusion and braiding transformations. Actually, fusion and braiding matrices are usually discussed in the context of rational CFT. In that case, since by definition the Hilbert space of the model decomposes in a finite number of representations of the affine algebra, they are finite dimensional matrices. Duality transformations for non-compact CFTs have been investigated mostly for the Liouville model and the H_3^+ WZW model [36]. The H_4 WZW model is another case where it is possible to derive explicit expressions for these matrices.

Here we discuss a particular example, computing the matrix that implements the change of basis from the $z = 0$ to the $z = 1$ conformal blocks for a $< + - +- >$ correlator with $L \leq 0$. When the intermediate states in both channels belong to the discrete series, we have an infinite matrix $c^L_{n,m}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. When the intermediate states in one channel belong to the continuous series and in the other channel to the discrete series we will have a matrix $c^L_n(s)$ with a discrete index $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a continuous index $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let us start from the first case. The conformal blocks around $z = 0$ are

$$F_n(z, x) = \nu_n \frac{e^{xg_1(z)}}{(f_1(z))^{1-L}} L_n^{|L|}(x\gamma_\psi(z))\psi(z)^n \ , \tag{6.0.1}$$

where the quantities that appear in this expression were defined in (5.2.10) and (5.2.11). Around $z = 1$ we have (setting $u = 1 - z$)

$$G_n(u, x) = \rho_n \frac{e^{x\omega_1(u)}}{(\varphi_1(u))^{1+|L|}} L_n^{|L|}(x\gamma_\xi(u))\xi(u)^n \ , \tag{6.0.2}$$

where

$$\xi(u) = \frac{\varphi_2(u)}{\varphi_1(u)} \ , \qquad \gamma_\xi(u) = u(1-u)\partial_u \ln \xi(u) \ , \qquad q(u) = \frac{p_1 - 2p_2 - p_3}{4} + up_3 \ , \tag{6.0.3}$$

and

$$\varphi_1(u) = F(p_3, 1-p_1, 1-p_2+p_3, u) \ , \qquad \varphi_2(u) = u^{p_2-p_3}F(1-p_4, p_2, 1+p_2-p_3, u)$$

$$\omega_i(u) = q(u) + u(1-u)\partial_u \ln \varphi_i(u) \ , \ i = 1, 2 \ , \qquad \rho_n = \frac{n!}{(p_2 - p_3)^n} \ . \tag{6.0.4}$$

The f_i and φ_i are related by the transformation formulas for the hypergeometric function

$$f_1(z) = r_1\varphi_1(1-z) + s_1\varphi_2(1-z) \ , \qquad f_2(z) = r_2\varphi_1(1-z) + s_2\varphi_2(1-z) \ , \tag{6.0.5}$$

with

$$r_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \ , \qquad s_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \ ,$$
$$r_2 = \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\beta)} \ , \qquad s_2 = \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma+\alpha)\Gamma(1-\gamma+\beta)} \ , \tag{6.0.6}$$

and

$$\alpha = p_3 \ , \quad \beta = 1-p_1 \ , \quad \gamma = 1-p_1+p_2 \ . \tag{6.0.7}$$

The duality transformation between the two bases of conformal blocks can be written as

$$F_n(z,x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c^L_{nm} G_m(u,x) \ . \tag{6.0.8}$$

In order to compute the matrix c^L_{nm} we use the orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials

$$\int_0^\infty dx e^{-x} x^\alpha L^\alpha_n(x) L^\alpha_m(x) = \delta_{n,m} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)}{n!} \ , \tag{6.0.9}$$

and the following integral

$$\int_0^\infty dx e^{-\sigma x} x^\alpha L^\alpha_n(\lambda x) L^\alpha_m(\mu x) = \frac{\Gamma(m+n+\alpha+1)}{m! \ n!} \frac{(\sigma-\lambda)^n(\sigma-\mu)^m}{\sigma^{n+m+\alpha+1}} F(-m,-n,-m-n-\alpha,\theta) \ , \tag{6.0.10}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{\sigma(\sigma-\lambda-\mu)}{(\sigma-\lambda)(\sigma-\mu)} \ . \tag{6.0.11}$$

The result is

$$c^L_{nm} = \frac{\Gamma(m+n+|L|+1)}{m!\Gamma(m+|L|+1)} \frac{1}{r_1^{n+m+|L|+1}} \left(\frac{r_2}{p_1-p_2}\right)^n [(p_3-p_2)s_1]^m F(-m,-n,-m-n-|L|;\theta) \ , \tag{6.0.12}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{r_1 s_2}{r_2 s_1} = \frac{\sin \pi p_4 \sin \pi p_2}{\sin \pi p_1 \sin \pi p_3} \ . \tag{6.0.13}$$

Let us now pass to the second case. As before we consider a correlator of the form $< + - + - >$ with $p_1 = p_4 = p$ and $p_2 = p_3 = q$ in such a way that for $z \sim 0$ the correlator factorizes on $V^\pm$ representations while for $z \sim 1$ it factorizes on V^0 representations and use

$$c_1(u) = r_1 f_1(1-u) + s_1 f_2(1-u) \ , \qquad c_2(u) = r_2 f_1(1-u) + s_2 f_2(1-u) \ , \tag{6.0.14}$$

with

$$r_1 = \frac{\Gamma(p-q)}{\Gamma(1-q)\Gamma(p)} \ , \qquad r_2 = \frac{1}{(p-q)s_1} + r_1(2\psi(1) - \psi(q) - \psi(1-p)) \ ,$$
$$s_1 = \frac{\Gamma(q-p)}{\Gamma(1-p)\Gamma(q)} \ , \qquad s_2 = s_1(2\psi(1) - \psi(q) - \psi(1-p)) \ . \tag{6.0.15}$$

In this case the fusion matrix is defined according to

$$F_s(u,x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m^L(s) F_m(z,x) \ , \tag{6.0.16}$$

and we need the following integral

$$\int_0^\infty dt t^{\frac{\nu}{2}} e^{-\beta t} L_n^\nu(\alpha t) J_\nu(\sqrt{t} y) = 2^{-\nu}\beta^{-\nu-n-1}(\beta-\alpha)^n y^\nu e^{-\frac{y^2}{4\beta}} L_n^\nu\left(\frac{\alpha y^2}{4\beta(\alpha-\beta)}\right) \ . \tag{6.0.17}$$

The result is

$$c_n^L(s) = (-1)^{n+|L|+1}\frac{s^{|L|}(p-q)^n}{(|L|+n)!}\frac{s_1^n}{r_1^{n+|L|+1}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}(\psi(q)+\psi(1-p)-2\psi(1)+\theta)} L_n^{|L|}\left(-\frac{s^2}{2}\theta\right) \ , \tag{6.0.18}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{\pi \sin \pi(p-q)}{\sin \pi p \sin \pi q} \ . \tag{6.0.19}$$

Using similar techniques one can compute the fusion and braiding matrices for the other classes of correlators.

7. Null vectors

The structure of the representations of the affine H_4 algebra is very simple since generically they do not contain affine null vectors, and we do not have to quotient out the corresponding null submodule. The only exception is given by the representations $\Phi^\pm_{1,\hat{\jmath}}$ which contain a null vector at level one. Using the currents in (3.0.13) and (3.0.14), the corresponding fields can be expressed as

$$\psi_{-1}(z,x) = P^-_{-1}(x)\Phi^-_{1,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) \ , \qquad \psi_1(z,x) = P^+_{-1}(x)\Phi^+_{1,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) \ . \tag{7.0.1}$$

It is easy to verify that they are annihilated by all the modes $P^\pm_n(x), J_n(x), K_n(x)$ with $n > 0$. Due to the presence of the null vectors, we can derive a first order differential equation for correlators involving vertex operators with $p = \pm 1$. In this section we will compute correlators involving null vectors and we will use them to study the three-point couplings between states in highest weight representations with states in spectral flowed representations. In the following we will concentrate on $\Phi^-_{1,\hat{\jmath}}$. Let us start with a three-point coupling of the form

$$\langle \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1,x_1)\Phi^+_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2,x_2)\Phi^-_{1,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3,x_3)\rangle \ . \tag{7.0.2}$$

From the null state condition it follows that

$$(\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3)\mathcal{C}_{++-} = 0 \ , \tag{7.0.3}$$

and therefore $\mathcal{C}_{++-} = 0$ unless $\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3 = 0$. As a consequence, only one conformal block can appear in the decomposition of a four-point function containing $\Phi^-_{1,\hat{\jmath}}$. Consider first a correlator of the form $< + + + - >$ with $p_4 = 1$. The equation implied by the null state is

$$(x - z)\partial_x \mathcal{A} + L\mathcal{A} = 0 \ , \tag{7.0.4}$$

where $L = \sum_{i=1}^4 \hat{\jmath}_i$ and we use the same notations as in section 5.1. A similar equation holds for $\bar{z}$ and $\bar{x}$. The solution is

$$\mathcal{A} = |z - x|^{-2L} G(z) H(\bar{z}) \ , \tag{7.0.5}$$

where G and H are arbitrary functions of their argument, fixed by the KZ equation. According to the decomposition in (5.1.13), the conformal block contributing to the correlator is the one with $n = |L|$ and the correlator reads

$$\mathcal{A}(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{C_{12} C_{34}}}{|L|!} C_{12}^{|L|} |z|^{2\kappa_{12}} |1 - z|^{2\kappa_{14}} |f(z, x)|^{2|L|} \ , \tag{7.0.6}$$

where

$$f(z, x) = z^{-1+p_3} (1 - z)^{p_1 - 1} (z - x) \ . \tag{7.0.7}$$

Note however that when $p_4 \to 1$, the four-point correlator vanishes due to the factor C_{34} in the normalization. The four-point function we have to use in this case is the spectral flow of a correlator of the form $< + + - 0 >$, as explained in section 8.

The null vector equation for correlators of the form $< + - + - >$ with $p_4 = 1$ reads

$$\left[x\partial_x - p_2 x z - L - \frac{p_1 - 2p_2 - p_3}{4} x \right] \mathcal{A} = 0 \ , \tag{7.0.8}$$

where $L = \sum_{i=1}^4 \hat{\jmath}_i$ and we now use the same notations as in section 5.2. The solution is

$$\mathcal{A}(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x}) = \left| x^L e^{\frac{p_1 - 2p_2 - p_3}{4} x + p_2 z x} \right|^2 G(z) H(\bar{z}) \ . \tag{7.0.9}$$

From the constraint on the three-point couplings it follows that this correlator can be non-zero only for $L \geq 0$ and that only the conformal block with $n = L$ contributes to it. The correlator in (5.2.12) (using also (5.2.18)) becomes

$$\mathcal{A}(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{C_{12} C_{34}}}{L!} C_{12}^L \left| x^L e^{\frac{p_1 - 2p_2 - p_3}{4} x + p_2 z x} \right|^2 |z|^{2\kappa_{12} + 2L(1 - p_3)} |1 - z|^{2\kappa_{14} + 2(1 - p_1)} \ . \tag{7.0.10}$$

Again, due to the normalization factor, the correlator vanishes and we have to use the spectral flow of a correlator of the form $< + + - 0 >$.

We can use the correlators in (7.0.6) and (7.0.10) to compute three-point couplings involving spectral flowed states. Following [27], we define the operator generating spectral flow by one unit as follows

$$\Sigma^{\pm}(z, \bar{z}) = \lim_{p \to 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma(p)}} \Phi^{\pm}_{p,0}(z, \bar{z}, 0, 0) \ , \tag{7.0.11}$$

that is as the $n = \bar{n} = 0$ component of the field $\Sigma^{\pm}(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x})$. Four-point functions with one insertion of the operator Σ^- coincide with (7.0.6) and (7.0.10), up to the factor $\sqrt{C_{34}}$ that now is removed by the normalization of Σ^-. We can then act with the spectral flow operator $\Sigma^-(z_4)$ on one of the other fields $\Phi^{\pm}(z_i)$ taking the limit $z_4 \to z_i$ and using the OPE to extract the three-point function.

Let us start with (7.0.6) and consider the limit $z_4 \to z_3$. As explained in section 3, a $\Phi^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representation becomes a $\Phi^-_{1-p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representation after spectral flow by minus one unit. However the states $R^+_{p,\hat{\jmath};n,\bar{n}}$ that form the basis of the original representation are not mapped under spectral flow to the states $R^-_{1-p,\hat{\jmath};m,\bar{m}}$ but to the descendant states that form the right edge of the $\Phi^-_{1-p,\hat{\jmath}}$ representation. This is confirmed by the structure of the three-point function obtained taking the limit $z_4 \to z_3$ in (7.0.6)

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1, x_1)\Phi^+_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2, x_2)\Omega_{-1}(\Phi^-_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3})(z_3, x_3)\rangle \qquad (7.0.12)\\ &= \frac{1}{|L|!}\left(\frac{\gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+|L|} \frac{|z_{12}z_{32}z_{13}^{-1} - x|^{2|L|}|x_3 - x_1|^{2|L|}}{|z_{12}|^{2(h_1+h_2-h_3)}|z_{13}|^{2(h_1+h_3-h_2)}|z_{23}|^{2(h_2+h_3-h_1)}} \; .\end{aligned}$$

Here $h_3 = \hat{\jmath}_3(1 - p_3) + \frac{p_3}{2}(1 - p_3)$, the conformal dimension of the ground states in $\Phi^-_{1-p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}$ and the constant appearing in the second line is $\mathcal{C}_{++-}(p_1, p_2, p_1 + p_2)$ as expected. Expanding the term $|z_{12}z_{32}z_{13}^{-1} - x|^{2|L|}$ in the previous expression we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{|L|!}\left(\frac{\gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+|L|} \frac{1}{|z_{12}|^{2(h_1+h_2-h_3)}|z_{13}|^{2(h_1+h_3-h_2)}|z_{23}|^{2(h_2+h_3-h_1)}} \qquad (7.0.13)\\ &\sum_{n,\bar{n}=0}^{|L|}\binom{|L|}{n}\binom{|L|}{\bar{n}}(x_2-x_1)^{|L|-n}(x_3-x_1)^{n}(\bar{x}_2-\bar{x}_1)^{|L|-\bar{n}}(\bar{x}_3-\bar{x}_1)^{\bar{n}}\left(\frac{z_{12}}{z_{13}z_{23}}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{12}}{\bar{z}_{13}\bar{z}_{23}}\right)^{n} \; .\end{aligned}$$

The powers of z_{ij} are precisely as required by a three-point coupling with a descendant at level n and therefore we can see that the action of the spectral flow operator has mapped the ground states of $\Phi^+_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}$ into the descendants sitting on the right edge of the $\Phi^-_{1-p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}$ affine representation.

We can proceed in a similar way starting from (7.0.10). We take the limit $z_4 \to z_2$ so that the operator Σ^- acts on $\Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}$ producing the spectral flowed representation $\Omega_{-1}(\Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2})$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1, x_1)\Omega_{-1}(\Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2})(z_2, x_2)\Phi^+_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3, x_3)\rangle \qquad (7.0.14)\\ &= \frac{1}{L!}\left(\frac{\gamma(p_1)}{\gamma(p_2)\gamma(p_1-p_2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+L} \frac{\left|x_2^L e^{-p_2x_1x_2+p_2x_2(x_1-x_3)z_{12}z_{32}z_{13}^{-1}}\right|^2}{|z_{12}|^{2(h_1+h_2-h_3)}|z_{13}|^{2(h_1+h_3-h_2)}|z_{23}|^{2(h_2+h_3-h_1)}} \; ,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_2 = \hat{\jmath}_2(1 + p_2) + \frac{p_2}{2}(1 - p_2) - L \; , \qquad (7.0.15)$$

is the dimension of a state in the representation $\Omega_{-1}(\Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2})$ obtained by acting L times with P^+_1 on the ground state. Note that the three-point coupling is $\mathcal{C}_{+--}(p_1, 1-$

$p_3, p_2)$. This is as expected since the previous three-point function can be written as

$$\langle \Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1,x_1)\Omega_{-1}(\Phi^{-}_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2})(z_2,x_2)\Omega_1(\Phi^{-}_{1-p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3})(z_3,x_3)\rangle \ , \tag{7.0.16}$$

and then related to a three-point function of the form $< + - - >$ between highest-weight representations. Moreover $L \geq 0$, as required by the fusion rules in (3.1.4). Finally expanding the exponential we can see that the components in the $\Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}$ and $\Phi^{+}_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}$ representations couple to descendant fields of decreasing conformal dimension, a distinctive feature of spectral flowed representations. In the next section we will find the same three-point couplings studying the factorization of four-point functions between highest-weight representations on spectral flowed states.

8. Correlators between spectral-flowed states

In the previous sections we derived all the four-point correlators between states belonging to the highest-weight representations of the H_4 algebra. In order to complete our discussion we have to consider also correlators between spectral-flowed states. Here we first show that spectral-flowed representations have to be added to the operator content of the model in order to obtain a closed operator algebra, the reason being that spectral-flowed states appear in the fusion of highest-weight representations and therefore as intermediate states in the four-point amplitudes. We then explain how to compute a correlator when the spectral-flowed states appear as external states.

8.1 Spectral-flowed states as intermediate states

The only correlators between highest-weight representations where spectral-flowed states can appear in an intermediate channel are the $< + - + - >$ correlators. Indeed in a correlator of the form $< + - + - >$ the states produced in the t-channel carry $p = p_1 + p_3$ which can be bigger than one, even when all the external states have $p_i < 1$. For all the other types of correlator, having a spectral-flowed state in an intermediate channel implies that at least one of the external states carries $p \geq 1$. In the previous section we explained that in the s and in the u channel a $< + - + - >$ correlator factorizes on highest-weight representations. Here we want to study more closely the behavior of the correlator when $z \sim \infty$. We will show that when $p_1 + p_3 < 1$ the correlator factorizes on highest-weight representations and that when $p_1 + p_3 \geq 1$ the states in the intermediate channel belong to a spectral-flowed representation.

We recall that for $p_1 + p_3 < 1$ we have the following fusion rules

$$[\Phi^{\pm}_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}] \otimes [\Phi^{\pm}_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\Phi^{\pm}_{p_1+p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2 \pm n}] \ . \tag{8.1.1}$$

From this equation and from (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) it follows that when $p_1+p_3>1$

$$[\Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}]\otimes[\Phi^+_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[\Omega_1(\Phi^+_{p_1+p_2-1,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2-n})]\ . \tag{8.1.2}$$

In the following analysis we use the same notation as in section 5.2 for $<+-+->$ correlators, changing however variable in the KZ equation to $w=\frac{1}{z}$ so that it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} w(1-w)\partial_w\mathcal{A} &= w\left[x\partial_x^2+(ax+1-k)\,\partial_x+\frac{x}{4}(a^2-b^2)+\kappa_{12}\right]\mathcal{A}\\ &\quad+\left[-2ax\partial_x+\frac{x}{4}(b^2-c^2)-\kappa_{12}-\kappa_{14}\right]\mathcal{A}\ . \end{aligned}\tag{8.1.3}$$

Let us study the behavior of the solutions to (8.1.3) for $w\sim 0$. Using the OPE, the small w behavior of the four-point function is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|w_{13}|^{2(h_1+h_3)}|w_{24}|^{2(h_2+h_4)}|w|^{2\delta_n}\ , \tag{8.1.4}$$

where the δ_n are the conformal dimension of the intermediate states. When $p_1+p_3<1$ and $L\leq 0$ the intermediate states are in the representation $V^+_{p_1+p_3,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_3+n-L}$ and $\delta_n=h(p_1+p_3,j_1+j_3+n-L)$. We decompose $\mathcal{A}$ in conformal blocks $\mathcal{A}\sim\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_n(w,x)\mathcal{F}_n(\bar{w},\bar{x})$ and for $w\sim 0$ we set $\mathcal{F}_n\sim w^{\alpha_n}f_n(x)$ where

$$\alpha_n=-n(p_1+p_3)-(1-L)p_3\ ,\qquad n\geq 0\ . \tag{8.1.5}$$

The KZ equation fixes the form of $f_n(x)$ and we get

$$f_n(x)=x^n e^{\frac{x}{4}(p_1-2p_2-p_3)+\frac{xp_2p_3}{p_1+p_3}}\ . \tag{8.1.6}$$

Let us compare this result with the small w behavior of the four-point correlator in (5.2.12). In this limit

$$\begin{aligned} &u\sim a_1|x||w|^{-p_1-p_3}\ , \qquad\qquad \frac{\mu_L}{S^{1-L}}\sim a_2|w|^{-2(1-L)p_3}\ ,\\ &q(w)\,-\,(1-w)\partial\ln S\ \sim\ \frac{p_1-2p_2-p_3}{4}+\frac{p_2p_3}{p_1+p_3}\ , \end{aligned}\tag{8.1.7}$$

where a_1 and a_2 are constants and therefore $\mathcal{A}\sim\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|w|^{2\alpha_n}|f_n(x)|^2$, as expected.

We proceed in the same way when $p_1+p_3>1$, setting $\mathcal{F}_n\sim w^{\alpha_n}e^{\frac{\beta x}{w}}f_n(x)$ with

$$\alpha_n=n(p_1+p_3)-(1-L)(1-p_1)-L+N\ ,\qquad n\geq 0\ . \tag{8.1.8}$$

Let us call $|\sigma>$ the state obtained as the image under spectral flow of the ground state of the $V^+_{p_1+p_3,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_3-n}$ representation. In the previous equation we introduced an integer N to take into account that the conformal dimension of the intermediate state may differ from h_σ by some integer due to the action of the current modes. Note that $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ since we are dealing with spectral-flowed representations. The KZ equation requires $\beta = p_1 + p_3 - 1$ and

$$f_n(x) = x^{n+\frac{N+2n-L}{p_1+p_3-2}} e^{x\left(\frac{p_1-2p_2-p_3}{4}+\frac{(1-p_1)(1-p_2)}{2-p_1-p_3}+(1-p_1)\right)} \ . \tag{8.1.9}$$

We recover an integer power in x only for $N = -2n + L$. Since the intermediate state now belongs to $\Omega_1(V^+_{p_1+p_3,j_1+j_3-n})$ we should interpret this result as saying that the external states do not couple directly to $|\sigma>$ but to a state of the form $(P_1^-)^{-N}|\sigma>$ whose conformal dimension is given by $h = h_\sigma + N$.

Let us compare again this result with the behavior of the correlator when $p_1+p_3 > 1$ using

$$\begin{aligned} &u \sim b_1|x||w|^{-2+p_1+p_3} \ , \qquad \frac{\nu_L}{S^{1-L}} \sim b_2|w|^{-2(1-L)(1-p_1)} \ , \\ &q(w) - (1-w)\partial_w \ln S \sim \frac{p_1 - 2p_2 - p_3}{4} + \frac{(1-p_1)(1-p_2)}{2-p_1-p_3} + (1-p_1) + \frac{p_1+p_3-1}{w} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8.1.10}$$

where b_1 and b_2 are constants. Note first of all that from the last line in the previous set of equations it follows that there is a whole tower of states with arbitrary negative conformal dimension, as expected for a spectral-flowed representation. Moreover

$$\delta_n = h(p_1 + p_3, j_1 + j_3 - n) - 2n + L \ , \tag{8.1.11}$$

that is the conformal dimension we expect according to (8.1.2) up to a negative integer $N = L - 2n$.

That the intermediate state has to be a descendant is a simple consequence of the conservation of the charge J_0. To see this explicitly consider the following correlator between component fields

$$< R^+_{p_1,\hat{j}_1;r_1}(z_1) R^-_{p_2,\hat{j}_2;r_2}(z_2) R^+_{p_3,\hat{j}_3;s_1}(z_3) R^-_{p_4,\hat{j}_4;s_2}(z_4) > \ , \tag{8.1.12}$$

with $r_1 + s_1 = n - L$ and $r_2 + s_2 = n$. We know that when $p_1 + p_3 < 1$, in the intermediate channel we have the ground state of the $\Phi^+_{p_1+p_3,j_1+j_3-n}$ representation. Because of J-conservation, the state flowing in the intermediate channel when $p_1 + p_3 > 1$ has to be a state in the conformal family of $\Omega_1(V^+_{p_1+p_3,j_1+j_3-n})$ obtained acting on $|\sigma>$ with an operator carrying a J-charge q such that $q = 2n - L$. Since P_1^- acts non trivially on $|\sigma>$ the natural candidate is $(P_1^-)^{2n-L}|\sigma>$, which has both the correct charge and dimension to match the behavior of the four-point function.

8.2 Correlators with spectral-flowed states as external states

The vertex operators $\Phi^a_q(z, x, \bar{z}, \bar{x})$ we used so far collect in a single field an infinite number of component fields generated starting from a highest (lowest) weight $R^a_{q;0}(z.\bar{z})$ and acting on it with the raising and lowering modes $P_0^\pm$. Correlators between spectral-flowed states are however more easily discussed using directly the component fields. As we explained in section 3, if we bosonize the currents $J \sim \partial v$ and $K \sim \partial u$ we can write

$$\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{i(\hat{\jmath} \pm n)u} \tilde{R}^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath};n}(z) \frac{(x\sqrt{p})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \ , \tag{8.2.1}$$

and similarly

$$\Phi^{0}_{s,\hat{\jmath}}(z,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{i(\hat{\jmath}+n)u} \tilde{R}^{0}_{s,\hat{\jmath};n}(z) x^n \ . \tag{8.2.2}$$

Here the operators $\tilde{R}^a_{q;n}(z)$ carry zero J-charge and are related to the component fields in (3.0.9) simply by $R^a_{q;n}(z) = e^{inu}\tilde{R}^a_{q;n}(z)$.

Spectral flow by w units is then represented as multiplication by e^{iwv} and every correlator can be obtained by first contracting the exponentials and then using our previous results for correlators between the highest-weights. Therefore, up to resorting to component fields and to correlators between descendants of highest-weight states (that can be obtained from the Ward identities) we can compute correlators for arbitrary external states. We note that one has to choose the amount of spectral flow in such a way that the sum of fractional and the integer part of p is conserved.

Let us consider as an explicit example a correlator between four states carrying momenta $p_i = \hat{p}_i + w_i$ with $0 < \hat{p}_i < 1$, $w_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and satisfying

$$\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_3 = \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_4 \ , \qquad w_1 + w_3 = w_2 + w_4 \ . \tag{8.2.3}$$

The correlator is therefore of the form

$$< \Omega_{w_1}(\Phi^{+}_{\hat{p}_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}) \Omega_{-w_2}(\Phi^{-}_{\hat{p}_2,\hat{\jmath}_1}) \Omega_{w_3}(\Phi^{+}_{\hat{p}_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}) \Omega_{-w_4}(\Phi^{-}_{\hat{p}_4,\hat{\jmath}_4}) > \ . \tag{8.2.4}$$

Let us restrict our attention to the correlator between the ground states of the spectral-flowed representations, assuming for simplicity $L = \sum_i \hat{\jmath}_i = 0$. Therefore, all we have to do is to compute the contraction of the exponentials in

$$< e^{i(w_1 v_1 + \hat{\jmath}_1 u_1)} e^{i(-w_2 v_2 + \hat{\jmath}_2 u_2)} e^{i(w_3 v_3 + \hat{\jmath}_3 u_3)} e^{i(-w_4 v_4 + \hat{\jmath}_4 u_4)} R^{+}_{\hat{p}_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;0} R^{-}_{\hat{p}_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;0} R^{+}_{\hat{p}_3,\hat{\jmath}_3;0} R^{-}_{\hat{p}_4,\hat{\jmath}_4;0} > \ , \tag{8.2.5}$$

and then use (5.2.12). The result can be written as

$$\sqrt{C_{12}C_{34}} \prod_{i<j}^{4} |z|_{ij}^{2\left(\frac{h}{3} - h_i - h_j\right)} \frac{|z|^{2\tilde{\kappa}_{12}} |1-z|^{2\tilde{\kappa}_{34}}}{S(z,\bar{z})} \ , \tag{8.2.6}$$

where the function S is as defined in (5.2.14) and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{12} &= h_1 + h_2 - \frac{h}{3} + \hat{p}_1\hat{p}_2 - \hat{\jmath}_2(\hat{p}_1 + w_1) + \hat{\jmath}_1(\hat{p}_2 + w_2) - \hat{p}_2 \ , \\ \kappa_{14} &= h_1 + h_4 - \frac{h}{3} + \hat{p}_1\hat{p}_4 - \hat{\jmath}_4(\hat{p}_1 + w_1) + \hat{\jmath}_1(\hat{p}_4 + w_4) - \hat{p}_4 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8.2.7}$$

are similar to the analogous quantities defined in (5.2.5). Note that here the conformal dimensions h_i are the conformal dimensions of the spectral-flowed states.

Another interesting example is provided by a correlator of the form $< +++- >$ with $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p_4$. When all the p_i are less than one, this correlator can be decomposed in the sum of a finite number of conformal blocks. However when $p_4 > 1$ it can be written as

$$< \Omega_1(\Phi^-_{1-p_1})\ \Omega_1(\Phi^-_{1-p_2})\ \Phi^+_{p_3}\ \Omega_{-2}(\Phi^+_{2-p_4}) > \ , \tag{8.2.8}$$

and therefore it is related to a correlator of type $< --++ >$, with an infinite number of blocks. Similarly when $p_4 = 1$ we can relate it to a $< + + - 0 >$ correlator

$$< \Phi^+_{p_1}\ \Phi^+_{p_2}\ \Omega_1(\Phi^-_{1-p_3})\ \Omega_{-1}(\Phi^0_{s_4}) > \ . \tag{8.2.9}$$

9. String amplitudes

We can combine the Nappi-Witten gravitational wave and six flat coordinates as well as the associated world-sheet fermions in order to describe the superstring theory of the NS5 Penrose limit.

However for the purpose of studying the structure of the S-matrix elements a non-supersymmetric version will suffice. We will thus dress the NW theory with 22 flat coordinates to obtain a critical string theory background $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{H_4} \times \mathcal{C}_{int} \times \mathcal{C}_{gh}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{int} = \mathbb{R}^{22}$. Consequently, the internal part of a vertex operator is given by an exponential $e^{i\vec{p}\vec{X}}$ with $h = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2}$. Representations of the H_4 current algebra contain negative-norm states but once we impose the Virasoro constraint

$$(L_n - \delta_{n,0})|\psi >= 0 \ , \qquad n \geq 0 \ , \tag{9.0.1}$$

on the string states $|\psi >$, the norm in the physical Hilbert space is positive definite, provided that the component in the H_4 CFT of the state $|\psi >$ belongs to a highest-weight representation of H_4 with $|p| < 1$ or to some spectral-flowed image of it. Besides the mass shell condition $(L_0 - 1)|\psi >= 0$ we also have to impose the level matching condition $L_0 = \bar{L}_0$ on physical states. For this purpose it is convenient to introduce two helicity quantum numbers defined as follows

$$\lambda = n_- - n_+ \ , \qquad \bar{\lambda} = \bar{n}_- - \bar{n}_+ \ , \tag{9.0.2}$$

where $n_\pm$ ($\bar{n}_\pm$) denote the number of modes of the $P^\pm$ ($\bar{P}^\pm$) currents that are necessary to create the given state. The J-eigenvalue of a generic state can then be written as $j = \hat{\jmath} + \mu\lambda$ and $\bar{\jmath} = \hat{\jmath} + \mu\bar{\lambda}$. It is important to notice that for highest-weight Φ^+ representation n_- contains a zero-mode part, due to the action of P^-_0 on the ground state, while for highest-weight Φ^- representation it is n_+ that contains a zero-mode part, due to the action of P_0^+ on the ground state. On the other hand for highest-weight Φ^0 representations both n_+ and n_- contain a zero-mode contribution.

The dimension of a generic state belonging to a $\Omega_w(\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}})$ representation is

$$h^w_{\pm}(p,\hat{\jmath}) = \mp\hat{\jmath}\left(p+\frac{w}{\mu}\right)+\frac{\mu p}{2}(1-\mu p)+\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2}\mp w\lambda + N \ , \qquad (9.0.3)$$

where $w \in \mathbb{N}$ is the amount of spectral flow, $\vec{p}$ the momentum in the additional 22 directions and N the level before the spectral flow. For $\Omega_w(\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}})$ representations we have

$$h^w_0(s,\hat{\jmath}) = -\hat{\jmath}\frac{w}{\mu}+\frac{s^2}{2}+\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2}-w\lambda+N \ , \qquad (9.0.4)$$

where now $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can compare this spectrum with the spectrum of a scalar field in the gravitational wave background (2.0.2). In radial coordinates the wave-functions have the form

$$\psi_{p^-,p^+,n,m} = e^{i(p^-u+p^+v)} f_{n,m}(\rho,\varphi) \ , \qquad (9.0.5)$$

where

$$f_{n,m}(\rho,\varphi) = \left(\frac{n!}{2\pi(n+|m|)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{im\varphi}e^{-\frac{\xi}{2}}\xi^{\frac{|m|}{2}}L^{|m|}_n(\xi) \ , \qquad (9.0.6)$$

with $\xi = \frac{\mu p \rho^2}{2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The functions $f_{n,m}$ solve the equation

$$\left(\partial^2_\rho+\frac{1}{\rho^2}\partial^2_\varphi+\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho+2p^-p^+-\frac{(\mu p^+)^2}{4}\rho^2\right)f_{n,m}(\rho,\varphi) = M^2 f_{n,m}(\rho,\varphi) \ . \qquad (9.0.7)$$

and the spectrum is

$$-M^2 = -2p^-p^+ + \mu\left|p^+\right|(2n+|m|+1) \ . \qquad (9.0.8)$$

Comparing this result with $h+\bar{h}$ when $N=\bar{N}=0$ we can identify

$$p^- = 2\hat{\jmath}+\mu(\lambda+\bar{\lambda}) \ , \qquad p^+ = p+\frac{w}{\mu} \ , \qquad n_{\pm}+\bar{n}_{\pm} = 2n+|m| \ . \qquad (9.0.9)$$

The main difference between the two spectra, (besides the term quadratic in μ which is higher order in α' and thus not visible in the field theory limit) , is that μp^+ has to be separated in a fractional and an integer part, respectively μp and w, the integer part corresponding to the amount of spectral flow. As we explained, this is due to the fact that when the "magnetic" length of the wave $(\mu p)^{-1}$ becomes of the same order as the string length $\alpha^{'}$, the stringy nature of the fundamental excitations becomes essential even at the semiclassical level. This is at the origin of the quasi-periodic structure we observed in p^+.

9.1 Three-point amplitudes

In this section we use the three-point functions of the H_4 WZW model to discuss the behavior of string amplitudes in the corresponding gravitational wave background. The string three-point couplings are directly related to the three-point couplings of the CFT on the world-sheet, multiplied by a further δ-function imposing momentum conservation in the transverse directions. They can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &< \Phi^a_{q_1,\vec{p}_1}(x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^b_{q_2,\vec{p}_2}(x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^c_{q_3,\vec{p}_3}(x_3,\bar{x}_3) > \\ &= (2\pi)^{22}\delta(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2+\vec{p}_3)\mathcal{C}_{abc}(q_1,q_2,q_3)D_{abc}(x_1,x_2,x_3;\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{9.1.1}$$

where we are using the same notation as in section 5 and therefore q denotes the collection of the H_4 quantum numbers while the additional label $\vec{p}$ stands for the momentum carried by the vertex operator in the remaining 22 directions. As we change the quantum numbers of the external states, we have to be careful to use the correct three-point couplings. Consider for instance the coupling (we discard the dependence on the transverse momenta $\vec{p}_i$ and on the group variables)

$$\mathcal{C}_{+--}(p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;p_1-p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2-n) = \frac{1}{n!}\left[\frac{\gamma(p_1)}{\gamma(p_2)\gamma(p_1-p_2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}+n} . \tag{9.1.2}$$

This expression, valid for $p_1 > p_2$, when naively continued to $p_1 < p_2$ becomes imaginary. However we showed in the previous sections that the coupling for $p_1 < p_2$ is

$$\mathcal{C}_{+--}(p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1;p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2;p_2-p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n) = \frac{1}{n!}\left[\frac{\gamma(p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2-p_1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}+n} , \tag{9.1.3}$$

which is real and can be obtained from the previous one substituting p_i with $1-p_i$. Moreover when $p_1 = p_2$ the coupling is

$$\mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p,s) = e^{\frac{s^2}{2}[\psi(p)+\psi(1-p)-2\psi(1)]} . \tag{9.1.4}$$

We may use these couplings to investigate the stability under three-point decay of the states of the theory. This is however a bit involved since there are several issues to be clarified, namely the correspondence of the spectral-flow images of the massless sector to the supergravity states, and the associated gauge-invariance. We will leave this analysis for a future publication.

9.2 The superstring theory

The H_4 conformal current algebra, (2.1.4)

$$J^a(z)J^b(w) = \frac{g^{ab}}{(z-w)^2} + f^{ab}{}_c\frac{J^c(w)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT} \tag{9.2.1}$$

where $a = 1,2,3,4$ corresponding to the P_1, P_2, J, K generators, must be supplemented, with four free fermions ψ^a with [4]

$$\psi^a(z)\psi^b(w) = \frac{g^{ab}}{z-w} + \text{RT} \tag{9.2.2}$$

where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.2.3}$$

is the invariant metric of the current algebra.

The super-current is

$$G_4 = E_{ab}\psi^a J^b - \frac{1}{6} f_{abc}\psi^a\psi^b\psi^c \tag{9.2.4}$$

with

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.2.5}$$

and the only non-zero component of f_{abc} is $f_{12K} = 1$. Indices are raised and lowered with g^{ab}.

The theory is supplemented by 6 extra coordinates x^μ and their associated free fermions ψ^μ so that the total super-current is

$$G = G_4 + \psi^\mu \partial x^\mu \tag{9.2.6}$$

The tachyon vertex operator is $V_T = RV_k$ where R is an H_4 primary and $V_k = e^{ik\cdot x}$ is the standard free vertex operator.

The (holomorphic) massless vertex operators in the minus-one picture are (up to the standard ghost factors)

$$\zeta_a \mathcal{V}_a^{-1} = \zeta_a \psi^a R\ V_k \quad , \quad \epsilon_\mu \mathcal{V}_\mu^{-1} = \epsilon_\mu \psi^\mu R\ V_k \tag{9.2.7}$$

satisfying the transversality conditions

$$(\zeta_a\ E^a{}_b\ T^b)R = 0 \quad , \quad \epsilon_\mu k^\mu = 0 \tag{9.2.8}$$

where T^a are the H_4 algebra generators in the appropriate representation .

The operators of the zero picture are obtained to be

$$\mathcal{V}_a^0 = \left[E^a{}_b J^b - E_{bc}\psi^a\psi^b T^c - \frac{1}{2} f^a{}_{bc}\psi^b\psi^c - i\psi^a(k\cdot\psi) \right] RV_k \tag{9.2.9}$$

$$\mathcal{V}^0_\mu = \left[\partial x^\mu - i(k\cdot\psi)\psi^\mu - \psi^\mu E_{ab}\psi^a T^b\right] RV_k \tag{9.2.10}$$

We may now calculate the holomorphic part of the three-point amplitudes.

$$T_3(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\epsilon_3) = z_{13}z_{23}\epsilon_1^\mu\epsilon_2^\nu\epsilon_3^\rho\langle\mathcal{V}^{-1}_\mu\mathcal{V}^{-1}_\nu\mathcal{V}^0_\rho\rangle \tag{9.2.11}$$

where the prefactor is due to the bosonic and fermionic ghosts. We obtain by direct computation (using the transversality conditions, momentum conservation along R^6 and the global H_4 Ward identities

$$T_3(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\epsilon_3) = -i\left[(\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2)(\epsilon_3\cdot k_{12}) + (\epsilon_2\cdot\epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1\cdot k_{23}) + (\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_3)(\epsilon_2\cdot k_{31})\right]\times \tag{9.2.12}$$

$$\times(2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)\frac{\langle R_1R_2R_3\rangle}{z_{12}^{-k_1\cdot k_2}z_{13}^{-k_1\cdot k_3}z_{23}^{-k_2\cdot k_3}}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$T_3(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\zeta) = (2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)(\epsilon_1\cdot\epsilon_2)(\zeta_a E^a{}_b T_1^b)\frac{\langle R_1R_2R_3\rangle}{z_{12}^{-k_1\cdot k_2}z_{13}^{-k_1\cdot k_3}z_{23}^{-k_2\cdot k_3}} \tag{9.2.13}$$

$$T_3(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\epsilon) = i(2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)(\zeta_{1,a}g^{ab}\zeta_{2,b})(k_2\cdot\epsilon)\frac{\langle R_1R_2R_3\rangle}{z_{12}^{-k_1\cdot k_2}z_{13}^{-k_1\cdot k_3}z_{23}^{-k_2\cdot k_3}} \tag{9.2.14}$$

$$S_3(\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3) = (2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)\left[(\zeta_{1,a}g^{ab}\zeta_{2,b})(\zeta_{3,c}E^c{}_dT^d_{12}) + (\zeta_{2,a}g^{ab}\zeta_{3,b})(\zeta_{2,c}E^c{}_dT^d_{23})+\right. \tag{9.2.15}$$

$$\left.+(\zeta_{1,a}g^{ab}\zeta_{3,b})(\zeta_{2,c}E^c{}_dT^d_{31}) + \zeta_{1,a}\zeta_{2,b}\zeta_{3,c}f^{abc}\right]\frac{\langle R_1R_2R_3\rangle}{z_{12}^{-k_1\cdot k_2}z_{13}^{-k_1\cdot k_3}z_{23}^{-k_2\cdot k_3}}$$

where $T^a_{ij}\equiv T^a_i - T^a_j$.

It is convenient to define the following tensors

$$V^{\mu\nu\rho} = -i(\eta^{\mu\nu}k^\rho_{12} + \eta^{\nu\rho}k^\mu_{23} + \eta^{\mu\rho}k^\nu_{31}) \tag{9.2.16}$$

$$V^{\mu\nu a} = \eta^{\mu\nu}E^a{}_bT^b_1 \quad , \quad V^{ab\mu} = i\ g^{ab}k^\mu_2 \tag{9.2.17}$$

$$V^{abc} = g^{ab}E^c{}_dT^d_{12} + g^{bc}E^a{}_dT^d_{23} + g^{ac}E^b{}_dT^d_{31} + f^{abc} \tag{9.2.18}$$

The "massless" string states are of three-types:

(i) Those that have both indices in the pp-wave part, with polarization tensors ζ_{ab}.

(ii) Those that have both indices in the $\mathbb{R}^6$ part with polarization tensors $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$.

(iii) Those that have one index in the pp-wave part and another in the $\mathbb{R}^6$ part with polarization tensors $\xi_{\mu a}$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{a\mu}$.

The three-point S-matrix element can now be obtained,

$$S_3(\epsilon^1,\epsilon^2,\epsilon^3) = (2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)\epsilon^1_{\mu\tilde{\mu}}\epsilon^2_{\nu\tilde{\nu}}\epsilon^3_{\rho\tilde{\rho}}V^{\mu\nu\rho}V^{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}\tilde{\rho}} \tag{9.2.19}$$

$$\times\mathcal{C}_{abc}(q_1,q_2,q_3)D_{abc}(x_1,x_2,x_3;\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3)$$

$$S_3(\epsilon^1,\epsilon^2,\zeta^3) = (2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)\epsilon^1_{\mu\tilde{\mu}}\epsilon^2_{\nu\tilde{\nu}}\zeta^3_{a\tilde{a}}V^{\mu\nu a}V^{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}\tilde{a}} \times \\ \times\mathcal{C}_{abc}(q_1,q_2,q_3)D_{abc}(x_1,x_2,x_3;\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3) \tag{9.2.20}$$

$$S_3(\epsilon^1,\epsilon^2,\xi^3) = (2\pi)^6\delta^{(6)}(k_1+k_2+k_3)\epsilon^1_{\mu\tilde{\mu}}\epsilon^2_{\nu\tilde{\nu}}\xi^3_{\rho\tilde{a}}V^{\mu\nu\rho}V^{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}\tilde{a}} \times \\ \times\mathcal{C}_{abc}(q_1,q_2,q_3)D_{abc}(x_1,x_2,x_3;\bar{x}_1,\bar{x}_2,\bar{x}_3) \tag{9.2.21}$$

and so on.

So far, the above applies to non-spectral flowed states. In the case of the superstring, the spectral flow on the bosonic manifold, has to be accompanied with an associated spectral flow on the free fermionic partners:

$$\psi^\pm_r \to \psi^\pm_{r\mp w} \quad , \quad \psi^{J,K}_r \to \psi^{J,K}_r \tag{9.2.22}$$

so that the total supercharge (9.2.4) is invariant. This should not confused with the fermionic spectral flows on all the fermions (there are several since the model has N=4 superconformal symmetry [4]) and which implement the space-time supersymmetry.

The spectral flow of the fermions (9.2.22) guarantees that the -1 vertex operator of a spectral-flowed rep is similar to the usual case (9.2.7).

We shall now use these couplings to investigate on-shell processes in the "massless" sector that can happen at the 3-point level. Let us start with the decay of a state belonging to $\Omega_w(\Phi^+_{\hat{p},\hat{\jmath},\vec{p}})$ into two other states belonging respectively to $\Omega_{w_1}(\Phi^+_{\hat{p}_1,\hat{\jmath}_1,\vec{p}_1})$ and $\Omega_{w_2}(\Phi^+_{\hat{p}_2,\hat{\jmath}_2,\vec{p}_2})$. It is useful to discuss separately processes where the amount of spectral flow is conserved and processes where it is violated. In the first case $\hat{p}=\hat{p}_1+\hat{p}_2$ and $w=w_1+w_2$. The three-point coupling vanishes unless $\hat{\jmath}-\hat{\jmath}_1-\hat{\jmath}_2\geq 0$ and since $\hat{\jmath}+n=\hat{\jmath}_1+n_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n_2$, where n, n_1 and n_2 are non-negative integers, we also have $n_1+n_2-n\geq 0$. Using the mass-shell conditions, we can solve for $\hat{\jmath}$, $\hat{\jmath}_1$ and $\hat{\jmath}_2$ and we obtain the following constraint

$$\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}+2n)}{p}-\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1+2n_1)}{p_1}-\frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2+2n_2)}{p_2}=\frac{p_2}{p_1 p}\left(\vec{p}_1-\frac{p_1}{p_2}\vec{p}_2\right)^2 , \tag{9.2.23}$$

where $p_i=\hat{p}_i+w_i$. When $w=w_1=w_2=0$ the lhs of the previous equation is always negative and therefore the states are stable with respect to the decay in this channel. On the other hand the decay is possible when the amount of spectral flow is non zero. It is also possible in the second case, when we have $\hat{p}=\hat{p}_1+\hat{p}_2-1$ and $w=w_1+w_2+1$, since then the three-point coupling is non zero for $\hat{\jmath}-\hat{\jmath}_1-\hat{\jmath}_2\leq 0$ and therefore $n_1+n_2\leq n$.

We can discuss the decay $\Omega_w(\Phi^+_{\hat{p},\hat{\jmath},\vec{p}})\to\Omega_{w_1}(\Phi^+_{\hat{p}_1,\hat{\jmath}_1,\vec{p}_1})+\Omega_{w_2}(\Phi^-_{\hat{p}_2,\hat{\jmath}_2,\vec{p}_2})$ along similar lines. The constraint is

$$\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1+2n_1)}{p_1}-\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}+2n)}{p}-\frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2+2n_2)}{p_2}=\frac{p_2}{p_1 p}\left(\vec{p}+\frac{p}{p_2}\vec{p}_2\right)^2 , \tag{9.2.24}$$

and the two possible cases $\hat{p}+\hat{p}_2=\hat{p}_1$, $w+w_2=w_1$ with $n_1-n_2-n\leq 0$ and $\hat{p}+\hat{p}_2=1+\hat{p}_1$, $w+w_2=w_1-1$ with $n_1-n_2-n\geq 0$. Only when $w=w_1=w_2=0$ the decay is forbidden, otherwise it is allowed.

Therefore also state with $w=0$ can decay through this channel.

Another possible process is $\Omega_w(\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath},\vec{p}})\to\Omega_{w_1}(\Phi^+_{\hat{p}_1,\hat{\jmath}_1,\vec{p}_1})+\Omega_{w_2}(\Phi^+_{\hat{p}_2,\hat{\jmath}_2,\vec{p}_2})$, with $\hat{p}_1+\hat{p}_2=1$ and $w_1+w_2+1=w$. The constraint in this case reads

$$s^2=\frac{w\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1+2n_1)}{p_1}+\frac{w\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2+2n_2)}{p_2}+\frac{p_2}{p_1}\left(\vec{p}_1-\frac{p_1}{p_2}\vec{p}_2\right)^2\,, \tag{9.2.25}$$

and we see that the decay is always possible. The decay amplitude is proportional to

$$\mathcal{A}_{0\to\pm}\sim\exp\left[-\frac{s^2}{2}(2\psi(1)-\psi(\hat{p})-\psi(1-\hat{p}))\right]\,, \tag{9.2.26}$$

where the function $2\psi(1)-\psi(\hat{p})-\psi(1-\hat{p})$ is positive for $0<\hat{p}<1$, with a minimum at $\hat{p}=1/2$ equal to 2.77259 and diverges as $\hat{p}\to 0$ as $1/\hat{p}$. Therefore a long string has an appreciable decay rate only into states carrying $\hat{p}\sim\frac{1}{2}$ and this gives a lower bound on s^2, namely

$$\frac{s^2}{2}\geq\frac{w^2}{\mu(2w_1+1)(2w_2+1)}\,. \tag{9.2.27}$$

To obtain the lifetime we need to sum over final states. This is quite difficult to do directly. The proper method is to compute the two-point function on the torus of a spectral-flowed type-0 state and then compute the discontinuity of the cut diagram, but we do not attempt this computation in the present paper.

The last process we have to discuss is $\Omega_w(\Phi^+_{\hat{p},\hat{\jmath},\vec{p}})\to\Omega_{w_1}(\Phi^+_{\hat{p},\hat{\jmath}_1,\vec{p}_1})+\Omega_{w_2}(\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}_2,\vec{p}_2})$, with $w=w_1+w_2$. The constraint is

$$\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})+2n}{p}-\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})+2n_1}{p_1}-\frac{s^2}{w_2}=\frac{w_2}{pp_1}\left(\vec{p}_1-\frac{p}{w_2}\vec{p}_2\right)^2\,, \tag{9.2.28}$$

where now $w_2,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}$. Again it seems that $w=0$ states can decay through this channel.

Thus, unlike the flat space case the massless sector states are unstable at the three-point level. So far we have imposed conservation of the scalar particle quantum numbers. There are extra constraints coming from the kinematical factor of the three-point amplitudes. For states however with polarization in the $\mathbb{R}^6$ part there are no further constraints. An interesting outcome is that point-like $w=0$ states can decay to spectral flowed states corresponding to long strings that are extended in space-time. A complete analysis of the full decay amplitudes is left for a future publication.

9.3 Four-point amplitudes

The four-point string amplitudes are given by the CFT four-point correlators computed in section 5 and integrated on the world-sheet. To simplify our discussion we consider four-point amplitudes for tachyons in the bosonic case, since they capture the essential ingredients of four-point scattering in pp-wave space-times.

As we explained, correlators between primary fields in the H_4 WZW model can be factorized, for particular choices of their quantum numbers, either on the discrete or in the continuous series. For each level we have, in the first case, a sum over a finite or an infinite number of states while in the second case an integral over a continuum of states. Moreover, the amplitudes can also factorize on spectral-flowed states and therefore long strings can propagate in the intermediate channels. In order to study which kind of singularity appears in the string correlators, we have first of all to include the contribution of the ghosts and of the primary fields of the internal CFT. Let $\vec{p}_i$ be the internal momentum of the vertex operator inserted in z_i and let us introduce

$$\sigma_{12} = \kappa_{12} + \vec{p}_1\vec{p}_2 \ , \qquad \sigma_{14} = \kappa_{14} + \vec{p}_1\vec{p}_4 \ , \tag{9.3.1}$$

where the κ_{ij} are defined as in (5.1.6), (5.2.5), (5.3.5)and (5.4.4) but now using for the h_i the complete conformal dimension of the states. The string amplitude can then be written in general as

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} = \int d^2z|z|^{2\sigma_{12}-\frac{4}{3}}|1-z|^{2\sigma_{14}-\frac{4}{3}}K(x_i,\bar{x}_i)\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z};x,\bar{x}) \ , \tag{9.3.2}$$

where $K(x_i,\bar{x}_i)$ is the part of the four-point correlator fixed by the Ward identities for the global H_4 symmetry and $\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z};x,\bar{x})$ is the non-trivial part of the H_4 four-point functions, as in (5.0.3). The term $|z(1-z)|^{-4/3}$ results from the ghost contribution and from the prefactor $\prod_{j>i=1}^4 z^{\frac{h}{3}-h_i-h_j}$ once all external states are on shell, $h_i = 1$. In the following expressions we will omit the factor $K(x_i,\bar{x}_i)$.

Consider for comparison the four tachyon amplitude in flat space, given by the well-known Shapiro-Virasoro formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{string} &= (2\pi)^{26}\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\right)\int d^2z \ |z|^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}(p_1+p_2)^2-4}|1-z|^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}(p_2+p_3)^2-4} \\
&= (2\pi)^{26}\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\right)\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha' s}{4}-1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha' t}{4}-1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha' u}{4}-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha'(s+t)}{4}-2\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha'(s+u)}{4}-2\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha'(t+u)}{4}-2\right)} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9.3.3}$$

where $s = (p_1+p_2)^2$, $t = (p_1+p_3)^2$ and $u = (p_1+p_4)^2$. In this case the z-integral can be done explicitly and the resulting expression in terms of Γ functions makes the location of the poles manifest. However we can also expand the integrand around $z = 0$, $z = 1$ and $z = \infty$ and check for its convergence. For instance around $z = 0$

we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim (2\pi)^{26}\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_i\right)\int d^2z\ |z|^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}(p_1+p_2)^2-4}\ , \tag{9.3.4}$$

and we recognize that the amplitude has a pole when

$$\alpha'(p_1+p_2)^2 = 4\ . \tag{9.3.5}$$

Keeping higher powers in z in the expansion (9.3.4) one recognizes that the amplitude has a pole whenever

$$\alpha'(p_1+p_2)^2 = 4(1-N)\ , \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}\ , \tag{9.3.6}$$

and therefore the poles in the amplitude, due to the propagation of on-shell states in the intermediate channel, precisely match the spectrum of the bosonic string. An identical set of poles is displayed by the amplitude in the t and in the u channels.

In our case, we do not have closed form expressions for the integrated correlators and the study of their singularities is greatly simplified by the use of the factorized form of the amplitudes displayed in section 5. Let us start with the simplest case, string amplitudes involving $<+++->\ H_4$ correlators. We can write

$$\sigma_{12} = h_{12} - \frac{4}{3}\ , \qquad \sigma_{14} = h_{14} - \frac{4}{3} - L(p_4-p_1)\ , \tag{9.3.7}$$

where $h_{12} = h_+(\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2, p_1+p_2) + \frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)^2}{2}$, $h_{14} = h_-(\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_4, p_4-p_1) + \frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_4)^2}{2}$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} = \int d^2z|z|^{2(h_{12}-2)}|1-z|^{2(h_{14}-L(p_4-p_1)-2)}\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z};x,\bar{x})\ . \tag{9.3.8}$$

We expand the amplitude for $z \sim 0$ expressing the integrand in terms of the corresponding conformal blocks

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int d^2z|z|^{2(h_{12}-2)}\sum_{n=0}^{|L|}&\mathcal{C}_{++}{}^{+}(q_1,q_2,n)\mathcal{C}_{+-}{}^{-}(q_3,q_4,|l|-n)\\ &|z|^{-2n(p_1+p_2)}|x|^{2n}\left|1-\frac{xp_2}{p_1+p_2}\right|^{2(|L|-n)}(1+O(z,\bar{z}))\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{9.3.9}$$

where the higher power of z are due to the descendant fields. We then see that the amplitude has a pole when the intermediate state is on shell

$$h_{12} - n(p_1+p_2) = 1-N\ , \qquad n = 0,\ldots|L|\ , \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}\ , \tag{9.3.10}$$

a condition that can be written, reintroducing α' and the parameter μ, as

$$-(p_1+p_2)(\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+\mu n)+\frac{\mu}{2}(p_1+p_2)(1-\mu(p_1+p_2))+\frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)^2}{2} = \frac{1-N}{\alpha'}\ . \tag{9.3.11}$$

Consider now a string amplitudes involving a $< + - + - >$ H_4 correlator. When $p_1 > p_2$

$$\sigma_{12} = h_{12} - \frac{4}{3} \ , \qquad \sigma_{14} = h_{14} - \frac{4}{3} \ , \tag{9.3.12}$$

where $h_{12} = h_+(\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2, p_1 - p_2) + \frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)^2}{2}$, $h_{14} = h_+(\hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2, p_1 - p_4) + \frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_4)^2}{2}$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} = \int d^2z |z|^{2(h_{12}-2)} |1-z|^{2(h_{14}-2)} \mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z};x,\bar{x}) \ . \tag{9.3.13}$$

Again when $z \sim 0$ we can write it as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int d^2z |z|^{2(h_{12}-2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!^2}{(p_1-p_2)^{2n}} \mathcal{C}_{+-}{}^{+}(q_1,q_2,n) \mathcal{C}_{+-}{}^{-}(q_3,q_4,n+|L|) \\ |z|^{2n(p_1-p_2)} \left| e^{-\frac{x}{4}(p_1-2p_2-p_3)} L_n^{|L|}(x(p_2-p_1)) \right|^2 (1 + O(z,\bar{z})) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{9.3.14}$$

and we see clearly that we have a pole whenever

$$h_{12} + n(p_1 - p_2) = 1 - N \ , \qquad n, N \in \mathbb{N} \ . \tag{9.3.15}$$

When $p_1 = p_2 = p$ and $p_3 = p_4 = l$, the amplitude factorize on the continuum and can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int d^2z |z|^{2(h_{12}-2)} \int_0^{\infty} ds s \, \mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p,s) \mathcal{C}_{+-0}(l,s) |z|^{s^2} |x|^L \left| I_{|L|}(s\sqrt{2x}) \right|^2 (1 + O(z,\bar{z})) \ , \tag{9.3.16}$$

where now $h_{12} = \frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)^2}{2}$. In this case, as a result of the coalescence of the poles, the amplitude develops a branch cut. In order to show its presence explicitly we fix $\sigma < 1$ and approximate the integral in(9.3.16) as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int_{|z|<\sigma} d^2z |z|^{-4+2h_{12}+2L} \Theta^{-1+L} \left| e^{xlz + \frac{x}{\Theta}} \right|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n+|L|)!} \left| \frac{x}{\Theta} \right|^{2n} \ , \tag{9.3.17}$$

where

$$\Theta = -\ln|z|^2 - 4\psi(1) - \psi(p) - \psi(1-p) - \psi(q) - \psi(1-q) \ . \tag{9.3.18}$$

The integrals in (9.3.17) can be expressed in terms of the Exponential Integral function. When $L = n = 0$ for instance we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int_0^{\sigma} dr \frac{1}{r^{\delta} \ln r} \ , \tag{9.3.19}$$

with $\delta = 3 - 2h_{12}$. The integral is convergent for $\delta < 1$ and can be written as $\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim Ei((1-\delta)\ln\sigma)$ so that in the limit $\delta \to 1^-$ the amplitude behaves as

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \ln(h-1) \ , \tag{9.3.20}$$

and develops a logarithmic branch cut starting from $h_{12} = 1$. Indeed h_{12} is the dimension of an intermediate state in a Φ^0 representation with $s = 0$, that is carrying zero radial momentum and it is the presence of the continuum mass spectrum that gives rise to the cut. Note that in this case only the tachyon can develop a branch cut because the other string states are never on-shell. However the same behavior appears when the intermediate state belongs to a spectral-flowed continuous representations and in this case there is a branch cut for each string level. We can see this explicitly factorizing a correlator $< + - + - >$ with $p_1 + p_3 = 1$ around $z \sim \infty$, so that the intermediate state belongs to $\Omega_1(\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_3})$. We proceed as before, setting $w = \frac{1}{z}$ and writing

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int_{|w|<\sigma} d^2w |w|^{-4+2h_{13}+2L} \Theta^{-1+L} \left| e^{\frac{x}{\Theta\ w}} \right|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n+|L|)!} \left| \frac{x}{\Theta\ w} \right|^{2n} . \quad (9.3.21)$$

We have again an integral of the form

$$\mathcal{A}_{string} \sim \int_0^{\sigma} dr \frac{1}{r^{\delta} \ln r} , \quad (9.3.22)$$

where now $\delta = 3 - 2h_{13}$, $h_{13} = -\hat{\jmath}_1 - \hat{\jmath}_3 + \frac{(\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_3)^2}{2}$. Since $p = 1$ for the intermediate state, we can have a branch cut for each string level.

Logarithmic branch cuts appear in S-matrix elements of field theory, signaling thresholds for massless states. As an example, for the one-loop propagator in massive ϕ^3 theory we obtain after subtracting the logarithmic ultraviolet divergence

$$G_2 \sim \frac{1}{p}\sqrt{p^2 - 4m^2}\ \log \frac{4m^2}{(p + \sqrt{p^2 - 4m^2})^2} \quad (9.3.23)$$

The amplitude exhibits the order-two branch cut signaling the presence of the two-particle threshold. In the limit $m \to 0$ the amplitude behaves as

$$G_2 \to \log \frac{m^2}{p^2} + \mathcal{O}(m^2) \quad (9.3.24)$$

and develops a logarithmic branch cut. The difference here is that, unlike field theory, the logarithmic branch cut appears at tree level.

String amplitudes having spectral-flowed states as external states can be reduced to the amplitudes we already discussed, up to the shift in the conformal dimension, as we showed in section 8. So far we have analyzed amplitudes between primary vertex operators which correspond to the scattering of tachyons in the bosonic string. Other scattering processes, as those between gravitons, require the computation of correlation functions between affine descendants that can be obtained starting from the correlators displayed in this paper and using the OPE in (2.1.4).

10. The flat space limit

In this section we discuss how to recover the flat space string spectrum starting from the Nappi-Witten gravitational wave. We first reintroduce the parameter μ in the metric performing a boost $u \to \mu u$, $v \to \frac{v}{\mu}$

$$ds^2 = -2dudv - \frac{\mu^2 r^2}{4} du^2 + dx_T^2 \ . \tag{10.0.1}$$

There are two interesting limits to consider, $\mu \to 0$ and $\mu \to \infty$. We will argue that in both cases one recovers string theory in flat space, even though the states that survive in the two limits are very different. Actually when $\mu \to 0$ the spectral flowed states disappear from the spectrum and flat space is reconstructed by states in the highest-weight representations of the H_4 algebra. On the other hand, when $\mu \to \infty$ the situation is reversed: the spectral flowed states give rise to a continuum in p while the highest weights decouple. This behavior should be compared with the one of a compactified boson at radius R in the two limits $R \to 0$ and $R \to \infty$.

Since in most of the previous sections we set $\mu = 1$, let us show explicitly how the current algebra and the conformal dimensions depend on μ. We have

$$P^+(z)P^-(w) \sim \frac{2}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{2i\mu K(w)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT} \ , \tag{10.0.2}$$

$$J(z)P^{\pm}(w) \sim \mp i\mu \frac{P^{\pm}(w)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT} \ , \tag{10.0.3}$$

$$J(z)K(w) \sim \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \mathrm{RT} \ , \tag{10.0.4}$$

and the stress-energy tensor

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(P^+P^- + P^-P^+\right) + 2JK + \mu^2 K^2\right] \ . \tag{10.0.5}$$

From the previous expressions it follows that in order to reintroduce the parameter μ in our formulas we have to make the following rescalings

$$p \to \mu p \ , \qquad \hat{\jmath} \to \frac{\hat{\jmath}}{\mu} \ . \tag{10.0.6}$$

In particular the conformal dimension of a state in a $\Omega_{\pm w}(\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{\jmath}})$ representation becomes

$$h = \mp\left(p + \frac{w}{\mu}\right)\hat{\jmath} + \frac{\mu p}{2}(1 - \mu p) \ , \tag{10.0.7}$$

with $p \in (0, \frac{1}{\mu})$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}$. For a state in a $\Omega_w(\Phi^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}})$ representation we obtain

$$h = -\frac{w\hat{\jmath}}{\mu} + \frac{s^2}{2} \ , \tag{10.0.8}$$

with $\hat{j} \in [-\mu/2, \mu/2)$ and $w \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us start from the limit $\mu \to 0$. The metric in (10.0.1) reduces to the flat space metric and the current algebra in (10.0.4) becomes the current algebra of four free bosons. This flat-space limit can be considered as a further contraction of the H_4 algebra and it can be studied following the same approach as in section 2 and in appendix A, considering the behavior of the three and four-point functions. We do not perform such a detailed analysis here but we limit ourselves to explaining how one can recover the usual flat-space vertex operators. At the intuitive level we expect that the $V^{\pm}$ states will asymptote to plane waves, since the harmonic oscillator potential flattens in this limit. Since $0 < p < \frac{1}{\mu}$, in the limit we obtain arbitrary values for p for the highest-weight representations. Moreover, spectral-flowed states are scaled out of the spectrum. We can also consider the limit of the semi-classical wave-functions for the various components of a $V^{\pm}_{p,\hat{j}}$ representation. They can be extracted from (2.2.15) and read

$$\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{j};n,\bar{n}} = e^{\mp ipv + iju - \frac{\mu p}{2}\zeta\tilde{\zeta}} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{n}!}{n!}} e^{\frac{i\mu u}{2}(n+\bar{n})} \, (\sqrt{\mu p})^{n-\bar{n}} \, \zeta^{n-\bar{n}} \, L_{\bar{n}}^{n-\bar{n}}(\mu p \rho^2) \ , \tag{10.0.9}$$

where $\zeta = \rho e^{i\varphi}$, $\tilde{\zeta} = \rho e^{-i\varphi}$. We now scale the quantum numbers as follows

$$\hat{j} = p^- \mp \frac{s^2}{2p} \ , \qquad n = m + \frac{s^2}{2p\mu} \ , \tag{10.0.10}$$

respectively for $V^{\pm}_{p,\hat{j}}$ representations. Note that in the limit $\mu \to 0$ we have $m, \bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the conformal dimension is $h = -pp^- + \frac{s^2}{2}$. The wave-function in (10.0.9) becomes

$$\Phi^{\pm}_{p,\hat{j};n,\bar{n}} \to e^{\mp ipv + ip^- u} e^{i(m-\bar{m})\varphi} J_{m-\bar{m}}(\sqrt{2}s\rho) \ , \tag{10.0.11}$$

and it can be recognized as one of the components of the usual flat-space vertex operator expanded in a series of Bessel functions,

$$e^{i\frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}(\zeta e^{-i\theta} + \tilde{\zeta} e^{i\theta})} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} i^k e^{ik(\varphi - \theta)} J_k(\sqrt{2}s\rho) \ . \tag{10.0.12}$$

Let us finally consider the limit of the two-point function between a Φ^+ and a Φ^- vertex operator (4.0.5). Scaling the quantum numbers as explained before we obtain

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \langle R^+_{p_1,\hat{j}_1;n_1,\bar{n}_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) R^-_{p_2,\hat{j}_2;n_2,\bar{n}_2}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \rangle \tag{10.0.13}$$

$$= p\mu \delta(p_1 - p_2) \delta(p_1^- + p_2^-) \frac{\delta(s_1 - s_2)}{s_1} \delta_{n,m} \delta_{\bar{n},\bar{m}} \frac{(-1)^{n+\bar{n}}}{|z_{12}|^{4h}} \ ,$$

as expected since the original wave functions were confined in a volume $v \sim \frac{1}{\mu p}$ in the transverse plane.

Consider now the case $\mu \to \infty$. From (10.0.7, 10.0.8) it follows that states in spectral flowed continuous representations have $p = w/\mu$, which becomes a continuous variable in the limit, and $\hat{j} \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, all operators with $p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ behave as if $\mu p \to 1$ and thus become null vectors and decouple.

Therefore we have two different pictures for the flat space limit. In the first ($\mu \to 0$) the potential flattens and flat space arises as suggested by the classical intuition, with the confined states describing larger and larger orbits until they become free. In the second less intuitive case ($\mu \to \infty$), flat space is recovered trough a stringy mechanism, namely the spectral flow. Indeed states with $p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ are so strongly trapped by the wave that they disappear from the spectrum. On the other hand the spectral flowed states with $p = w/\mu$ do not feel the potential, remain free and form a continuum in the limit.

The presence of the spectral flowed states is due in our case to the coupling between the string world-sheet and the NS antisymmetric tensor field that supports the wave and it is precisely for this reason that we can see the long sting states already in the perturbative string spectrum.

In the case of the RR pp-wave obtained from $AdS_5 \times S^5$, the analogue of the long strings are the giant gravitons and they correspond to D-branes, not to fundamental string states. We would expect that in the limit $\mu \to \infty$ giant graviton scattering might reproduce the flat space result.

11. Comparison with light-cone quantization

The light-cone quantization of the NW theory was performed in [9]. We briefly review it here in order to compare with the covariant quantization we presented.

We start from the σ-model action in a Minkowskian world-sheet

$$S_{NW} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z\, \big[2\partial_+ v\partial_- u + 2\partial_+ u\partial_- v - (x^i x^i)\partial_+ u\partial_- u + \partial_+ x^i\partial_- x^i + \\ + \epsilon^{ij}x^i(\partial_+ u\partial_- x^j - \partial_+ x^j\partial_- u)\big] \ , \tag{11.0.1}$$

where

$$\partial_\pm = \partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma \ . \tag{11.0.2}$$

The equations of motion are

$$\partial_+\partial_- u = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_+\partial_- x^i + x^i\partial_+ u\partial_- u - \epsilon^{ij}(\partial_+ u\partial_- x^j - \partial_- u\partial_+ x^j) = 0 \ , \tag{11.0.3}$$

$$4\partial_+\partial_- v = \partial_+(x^i x^i\partial_- u) + \partial_-(x^i x^i\partial_+ u) - \epsilon^{ij}(\partial_+(x^i\partial_- x^j) - \partial_-(x^i\partial_+ x^j)) \ . \tag{11.0.4}$$

We may now pick the light cone gauge $u = p_+\,\tau$ to obtain

$$\partial_+\partial_- x^i + p_+^2 x^i + 2p_+\epsilon^{ij}\partial_\sigma x^j = 0 \ , \tag{11.0.5}$$

$$2\partial_+\partial_- v = p_+ \left[\partial_\tau(x^i x^i) - \epsilon^{ij}\partial_+ x^i \partial_- x^j\right] \ . \tag{11.0.6}$$

As usual the Virasoro constraints can be used to determine v in terms of p_+ and the transverse variables x^i as well as those of the rest of the space x^A, that we take to be flat. The reparametrization constraint reads

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \left[\partial_\tau x^i \partial_\sigma x^i + \partial_\tau x^A \partial_\sigma x^A\right] = 0 \tag{11.0.7}$$

The light-cone Hamiltonian can be computed to be

$$H = \frac{1}{p_+}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \left[(\partial_\tau x^A)^2 + (\partial_\sigma x^A)^2 + (\partial_\tau x^i)^2 + (\partial_\sigma x^i)^2 + p_+^2 x^i x^i + 2p_+ \epsilon^{ij} x^i \partial_\sigma x^j\right] \ . \tag{11.0.8}$$

The equations of motion for the transverse plane coordinates x^i (11.0.5) can be written as

$$\partial_+\partial_- X + p_+^2 \ X - 2ip_+\partial_\sigma X = 0 \ , \tag{11.0.9}$$

for the complex filed $X = x^1 + ix^2$. defining $X = e^{-ip_+\sigma}\Phi$ we obtain

$$\partial_+\partial_-\Phi = 0 \ . \tag{11.0.10}$$

Thus, Φ is a free field albeit with twisted boundary conditions. Indeed, in order for X to be periodic, Φ must have non-trivial monodromy

$$\Phi(\sigma + 2\pi) = e^{2\pi i p_+}\Phi(\sigma) \ . \tag{11.0.11}$$

This matches well with the free-field resolution of the covariant theory.

We can write

$$\Phi = \phi(\tau+\sigma) + \chi(\tau-\sigma) \ , \tag{11.0.12}$$

with

$$\phi = \sum_{n\in Z} \frac{a_n}{n+p_+} e^{i(n+p_+)(\tau+\sigma)} \quad , \quad \chi = \sum_{n\in Z} \frac{b_n}{n-p_+} e^{i(n-p_+)(\tau-\sigma)} \ , \tag{11.0.13}$$

and finally

$$X = \sum_{n\in Z} \left[\frac{a_n \ e^{ip_+\tau}}{n+p_+} e^{in(\tau+\sigma)} + \frac{b_n \ e^{-ip_+\tau}}{n-p_+} e^{in(\tau-\sigma)}\right] \ , \tag{11.0.14}$$

$$\bar{X} = \sum_{n\in Z} \left[\frac{a_n^\dagger \ e^{-ip_+\tau}}{n+p_+} e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} + \frac{b_n^\dagger \ e^{ip_+\tau}}{n-p_+} e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)}\right] \ . \tag{11.0.15}$$

For the free part we have the usual expansions

$$X^A = x^A + p^A\tau + \sum_{n\in Z-\{0\}} \left[\frac{a_n^A}{n} e^{in(\tau+\sigma)} + \frac{b_n^A}{n} e^{in(\tau-\sigma)}\right] \ . \tag{11.0.16}$$

Canonical quantization imposes the commutation relations

$$[a_n, a^\dagger_m] = (n + p_+)\delta_{m,n} \quad , \quad [b_n, b^\dagger_m] = (n - p_+)\delta_{m,n} \ . \tag{11.0.17}$$

In the case $0 < p_+ < 1$ $\quad a_{n\ \geq 0}, a^\dagger_{n<0},\ b_{n>0}, b^\dagger_{n\leq 0}$ are annihilation operators and the ground state satisfies

$$a_{n\geq 0}|p_+\rangle = a^\dagger_{n<0}|p_+\rangle = b_{n>0}|p_+\rangle = b^\dagger_{n\leq 0}|p_+\rangle = 0 \ . \tag{11.0.18}$$

The light-cone hamiltonian becomes

$$p_+H = (p^A)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a^A_{-n}a^A_n + b^A_{-n}b^A_n + a_{-n}a^\dagger_{-n} + b^\dagger_n b_n) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(a^\dagger_n a_n + b_{-n}b^\dagger_{-n}) + \frac{1-p_+}{2} \tag{11.0.19}$$

Note that the transverse plane part is the standard Virasoro operator for a complex twisted boson as expected.

Spectral flow is visible in the light-cone quantization scheme. When the integer part of p_+ is $N > 0$ a rearrangement of the commutation relations is in order. Now $a_{n\ \geq -N}, a^\dagger_{n<-N},\ b_{n>-N}, b^\dagger_{n\leq -N}$ are annihilation operators and the new (spectral-flowed) ground state satisfies

$$a_{n\geq -N}|p_+, N\rangle = a^\dagger_{n<-N}|p_+, N\rangle = b_{n>-N}|p_+, N\rangle = b^\dagger_{n\leq -N}|p_+, N\rangle = 0 \ . \tag{11.0.20}$$

12. Generalizations

The NW WZW model is the first of a class of WZW models with generalized Heisenberg symmetry [39]. All of them can be solved using the techniques developed here. The associated pp-wave space-times have two light-cone directions, and n transverse two-planes. The case $n = 1$ is the NW model studied here. $n = 2$ corresponds to the Penrose limit of the near-horizon region of an NS5-F1 bound-state [17]. The other cases $n = 3, 4$ correspond to the Penrose limit of intersecting NS5's and F1's.

They have metrics of the form

$$ds^2 = -2dudv - \frac{1}{4}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ y^i\bar{y}^i\right]du^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\ dy^i d\bar{y}^i + \sum_{I=1}^{8-2n}\ dx^I dx^I \ , \tag{12.0.1}$$

and NS-antisymmetric tensor field strengths

$$H_{uy^i\bar{y}^i} = 1 \ . \tag{12.0.2}$$

The associated H_{2+2n} Heisenberg current algebra has generators $K, J, P^\pm_i$ with OPEs

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_i^+(z)P_j^-(w) &\sim \frac{2\delta_{ij}}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{2i\delta_{ij}\ K(w)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT}\ , \\
J(z)P_i^\pm(w) &\sim \mp i\frac{P_i^\pm(w)}{z-w} + \mathrm{RT}\ , \\
J(z)K(w) &\sim \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \mathrm{RT}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{12.0.3}
$$

The zero mode algebra is the same as the one generated by n harmonic oscillators. We can identifying $P_i^\pm$ with the creation and annihilation operators of the i-th harmonic oscillator, J with the total hamiltonian and K with $\hbar$. The Cartan subalgebra is as before, while now we have several raising and lowering operators. There are again two classes of unitary representations: $V^\pm$ with $p \neq 0$ and V^0 representations with $p = 0$.

There is a free-field resolution generalizing the one given in (3.2.1), namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
J &= \partial v\ , & K &= \partial u\ , \\
P_i^+ &= ie^{-iu}\partial y^i\ , & P^- &= ie^{iu}\partial \bar{y}^i\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{12.0.4}
$$

where $u, v, y^i, \bar{y}^i$ are free bosons.

The ground state of a $V^\pm$ representation is given by

$$
R^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath};0}(z) = e^{i(\hat{\jmath}\ u(z) \pm pv(z))} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\ H_p^{i,\mp}(z)\ , \tag{12.0.5}
$$

where $H_p^{i,\mp}$ is the associated twist field of the i-th plane. The other states of the $V^\pm$ representations are obtained through the action of $P_i^\mp$. Here also $0 < p < 1$. The conformal dimension of the level-zero states is

$$
h_{p,\hat{\jmath}} = -p\hat{\jmath} + \frac{n}{2}|p|(1-|p|)\ . \tag{12.0.6}
$$

Similarly, the operators of the $p = 0$ representations V^0 can be written in terms of free vertex operators,

$$
R_{p^-,p_i} \sim e^{ip^- u + i\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i\bar{y}^i + \bar{p}_i y^i)}\ . \tag{12.0.7}
$$

Arbitrary values for p are again recovered through the action of the spectral flow that shifts p by integers.

The full level-zero operators can be assembled into a single field at the expense of introducing n auxiliary charge variables x^i

$$
\varphi_\pm(\vec{x}) = \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x^i\sqrt{|p|})^{n_i}}{\sqrt{n_i!}}\ . \tag{12.0.8}
$$

When $p > 0$, the zero-mode operators realizing the H_{2+2n} algebra are

$$P_i^+ = \sqrt{2}p\, x^i\ , \qquad P_i^- = \sqrt{2}\,\partial_{x^i}\ ,$$
$$J = i(\hat{\jmath} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^i \partial_{x^i})\ , \qquad K = ip\ , \tag{12.0.9}$$

and similar expressions for the other representations, generalizing eqs. (3.0.2), (3.0.3) and (3.0.7).

Finally, the calculation of the correlators proceeds along similar lines and they are simply given by the product of the basic correlators computed in this paper.

13. Holography

In [17] a holographic correspondence was proposed to link the on-shell data of string theory in a pp-wave background to off-shell data of the limiting boundary theory. Alternative holographic proposals were also advanced in [19]. The weak link so far in holographic correspondence is the lack of knowledge of well defined off-shell data in the corresponding boundary theories.

In [17] it was argued that the proper on-shell data of the associated pp-string theory are S-matrix elements. They were defined semiclassically by going to a conformally flat coordinate system that covers a strip in x^+ of the full pp-wave space-time. The semiclassical scattering problem was defined with boundary conditions in the past wedge $x^+ \to -\infty$, $x^- \to -\infty$. It was shown that the boundary condition in the $x^- \to -\infty$ of the wedge must be trivial in order for the Heisenberg symmetry to be unbroken. The basis used in this paper is the oscillator basis described in appendix E of [17].

The classical supergravity action was used to derive the appropriate scattering amplitude. Once the amplitudes are derived in this coordinate system, they could be extended to the full pp-wave space-time by imposing p_- conservation. This works because the semiclassical amplitudes are phase-shifts.

What we have shown here is that the semiclassical scattering amplitudes can be extended to bona-fide scattering amplitudes in the string theory on NW pp-wave backgrounds. It is also clear from the setup, that this should also be true in more general pp-wave backgrounds supported by NS-antisymmetric tensor flux.

Moreover, the auxiliary current algebra coordinates $x, \bar{x}$ should correspond to coordinates of the boundary theory. This expectation is substantiated by the fact that this is the case in the AdS_3/CFT correspondence [20, 21]. Indeed consider the $AdS_3 \times S_3$ dual of the NS5-F1 CFT. Introduce auxiliary variables $y, \bar{y}$ to keep track of the SL(2,R) quantum numbers and $x, \bar{x}$ for the SU(2) R-symmetry quantum numbers. The $y, \bar{y}$ turn into the world-sheet coordinates of the boundary CFT, while $x, \bar{x}$ are charge coordinates in the R-symmetry space of the CFT.

The Penrose limit of this theory gives the $H_6 \times R^4$ pp-wave theory which differs from the one presented here by the presence of an extra transverse plane. The free-field resolution is identical, involving an extra set of twist fields, and the scattering amplitudes similarly defined. They are functions of $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}$ as well as p_+ and the momenta of the flat four-dimensional part. The holographic proposal identifies $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}$ as well as the conjugate (via Fourier transform) coordinates x^-, x^i of p_+, p^i with the coordinates of the off-shell boundary theory. Moreover, the free field realization of H_6 indicates a symmetry in the interchange $x \leftrightarrow y$, which implies that in the associated pp-wave background, world-sheet and charge variables appear on an equal footing.

In the NW case described in this paper, the S-matrix elements depend on $x, \bar{x}$ the charge coordinates of $SU(2)$ turned H_4, p_+, and the 22 flat momenta p^i (in the bosonic case), or 6 flat momenta in the supersymmetric case.

The Heisenberg symmetry generators of the holographic dual theory were shown [17] to involve inverse powers of p_+ and it is thus expected that there is a non-locality in x^- in the dual data.

This approach indicates that an auxiliary charge-space must be introduced in the boundary theory in order to properly define the holographic data to be compared with string theory. This is also the spirit of the approach in [37]. An alternative formalism is to dispense with the charge space coordinates at the cost of introducing infinite matrices. It is not clear yet which of the two parameterizations is suitable for the description of the correspondence, although our feeling is that charge-space coordinates give an easier formalism since the group acts by differential operators rather than infinite dimensional matrices.

14. Conclusions

In this paper, we have analyzed string theory in the pp-wave background corresponding to the Penrose limit of LST. The associated CFT is a product of the Nappi-Witten WZW model and six free flat non-compact coordinates. We have calculated the tree-level three- and four-point scattering amplitudes, analyzed their structure and studied some consequences for the physics .

Our results are as follows.

There are two basic set of operators of the CFT corresponding to standard Heisenberg current algebra representations:

- The "discrete-like" $V^{\pm}$ sector involving operators with $0 < |p^+| < 1$. The superscript $\pm$ indicates positive or negative p^+. V^+ is conjugate to V^-. $V^{\pm}$ are organized into semi-infinite lowest-weight or highest-weight representations of the Heisenberg algebra. The transverse plane spectrum of such representations is discrete in agreement with the fact that in this sector there is an effective harmonic oscillator potential confining them around the center of the plane.

The cutoff $p^+ = 1$ is stringy in origin and descents from the $l \leq \frac{k}{2}$ cutoff of the parent $SU(2)_k$ theory. It is also also a unitarity cutoff: standard current algebra representations with $p^+ > 1$ will contain physical negative-norm states in the associated string theory.

• The "continuous-like V^0 sector involving operators with $p^+ = 0$. The transverse plane spectrum of such operators is continuous in agreement with the fact that there is no potential for such operators. The interactions among states in this sector are similar to the flat space theory.

There is a spectral flow that shifts p^+ by integers. The spectral-flowed current algebra representations have L_0 eigenvalues not bounded from below. Such representations correspond to states deep-down the $SU(2)_k$ current algebra representations, related to the top states by the action of the affine Weyl group of $\widehat{SU(2)}$. They are crucial for the closure of the full operator algebra and the consistency of interactions, as they are in the parent $SU(2)_k$ theory. At $p_+ = 1$, the harmonic oscillator potential fails to squeeze the string since it is compensated by the NS-antisymmetric tensor background. Such long strings generate a sequence of new ground-states that are related to the current algebra ground states by spectral flow.

Both the V^0 and the $V^\pm$ sectors contain spectral-flowed operators. There are three types of three-point functions: $\langle V^+V^+V^-\rangle$, $\langle V^+V^-V^0\rangle$ and $\langle V^0V^0V^0\rangle$. The first two have quantum structure constants that are non-trivial functions of the p_i^+ of $V^\pm$ and $\vec{p}$ of V^0.

The third is the same as in flat space (with $p^+ = 0$) since the V^0 operators are standard free vertex operators.

There are several types of four-point functions among the $V^{\pm,0}$ operators:

• $\langle V^+(p_1^+)V^+(p_2^+)V^+(p_3^+)V^-(-p_1^+ - p_2^+ - p_3^+)\rangle$ and their conjugates. Such correlators factorize on $V^\pm$ representations and they have a finite number of conformal blocks. They are given by powers of ${}_2F_1$-hypergeometric functions.

• $\langle V^+(p_1^+)V^+(p_2^+)V^-(-p_3^+)V^-(p_3^+ - p_1^+ - p_2^+)\rangle$. These correlators factorize on $V^\pm$ representations and their spectral-flowed images for generic values of p_i^+. They have an infinite number of conformal blocks and they are given by exponentials of hypergeometric functions. For special values of the momenta, for example $p_1^+ = p_3^+$, they factorize onto the V^0 representations and their spectral-flowed images. In this case, they develop logarithmic behavior in the cross-ratio, which signals the presence of the continuum of intermediate operators. It should be noted that the logarithmic behavior here does not indicate that we are dealing with a logarithmic CFT (argued to describe cosets of pp-wave nature [28]) but rather the presence of a continuum of intermediate states.

• $\langle V^+(p_1^+)V^+(p_2^+)V^-(-p_1^+ - p_2^+)V^0(\vec{p})\rangle$. Such correlators have an infinite number of conformal blocks. They factorize on $V^\pm$ representations, and they are given in terms of the ${}_3F_2$-hypergeometric functions.

- $\langle V^+(p^+)V^-(-p^+)V^0(\vec{p}_1)V^0(\vec{p}_2)\rangle$. These correlators have an infinite number of conformal blocks. They factorize on $V^\pm$ or V^0 operators depending on the channel.

- $\langle V^0(\vec{p}_1)V^0(\vec{p}_2)V^0(\vec{p}_3)V^0(-\vec{p}_1-\vec{p}_2-\vec{p}_3)\rangle$. These correlators have a single conformal block and are the same as in flat space.

Starting from the solution of the NW CFT we have calculated the three-point and the four-point S-matrix elements of the associated (super)string theory on this background. We found them to have the following salient features.

- The S-matrix elements exist, i.e. they are free of spurious singularities.

- They are `non-analytic` as functions of the external p^+ momenta. In particular the amplitudes vanish when one external $p_+ \to 0$ and do not asymptote to the associated amplitude with the insertion of a $p_+ = 0$ state.

- They are "dual", i.e. crossing symmetric as in string theory in flat space. Crossing symmetry was explicitly verified in most cases.

- The four-point S-matrix elements have two types of singularities: Poles associated to the propagation of intermediate physical states with $p^+ \neq 0$, as well as logarithmic branch cuts signaling the propagation of a continuum of intermediate physical states which are spectral-flowed $p^+ = 0$ states. Such branch cuts are very much similar to those appearing in field theory S-matrix elements with massless on-shell intermediate states. However, because of momentum conservation such branch cuts can appear only in loops in field theory, while here they already appear at tree-level.

The states corresponding to $p_+ = 0$, do not give rise to physical states except when there is a tachyon in the spectrum. This would be the case in a bosonic NW$\times\mathbb{R}^{22}$ string theory, but not in the superstring NW$\times\mathbb{R}^6$ corresponding to the Penrose limit of LST. However, spectral-flowed V^0 states with $p^+ =$ integer, can be physical already in the massless (i.e. level-zero) sector.

In the flat space limit, the spectrum of the theory remains as before, the limit is smooth and the spectral-flowed states decouple. This should be compared with the decompactification limit of a torus. There, the momentum states combine to make the non-compact propagating degrees of freedom, while the winding states decouple.

The S-matrix elements we have computed, are naturally functions of the auxiliary charges variables $x, \bar{x}$ used to keep track of the Heisenberg symmetry of the pp-wave as well as of p^+. In analogy with AdS_3 we can identify these variables as auxiliary coordinates of the holographic dual. Introducing also x^-, the Fourier conjugate of p^+, we obtain "boundary" coordinates that match the holographic setup of [17].

The corresponding light-cone quantization [9] of this theory can be compared with the covariant quantization employed in this paper.

In the light-cone gauge one obtains the same spectrum for $p^+ \neq 0$. The $p^+ = 0$ states are not accessible in the light-cone gauge.

The possibility and structure of the spectral flow is also visible in the light-cone gauge, but again `not` the spectral-flow images of the $p^+ = 0$ sector.

Taking all the above into account we may extrapolate and speculate on the structure of the associated tree S-matrix elements of RR-supported pp-waves relevant for super-YM. So far, such amplitudes are accessible only in the light-cone GS formalism. There is no signal for spectral flow in this case. This is expected since the RR-flux does not couple directly to fundamental strings.

We should expect again that in analogy with our case, the S-matrix elements will also be non-analytic in p^+.

Four-point S-matrix elements also are expected to have branch cuts associated to on-shell intermediate (spectral-flowed) $p^+ = 0$ states. It is an interesting question, whether the structure of such branch cuts, factorization and consistency can determine S-matrix elements of external $p_+ = 0$ states.

One of the lessons we have learned here is that, as suspected [17, 40], there can exist S-matrix amplitudes in some time-dependent space-times. Asymptotic flatness does not seem to be necessary for this.

There are several avenues for exploration along the lines followed in this paper. The techniques used here can be applied to a wider class of pp-wave space-times, WZW models or cosets thereof. In particular, it would be interesting to study one of the two simplest cosets [3] of the NW WZW model, due to the claim [28] that it corresponds to a bona-fide logarithmic CFT.

As we have shown, there are two inequivalent marginal deformations of the NW theory. One corresponds to a space-time which is generically not of the pp-wave type. The other describes a class of pp-waves with time-dependent masses in the light-cone gauge. It is an interesting problem whether the space-time stringy dynamics of such backgrounds is amenable to an exact treatment using the solution of the unperturbed theory.

The structure and dynamics of D-branes in the NW background has not given us yet all its secrets, although there has been work in this direction [41]. It is certainly an interesting laboratory for the study of D-branes, in non-trivial curved/time-dependent backgrounds. In particular, analogs of long strings and "transparency" phenomena are expected also for D-branes. For example, D_1 strings on S^3 with a RR 2-form flux are expected to lead to spectral flow phenomena, and long-string ground states. This effect also exists in other cases like D_3 branes in AdS_5 with a 4-form flux [44]. It would be interesting to investigate such phenomena since they have important implications for D-brane dynamics in non-trivial background fields.

An important open problem that remains is the elucidation of the proper holographic observables on the YM/LST side. It is plausible,that the use of auxiliary charge coordinates on the field theory side will make the choice of such off-shell observables more transparent.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to C. Bachas, E. Cremmer, V. Dotsenko, J. Iliopoulos, C. Kounnas, and G. Papadopoulos for discussions. The work of G. D. is supported by an European Commission Marie Curie Postdoctoral Fellowship, contract HMPF CT 2002-01908. The work of E. K. was partially supported by RTN contracts HPRN–CT–2000–00122 and –00131 and INTAS contract INTAS contract N 99 1 590.

Appendices

A. The SU(2)$_k$ CFT and its Penrose limit

In this Appendix we will review basic results concerning the SU(2)$_k$ operator algebra following the original reference [5] [24].We will further take the Penrose limit and compare the result with our direct calculation in the Nappi-Witten model.

A.1 SU(2)$_k$ CFT

The SU(2)$_k$ current algebra was displayed in (2.1.1,2.1.2). It is convenient to introduce auxiliary coordinates $x, \bar{x}$ in order to keep track of the group structure. The classical SU(2) generators act on a spin l representation as the following differential operators

$$T^+ = \partial_x \quad , \quad T^- = -x^2\partial_x + 2l\ x \quad , \quad T^3 = x\partial_x - l \ , \tag{A.1.1}$$

and similarly for the anti-holomorphic algebra. The affine primary fields $\Phi^l_{m,\bar{m}}(z,\bar{z})$ whose conformal dimension is $h = \frac{l(l+1)}{k+2}$ can be organized as

$$\Phi^l(z,\bar{z};x,\bar{x}) \equiv \sum_{m,\bar{m}=-l}^{l} \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} 2l \\ l+m \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 2l \\ l+\bar{m} \end{pmatrix}}\ x^{l+m}\ \bar{x}^{l+\bar{m}}\ \Phi^l_{m,\bar{m}}(z,\bar{z}) \ . \tag{A.1.2}$$

The two-point function is

$$< \Phi^{l_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1;x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^{l_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2;x_2,\bar{x}_2) >= \delta_{l_1,l_2}\frac{(x_{12}\bar{x}_{12})^{2l_1}}{(z_{12}\bar{z}_{12})^{2h_1}} \ . \tag{A.1.3}$$

---

[5] We correct on the way some misprints in that paper.

We also define the following x dependent current

$$J(x,z) = J^-(z) + 2xJ^3(z) - x^2 J^+(z) \ , \tag{A.1.4}$$

and then using the OPE of the $SU(2)$ currents with the primary fields one can write the Ward identity in a compact form

$$\langle\ J(x,z)\prod_{i=1}^{M}\Phi^{l_i}(z_i,x_i)\ \rangle = -\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left[\frac{2l_j(x-x_j)}{(z-z_j)} + \frac{(x-x_j)^2}{(z-z_j)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right]\langle\ \prod_{i=1}^{M}\Phi^{l_i}(z_i,x_i)\ \rangle\ . \tag{A.1.5}$$

Solving the global SU(2)$_L$×SU(2)$_R$ and the conformal Ward identities we can write the three-point functions as

$$\langle\ \prod_{i=1}^{3}\Phi^{l_i}(z_i,\bar z_i;x_i,\bar x_i)\ \rangle = C(l_1,l_2,l_3)\prod_{i<j}^{3}\frac{(x_{ij}\ \bar x_{ij})^{l_{ij}}}{(z_{ij}\ \bar z_{ij})^{h_{ij}}}\ , \tag{A.1.6}$$

where $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$, $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$, $l_{12} = l_1 + l_2 - l_3$, $h_{12} = h_1 + h_2 - h_3$ and cyclic permutations of the indexes. Similarly the four-point function is given by

$$\langle\ \prod_{i=1}^{4}\Phi^{l_i}(z_i,\bar z_i;x_i,\bar x_i)\ \rangle = (x_{14}\ \bar x_{14})^{2l_1}(x_{24}\ \bar x_{24})^{l_2+l_4-l_1-l_3}(x_{34}\ \bar x_{34})^{l_3+l_4-l_1-l_2}\times \tag{A.1.7}$$

$$\times(x_{23}\ \bar x_{23})^{l_1+l_2+l_3-l_4}(z_{14}\ \bar z_{14})^{-2h_1}(z_{24}\ \bar z_{24})^{\nu_1}(z_{34}\ \bar z_{34})^{\nu_2}(z_{23}\ \bar z_{23})^{\nu_3}U(z,\bar z,x,\bar x)\ ,$$

with

$$\nu_1 = +h_1 + h_3 - h_2 - h_4 \quad , \quad \nu_2 = +h_1 + h_2 - h_3 - h_4 \quad , \quad \nu_3 = -h_1 - h_2 - h_3 + h_4\ , \tag{A.1.8}$$

and

$$z = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{14}z_{32}}\ , \qquad\qquad x = \frac{x_{12}x_{34}}{x_{14}x_{32}}\ . \tag{A.1.9}$$

Note that the above cross-ratio differs from the one used in the paper and defined in Eq. (5.0.4) by the exchange $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$. We will take, without loss of generality, the l_i to be ordered as $l_1 \le l_2 \le l_3 \le l_4$.

The correlation functions satisfy two types of differential equations. The first, the Zamolodchikov-Fateev equation, comes from the pure affine null vectors and reads

$$\left[\sum_{n=2}^{M}\frac{1}{z_1 - z_n}\left\{(x_1-x_n)^2\partial_{x_n} + 2l_n(x_1-x_n)\right\}\right]^{k-2l_1+1}\langle\ \prod_{i=1}^{M}\Phi^{l_i}(z_i,\bar z_i;x_i,\bar x_i)\ \rangle\ , \tag{A.1.10}$$

as well as (M-1) similar ones. The others, the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, come from the fact that the stress tensor is of the affine-Sugawara form and read

$$\left[(k+2)\partial_{z_i} - \sum_{i<j}^{M}\frac{2T_i^3T_j^3 + T_i^+T_j^- + T_i^-T_j^+}{z_i - z_j}\right]\ \langle\ \prod_{r=1}^{M}\Phi^{l_r}(z_r,\bar z_r;x_r,\bar x_r)\ \rangle = 0\ . \tag{A.1.11}$$

The KZ equations on the three-point functions relate the spin to the conformal dimension as $h = \frac{l(l+1)}{k+2}$ while the ZF equations give

$$\prod_{n=1}^{k+1} (l_1 + l_2 - l_3 + n - 1) \;\; C(l_1, l_2, l_3) \; , \tag{A.1.12}$$

which in turn imply the truncation of the operator algebra at $l = \frac{k}{2}$

$$[\Phi^{l_1}] \; \otimes \; [\Phi^{l_2}] = \sum_{l=|l_1-l_2|}^{\min\; (l_1+l_2, k-l_1-l_2)} [\Phi^{l}] \; , \tag{A.1.13}$$

For the four-point function the ZF equation imply

$$\prod_{n=0}^{k-2l_4} \left[(x-z)\partial_x + l_4 - l_1 - l_2 - l_3 + n\right] \;\; U(z, \bar{z}; x, \bar{x}) = 0 \; . \tag{A.1.14}$$

This equation can be solved as

$$U(z, \bar{z}; x, \bar{x}) = \sum_{p, \bar{p} = p_0}^{p_1} \; (x - z)^{p} \; (\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{\bar{p}} \;\; U_{p, \bar{p}}(z, \bar{z}) \; , \tag{A.1.15}$$

where

$$p_0 = \max(0, l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 - k) \; , \qquad p_1 = \min(2l_1, l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - l_4) \; . \tag{A.1.16}$$

On the other hand the KZ equation implies

$$\begin{gathered}(k+2) z(z-1) \partial_z U(z,x) = x(x-1)(x-z) \partial_x^2 U(z,x) - \\ \left[(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - l_4 - 1)(x^2 - 2zx + z) + 2(l_1 x(x-1) + l_2 x(z-1) + l_3 (x-1) z)\right] \partial_x U(z,x) \\ - \left[2(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - l_4) l_1 (z - x) - 2 l_1 l_2 (z-1) - 2 l_1 l_3 z\right] U(z,x) \; ,\end{gathered} \tag{A.1.17}$$

and upon substituting (A.1.15) we obtain the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{gathered}(k+2) z(z-1) \partial_z U_{p,\bar{p}} = (p+1)(p+1+k-j) z(z-1) U_{p+1,\bar{p}} \\ - (a_p z + b_p (z-1)) U_{p,\bar{p}} + (2l_1 - p + 1)(j + 1 - 2l_4 - p) U_{p-1,\bar{p}} \; ,\end{gathered} \tag{A.1.18}$$

and a similar one for $\bar{z}$ where

$$j = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 \quad , \quad a_p = p(p+1) - 2(p - l_1)(p - l_3) \quad , \quad b_p = p(p+1) - 2(p - l_1)(p - l_2) \; . \tag{A.1.19}$$

Fateev and Zamolodchikov noticed that (A.1.17) can be connected to the differential equation for a five-point correlator of a conformal minimal model and therefore they were able to present the solution as a Dotsenko-Fateev integral

$$U(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \mathcal{N}(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4)\ |z|^{\frac{4l_1l_2}{k+2}}\ |1-z|^{\frac{4l_1l_3}{k+2}} \times \tag{A.1.20}$$

$$\times \int \prod_{i=1}^{2l_1} \frac{dt_i d\bar{t}_i}{(2\pi i)}\ |t_i - z|^{-\frac{2\beta_1}{k+2}}\ |t_i|^{-\frac{2\beta_2}{k+2}}\ |t_i - 1|^{-\frac{2\beta_3}{k+2}}\ |x - t_i|^2\ |D(t)|^{\frac{4}{k+2}}\ ,$$

where

$$D(t) = \prod_{i<j}(t_i - t_j)\ , \tag{A.1.21}$$

$$\beta_1 = l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4+1 \quad , \quad \beta_2 = k+l_1+l_2-l_3-l_4+1 \quad , \quad \beta_3 = k+l_1+l_3-l_2-l_4+1\ . \tag{A.1.22}$$

The normalization is (we define from now on $N \equiv k+2$)

$$\mathcal{N}^2(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4) = \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)}\right]^{4l_1+2} \frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{2l_1+1}{N}\right)\ P^2(l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4+1)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2l_1+1}{N}\right) P^2(2l_1)} \times \tag{A.1.23}$$

$$\times \prod_{i=2}^{4} \frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{2l_i+1}{N}\right)\ P^2(l_2+l_3+l_4-l_1-2l_i)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2l_i+1}{N}\right) P^2(2l_i)}\ ,$$

with

$$P(n) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{m}{N}\right)} \quad , \quad P(0) = 1\ . \tag{A.1.24}$$

The quantum structure constants of the operator algebra are

$$C^2(l_1,l_2,l_3) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)}\ P^2(l_1+l_2+l_3+1) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{2l_i+1}{N}\right)\ P^2(l_1+l_2+l_3-2l_i)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2l_i+1}{N}\right)\ P^2(2l_i)}\ . \tag{A.1.25}$$

In [33], the four-point functions of the $SU(2)$ WZW model were computed using the Wakimoto free-field representation.

A.2 The asymptotics of the P-function

As explained in section 2, the Penrose limit involves taking $N = k+2 \to \infty$ while scaling

$$2l = k|p| \mp 2\hat{\jmath} = N|p| - 2(|p| \pm \hat{\jmath}) \equiv N|p| + a\ , \tag{A.2.1}$$

for the $V^{\pm}$ representations. For the V^0 representations we have instead

$$2l = \sqrt{2N}\ s\ . \tag{A.2.2}$$

As a first step we need the asymptotics of the P-function in order to obtain the structure constants of the Penrose limit operator algebra.

The P-function satisfies

$$P(0)=1 \quad , \quad P(N-1)=1 \quad , \quad P(n)=0 \quad \text{for} \quad n>N-1 \quad , \quad P(N-n)=P(n-1)\,. \tag{A.2.3}$$

Using the asymptotic formula

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(p+\frac{a}{N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-p-\frac{a}{N}\right)}=\frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(1-p)}\left[1+\frac{a}{N}\partial_p \log\frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(1-p)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\right] \ , \tag{A.2.4}$$

we obtain that for $n\sim\mathcal{O}(1)<<N$

$$P(n)=\frac{N^n}{n!}\left[1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right] \ . \tag{A.2.5}$$

To proceed further we will need the following asymptotic expansions

$$\log\Gamma(1-x)=\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi x}{\sin\pi x}+Cx+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1}\zeta(2n+1)\ , \tag{A.2.6}$$

$$\log\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(1-x)}=-\log x-2\left[Cx+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1}\zeta(2n+1)\right]\ , \tag{A.2.7}$$

valid for $x\in[0,1]$, as well as the asymptotic formula for the Γ-function

$$\log[\Gamma(x)]=\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)\log x-x+\log\sqrt{2\pi}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\ , \tag{A.2.8}$$

valid for large x.

The strategy to obtain the asymptotics is to use (A.2.7) to expand the logarithm of P as a series of sums of integers and then do the leading parts of the sums using

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} i^{2n+1}=\frac{1}{2(n+1)}M^{2n+2}+\frac{1}{2}M^{2n+1}+\frac{2n+1}{12}M^{2n}+\mathcal{O}\left(M^{2n-1}\right)\ . \tag{A.2.9}$$

In this way we obtain

$$\log P(p\sqrt{N})=p\sqrt{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\log N+1-\log p\right)-\log\sqrt{2\pi p\sqrt{N}}-Cp^2+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\ , \tag{A.2.10}$$

$$\log\frac{P(p\sqrt{N}+n)}{P(p\sqrt{N})}=n\log\frac{\sqrt{N}}{p}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\ , \tag{A.2.11}$$

where C is the Euler constant.

Define further

$$F(p)=\int_0^p dx\log\left[\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(1-x)}\right] \quad , \quad F(p)=F(1-p)\ . \tag{A.2.12}$$

F is a monotonically increasing function in [0,1/2]. Then,

$$\log P(Np) = N\ F(p) - \frac{1}{2}\log N + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(1-p)} - \log\sqrt{2\pi} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\ , \quad \text{(A.2.13)}$$

$$\log\frac{P(Np_1+\sqrt{N}p_2)}{P(Np_1)} = \sqrt{N}p_2\log\frac{\Gamma(p_1)}{\Gamma(1-p_1)} + \frac{p_2^2}{2}\partial_{p_1}\log\frac{\Gamma(p_1)}{\Gamma(1-p_1)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\ , \quad \text{(A.2.14)}$$

$$\log P(Np_1+\sqrt{N}p_2+n) = \log P(Np_1+\sqrt{N}p_2) + n\log\frac{\Gamma(p_1)}{\Gamma(1-p_1)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\ , \quad \text{(A.2.15)}$$

where in that last equation $n \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

A.3 The Penrose limit of the classical Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

We can now study the limiting behavior of the three-point couplings of the $SU(2)_k$ model. As for the z dependence, we already explained that the conformal dimensions when dressed by the U(1) part of the primaries trivially asymptotes to the appropriate H_4 limit. Let us then consider the part proportional to the Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficients that should reproduce the corresponding quantities for the H_4 algebra. The classical CG part is

$$CG_3(l_1,l_2,l_3) = |x_{12}|^{2l_{12}}\ |x_{13}|^{2l_{13}}\ |x_{23}|^{2l_{23}}\ . \quad \text{(A.3.1)}$$

To obtain the Penrose limit we must define

$$\hat{\Phi}^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(y,z) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\ \Phi^l\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{2l}},z\right) \quad , \quad 2l = N|p| - 2(|p|-\hat{\jmath})\ , \quad \text{(A.3.2)}$$

$$\hat{\Phi}^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(y,z) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\ \left(\frac{\sqrt{2l}}{y}\right)^{-2l}\ \Phi^l\left(\frac{\sqrt{2l}}{y},z\right) \quad , \quad 2l = N|p| - 2(|p|+\hat{\jmath})\ , \quad \text{(A.3.3)}$$

$$\Phi^0_s(y,z) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\ y^{-l-\hat{\jmath}}\ \Phi^l(y,z) \quad , \quad 2l = \sqrt{2N}\ s\ . \quad \text{(A.3.4)}$$

If we further define

$$\Phi^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(x,z) = \hat{\Phi}^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(\sqrt{|p|}x,z)\ , \quad \text{(A.3.5)}$$

then $\Phi^{\pm,0}(x,z)$ have the expansion used in the main part of the paper (3.0.9).

In the $<++->$ case we have

$$CG_3 \Longrightarrow N^{\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+\hat{\jmath}_3}\left|\ \exp\left[-x_3(p_1x_1+p_2x_2)\right]\quad (x_1-x_2)^{-\hat{\jmath}_1-\hat{\jmath}_2-\hat{\jmath}_3}\right|^2 + \text{subleading}\ , \quad \text{(A.3.6)}$$

that agrees up to the divergent normalisation with the appropriate H_4 CG coefficient (4.0.12).

For a $< + - 0 >$ coupling we have

$$CG_3 \Longrightarrow \left| x_3^{-L} \; e^{-px_1x_2 - \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_2x_3 + \frac{x_1}{x_3}\right)} \right|^2 + \text{subleading} \; , \tag{A.3.7}$$

matching (4.0.20). Finally in the $< 000 >$ case one can use the relation between Jacobi polynomials and Bessel functions [31] to take the Penrose limit of the CG coefficients of $SU(2)$ and the result is (4.0.26).

A.4 Penrose limit of the quantum structure constants

We consider now the Penrose limit of the quantum structure constants $C(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ of the operator algebra of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_k$ and we will identify the result with the H_4 three-point couplings, since the structure constants of the U(1) part are trivial. We will also see how the selection rules for l, m and q translates into selection rules for p and $\hat{\jmath}$.

Let us start with the coupling between three $V^{\pm}$ representations and without loss of generality consider a $+ + -$ configuration. The standard inequalities of the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of SU(2) imply that $L \equiv \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3 \leq 0$ and charge conservation requires $p_3 = p_1 + p_2$. Using the formulae of the previous section we can compute

$$C_{++-} = \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}-L}}{\Gamma(1-L)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\Gamma(1-(p_1+p_2))} \frac{\Gamma(1-p_1)}{\Gamma(p_1)} \frac{\Gamma(1-p_2)}{\Gamma(p_2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}-L} \; . \tag{A.4.1}$$

Note that when combined with the CG coefficients in $(A.3.6)$, the three-point coupling diverges with N as $\sqrt{N}$. The power divergences reflects the presence of the continuum p-conserving δ-function evaluated at zero argument. It is for this reason that although the direct limit gives the correct p-dependence of the amplitudes, the normalisation is ambiguous. We observe that (A.4.1) matches perfectly (4.0.27).

Proceeding in the same way for two $V^{\pm}$ representations with $2l_1 = Np_1 + a_1$, $2l_2 = Np_2 + a_2$, and one V^0 representation with $2l_3 = s\sqrt{2N}$ we can see that for generic $p_{1,2}$ the amplitude blows up exponentially

$$C^2_{+-0} \sim e^{4N\left[F\left(\frac{p_1+p_2}{2}\right) + F\left(\frac{p_1-p_2}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}(F(p_1)+F(p_2))\right]} \; , \tag{A.4.2}$$

but when we impose conservation of p the leading exponential cancels and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_{+-0} &= \frac{2^{1+s\sqrt{2N}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[s^2 \left(C + \frac{1}{2}\partial_p \log \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(1-p)} \right) \right] = \\ &= \frac{2^{1+s\sqrt{2N}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[\frac{s^2}{2} (\psi(p) + \psi(1-p) - 2\psi(1)) \right] \; . \end{aligned} \tag{A.4.3}$$

The amplitude diverges as expected but it is proportional to the correct structure constant (4.0.32).

The last case that remains to be discussed is the coupling between three V^0 representations with $2l_i = \sqrt{2N} s_i$. The result is

$$C_{000} = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{s_1\ s_2\ s_3}{\sqrt{s_{12}\ s_{13}\ s_{23}\ (\sum_i s_i)^3}} \times \tag{A.4.4}$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}} \left\{ \left(\sum_i s_i \right) \log \sum_i s_i + \sum_{i<j} s_{ij} \log s_{ij} - 2 \sum_i s_i \log s_i - 2 \log 2 \sum_i s_i \right\} \right] .$$

When $s_{ij} \geq 0$ as implied by the classical Clebsch-Gordan, we find that the amplitude diverges exponentially as expected. However this exponentially divergent part does not reflect the true structure constant that turns out to come entirely from the limit of the GC coefficient of SU(2).

A.5 Penrose limits of the four-point correlators

We have seen so far that, scaling the $SU(2)_k \times U(1)$ charges in the way required by the Penrose limit, we can derive the three-point couplings of the H_4 model. We can also proceed a bit further and take the limit of the four-point correlators. This is extremely simple when we scale the quantum numbers in such a way to end up with a correlator of type $< + + +- >$ since in this case there is only a finite number of conformal blocks.

Let us start from (A.1.20). Remembering that the cross-ratios used in this paper and the one in [24] differ by the exchange $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$ let us set

$$\begin{aligned} 2l_1 &= kp_2 - 2\hat{\jmath}_2 \ , & 2l_2 &= kp_1 - 2\hat{\jmath}_1 \ , \\ 2l_3 &= kp_3 - 2\hat{\jmath}_3 \ , & 2l_4 &= kp_4 + 2\hat{\jmath}_4 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.5.1}$$

with $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p_4$. Let us discard for the moment the prefactor $\mathcal{N}(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)$. According to $(A.1.16)$ we have, when $k \to \infty$, $p_0 = 0$ and $p_1 = -\hat{\jmath}_1 - \hat{\jmath}_2 - \hat{\jmath}_3 - \hat{\jmath}_4 \equiv L$ and therefore the number of blocks is $|L| + 1$, as expected. The index i in the product in $(A.1.20)$ ranges from $i = 1$ to $i = |L|$ and since in taking the limit the term $|D(t)|^{\frac{4}{k+2}} \to 1$, the various integrals become independent and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U(z, \bar{z}, x, \bar{x}) \sim{}& |z|^{-2(p_1p_2 + p_1\hat{\jmath}_2 + p_2\hat{\jmath}_1)} |1-z|^{-2(p_2p_3 + p_2\hat{\jmath}_3 + p_3\hat{\jmath}_2)} \\ & \left(\int \frac{dtd\bar{t}}{2\pi i} |t-z|^{-2p_4} |t|^{-2(1-p_3)} |t-1|^{-2(1-p_1)} |x-t|^2 \right)^{|L|} . \end{aligned} \tag{A.5.2}$$

The integral can be performed using

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dtd\bar{t}}{2\pi i} |t-z|^{2(c-b-1)} |t|^{2(b-1)} |t-1|^{-2a} ={}& \frac{\gamma(b)\gamma(c-b)}{\gamma(c)} |z|^{2(c-1)} |F(a,b,c;z)|^2 \\ & - \frac{\gamma(c)\gamma(1+a-c)}{(1-c)^2 \gamma(a)} |F(1+a-c, 1+b-c, 2-c; z)|^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.5.3}$$

and the result is

$$\frac{1}{(C_{12}C_{34})^{|L|}}\left(C_{12}|f(x,z)|^2+C_{34}|g(x,z)|^2\right)^{|L|}\ , \tag{A.5.4}$$

where we used the definitions in (5.1.9), (5.1.10) and (5.1.12).

On the other hand, the limit leading to a correlator of the form $<+-+->$ is more subtle, since there is an infinite number of conformal blocks. It is however possible to verify that the limit of the KZ equation for $SU(2)$ leads to the correct KZ equation for H_4.

A.6 Spectral flow

We would like to explain how the spectral-flowed representations arise in the contraction of the $SU(2)_k \times U(1)$ CFT.

There, we have two independent spectral flows acting on the $SU(2)_k$ and $U(1)$.

$$J_n^{\pm} \to J^{\pm}_{n\mp\epsilon}\quad , \quad J_n^3 \to J_n^3 - \epsilon\frac{k}{2}\delta_{n,0}\quad , \quad L_n^{SU(2)} \to L_n^{SU(2)} - \epsilon J_n^3 + \frac{k}{4}\epsilon^2\delta_{n,0} \tag{A.6.1}$$

and

$$J_n^0 \to J_n^0 + \tilde\epsilon\frac{k}{2}\delta_{n,0}\quad , \quad L_n^{U(1)} \to L_n^{U(1)} + \tilde\epsilon J_n^0 + \frac{k}{4}\tilde\epsilon^2\delta_{n,0} \tag{A.6.2}$$

The SU(2) spectral flow when ϵ is an integer is essentially generating the symmetry under affine Weyl translations. In terms of the l, m quantum numbers, affine-Weyl translations act on characters as $m \to m + nk$. We also have the non-trivial external automorphism $l \to k/2 - l$ accompanied by a affine translation (see [42] for more details).

For the total stress tensor $L_m^{SU(2)\times U(1)} = L_n^{SU(2)} - L_n^{U(1)}$ we obtain

$$L_m^{SU(2)\times U(1)} \to L_m^{SU(2)\times U(1)} - \epsilon J_n^3 - \tilde\epsilon J_n^0 + \frac{k}{4}(\epsilon^2 - \tilde\epsilon^2)\delta_{n,0} \tag{A.6.3}$$

On the other hand, the most general spectral flow of the H_4 algebra is described also by two parameters

$$P_n^{\pm} \to P^{\pm}_{n\mp a}\quad , \quad K_n \to K_n - ia\delta_{n,0}\quad , \quad J_n \to J_n - ib\delta_{n,0} \tag{A.6.4}$$

$$L_n \to L_n - iaJ_n - ibK_n - ab\delta_{n,0}\ .$$

Taking into account the relation of the $SU(2)\times U(1)$ and H_4 Cartan currents

$$J_n^3 = iJ_n - i\frac{k}{2}K_n\quad , \quad J_n^0 = -i\frac{k}{2}K_n \tag{A.6.5}$$

we see that the we can relate the two spectral flows by choosing $a = \epsilon$ and $2b = -k(\epsilon + \tilde\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon \in Z$ and $b \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$.

As we show in the next subsection, the relevant spectral flow in the H_4 theory has $b = 0$.

The spectral-flowed states are generated as follows in the $SU(2)_k$ theory:

Consider the state $|\chi\rangle = (J^-_{-1})^{k-2l}|l; m = -l\rangle$ This state is a lowest-weight state of a different SU(2) algebra: $J^\pm_{\pm 1}, \hat{J}_3 = J^3_0 + \frac{k}{2}$ since $J^-_{-1}|\chi\rangle = 0$ (non-trivial affine null vector). It has $\hat{J}|\chi\rangle = \left(l - \frac{k}{2}\right)|\chi\rangle$ and generates an SU(2) representation with $L = \frac{k}{2} - l$. Unitarity of such representations implies the affine cutoff $l \le \frac{k}{2}$ This state is obtained from the highest-weight states of affine representation by an affine Weyl translation. In the scaling limit and with appropriate dressing of the U(1) charge, this state is a lws of a spectral-flowed H_4 representation.

In the scaling limit it still has $l = \frac{k}{2}p - \hat{\jmath}$ but has $m = -\frac{k}{2}(2-p) - \hat{\jmath}$. This class of states are the spectral-flowed once, lowest-weight representations.

The analogous highest-weight representations are generated by the state

$$|\hat{\chi}\rangle = (J^+_{-1})^{k-2l}|l; m = l\rangle \ , \tag{A.6.6}$$

highest-weight with respect to the algebra $J^\pm_{\mp 1}, \hat{J}_3 = J^3_0 - \frac{k}{2}$ since $J^+_{-1}|\hat{\chi}\rangle = 0$ It has $m = k - l$ which in the scaling limit becomes $m = \frac{k}{2}(2-p) + \hat{\jmath}$ For $0 \le p \le 1$, $1 \le 2 - p \le 2$.

Thus, the spectral flow by ±1 steps generates the spectral-flowed $V^\pm$ representations with $1 \le p \le 2$.

A.7 Penrose contraction of characters

We would like here to indicate the precise decomposition of the $SU(2)_k \times U(1)$ affine representations into H_4 ones. The starting point are the affine $SU(2)_k$ characters. Define the θ-functions

$$\Theta_{a,b}(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in Z} e^{2\pi i a\left[\left(n+\frac{b}{2a}\right)^2\tau - \left(n+\frac{b}{2a}\right)z\right]} \tag{A.7.1}$$

Then, the $SU(2)_k$ character for the representation with spin l is

$$\chi_l(\tau, z) \equiv Tr_l[e^{2\pi i\tau \ L_0} \ e^{2\pi i \ zJ^3_0}] = \frac{\Theta_{2l+1,k+2} - \Theta_{-2l-1,k+2}}{\Theta_{1,2} - \Theta_{-1,2}} \tag{A.7.2}$$

We also have

$$\Theta_{1,2} - \Theta_{-1,2} = -i \ \vartheta_1(z|\tau) \tag{A.7.3}$$

We will decompose the affine character as

$$\chi_l(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \in Z} (\chi^+_{l,n} + \chi^-_{l,n}) \tag{A.7.4}$$

where

$$\chi^+_{l,n} = i\frac{e^{2\pi i\tau(k+2)\left(n+\frac{2l+1}{2(k+2)}\right)^2} \ e^{-2\pi i z\left((k+2)n+\frac{2l+1}{2}\right)}}{\vartheta_1(z|\tau)} \tag{A.7.5}$$

$$\chi^-_{l,n} = -i\frac{e^{2\pi i\tau(k+2)\left(n+\frac{2l+1}{2(k+2)}\right)^2}\ e^{2\pi iz\left((k+2)n+\frac{2l+1}{2}\right)}}{\vartheta_1(z|\tau)} \tag{A.7.6}$$

In this representation, if the affine SU(2) representation had no null vectors, then the character would be given by $\chi^+_{l,0} + \chi^-_{l,0}$ The other terms in the series come from subtracting the embedded Verma modules (due to the presence of the null vectors) in order to obtain the irreducible character. The summation over the integer n imposes the affine Weyl symmetry on the character [42].

The time-like U(1) character is

$$\psi_q(\tau, w) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i\frac{q^2}{k}\tau+2\pi iw\ q}}{\eta(\tau)} \tag{A.7.7}$$

The H_4 $V^\pm$ characters are

$$\zeta^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(\tau, z, w) \equiv Tr[e^{2\pi i\tau\ L_0}\ e^{-2\pi\ (zJ_0+wK_0)}] = \pm i\frac{e^{2\pi i\tau(-p\hat{\jmath}+p(1-p)/2)}\ e^{\mp 2\pi i\ wp\pm 2\pi iz\left(\hat{\jmath}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}}{\eta(\tau)\vartheta_1(z|\tau)} \tag{A.7.8}$$

where $0 < p < 1$. For the spectral-flowed representations we obtain from (A.6.4)

$$\zeta^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath};\mp a,\pm b}(\tau, z, w) = e^{2\pi i\tau\ ab\pm 2\pi i(bz-aw)}\ \zeta^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(\tau, z \pm a\tau, w \mp b\tau) \tag{A.7.9}$$

$$= e^{\mp i\pi a}\ e^{2\pi i\tau\left[\frac{a^2}{2}+ab+bp+a\left(\hat{\jmath}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}\ e^{\pm 2\pi iz(a+b)\mp 2\pi iw\ a}\zeta^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath}}(\tau, z, w)$$

Using the fact that

$$w\ J^0 + zJ^3 = (w + z)\frac{k}{2}iK + z(iJ) \tag{A.7.10}$$

we will redefine the characters

$$\hat{\chi}_{q,l}(w, z, \tau) = \psi_q(\frac{2w}{k} - z, \tau)\chi_l(z, \tau) = Tr[e^{2\pi i\tau\ L_0}\ e^{-2\pi w\ K_0-2\pi z\ J_0}] \tag{A.7.11}$$

This redefinition guarantees that in the limit we will obtain the H_4 characters.

For $l = \frac{k}{2}p - \hat{\jmath}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\ \psi_{\mp k\left(\frac{p}{2}+n\right)}(\frac{2w}{k} - z, \tau)\chi^\pm_{l,n}(z, \tau) = \zeta^\pm_{p,\hat{\jmath};n,0}(\tau, z, w) \tag{A.7.12}$$

This describes the precise decomposition of the characters of the parent theory into those of the pp-wave theory. In particular, the SU(2) representation with $2l \sim kp$ provides the even integer spectral flow of the representations with $p^+ = p$ and the odd integer spectral flow of the representations with $p^+ = 1 - p$. On the other hand, the representation with $2l \sim k(1 - p)$ provides the even integer spectral flow of the representations with $p^+ = 1 - p$ and the odd integer spectral flow of the representations with $p^+ = p$.

B. Calculation of general twist-field correlators

In terms of the free-field realization of the H_4 algebra [3] reviewed in section 3.2, the primary vertex operators for $V^{\pm}$ representations are given by the product of twist fields $H_p^{\mp}$ and exponentials $e^{i(pv+\hat{\jmath}u)}$ while those for V^0 representations are essentially of the form $e^{i\hat{\jmath}u+i\vec{p}\vec{y}}$. As a consequence, the computation of correlation functions for the H_4 model reduces to the computation of correlators between twist fields [23], the main difference being that we do not restrict the twist parameter to be a rational number and that we consider these amplitudes as describing scattering processes in space-time and not in some internal compactification manifold. In this appendix we will briefly review the method used in [23] to compute these correlators and then compare them to the ones resulting from the solution of the KZ equation.

Consider a complex boson $y = y_1 + iy_2$ and its conjugate $\tilde{y} = y_1 - iy_2$, with the propagator $< y(z)\tilde{y}(w) >= -2\log(z-w)$.

The method exploits the fact that the Green's function for the fields ∂y and $\partial\tilde{y}$ in the presence of a collection of twist fields $H_{p_i}^{\sigma_i}$ with $\sigma_i = \pm$

$$g(z,w;z_i,\bar{z}_i) = \frac{< -\frac{1}{2}\partial y(z)\partial\tilde{y}(w)\prod_{i=1}^{4} H_{p_i}^{\sigma_i}(z_i,\bar{z}_i) >}{< \prod_{i=1}^{4} H_{p_i}^{\sigma_i}(z_i,\bar{z}_i) >} \ , \tag{B.1}$$

is almost completely fixed by the monodromies around the points where the twist fields are inserted and by the pole structure required by the OPE. The interest of this function lies in the fact that if we take the limit $z \to w$ and remove the double pole, we obtain the expectation value of the stress-energy tensor in the presence of four twist fields

$$< T(z) >= \frac{< T(z)\prod_{i=1}^{4} H_{p_i}^{\sigma_i}(z_i,\bar{z}_i) >}{< \prod_{i=1}^{4} H_{p_i}^{\sigma_i}(z_i,\bar{z}_i) >} \ , \tag{B.2}$$

and therefore, using the standard OPE between stress-energy tensor and primary fields and taking the limit $z \to z_i$, we can extract a first-order differential equation for the correlator $< \prod_{i=1}^{4} H_{p_i}^{\sigma_i}(z_i,\bar{z}_i) >$ we want to compute. Let us show how to determine the function $g(z,w;z_i,\bar{z}_i)$. We first introduce two holomorphic functions which have the same monodromies around the twist fields as $\partial y(z)$ and $\partial\tilde{y}(z)$. They are

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^{4}(z-z_i)^{\nu_i} \ , \\ \tilde{\Omega}(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^{4}(z-z_i)^{-1-\nu_i} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

where $\nu_i = -p_i$ if the field in z_i is $H_{p_i}^{-}$ and $\nu_i = -1 + p_i$ if it is $H_{p_i}^{+}$, We then split the Green function $(B.1)$ in a singular and in a regular part writing

$$g(z,w;z_i,\bar{z}_i) = \Omega(z)\tilde{\Omega}(w)\left(\frac{P(z,w;z_i,\bar{z}_i)}{(z-w)^2} + A(z_i,\bar{z}_i)\right) \ , \tag{B.4}$$

where the function P, which parameterizes the singular part, is fixed by the pole structure up to shifts in the regular part A. In order to fix the function A we introduce another Green function

$$h(\bar{z}, w; z_i, \bar{z}_i) = \frac{< -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial} y(\bar{z}) \partial \tilde{y}(w) \prod_{i=1}^{4} H_i(z_i, \bar{z}_i) >}{< \prod_{i=1}^{4} H_i(z_i, \bar{z}_i) >} \ , \tag{B.5}$$

which can be parameterized as

$$h(z, w; z_i, \bar{z}_i) = \Omega(\bar{z}) \tilde{\Omega}(w) B(z_i, \bar{z}_i) \ , \tag{B.6}$$

since there are no singular terms in the OPE between $\bar{\partial} y$ and $\partial \tilde{y}$.

The functions A and B are fixed by the requirement that the fields y and $\tilde{y}$ do not change when carried around a collection of twist fields with net twist zero. This constraint can be written as

$$\oint_{\gamma_a} \big(dz \partial y + d\bar{z} \bar{\partial} y \big) = 0 \ , \qquad a = 1, 2 \ , \tag{B.7}$$

where the γ_a form a basis for the closed loops on the cut complex plane. In terms of the functions $g(z, w)$ and $h(\bar{z}, w)$ the condition (B.7) amounts to

$$\oint_{\gamma_a} \left(dz g(z, w) + d\bar{z} h(\bar{z}, w) \right) = 0 \ , \tag{B.8}$$

and leads to a system of two linear equations that can be solved for A and B. Further details on this method can be found in [23].

We compute using this method the following correlator

$$\mathcal{K}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = < R^{+}_{p_1, \hat{\jmath}_1; 0}(z_1) R^{-}_{p_2, \hat{\jmath}_2; 0}(z_2) R^{+}_{p_3, \hat{\jmath}_3; 0}(z_3) R^{-}_{p_4, \hat{\jmath}_4; 0}(z_4) > \ , \tag{B.9}$$

with $p_1 + p_3 = p_2 + p_4$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{4} \hat{\jmath}_i = 0$. The result is

$$\mathcal{K}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \sim \frac{\kappa}{|S(z, \bar{z})|} \ , \tag{B.10}$$

where $z = \frac{z_{12} z_{34}}{z_{13} z_{24}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, \bar{z}) = {} & \kappa [B(p_2, 1 - p_3) B(p_1, 1 - p_2) |1 - z|^{p_2 - p_3} F_2(1 - z) \bar{G}_1(\bar{z}) \\ & + B(p_3, 1 - p_2) B(p_2, 1 - p_1) |1 - z|^{p_3 - p_2} F_1(z) \bar{G}_2(1 - \bar{z})] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

where $B(a, b)$ is the Euler beta function and F_i, G_i are hypergeometric functions

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(z) &= F(p_3, 1 - p_1, 1 + p_2 - p_1, z) \ , \quad G_1(z) = F(1 - p_3, p_1, 1 - p_2 + p_1, z) \ , \\ F_2(z) &= F(1 - p_4, p_2, 1 + p_2 - p_3, z) \ , \quad G_2(z) = F(p_4, 1 - p_2, 1 - p_2 + p_3, z) \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

Finally the constant κ is given by

$$\kappa = \left[\frac{\Gamma(p_4)\Gamma(1-p_4)}{\prod_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma(p_i)\Gamma(1-p_i)} \right]^{1/2} \ . \tag{B.13}$$

This correlator coincides with the corresponding one that can be extracted from (5.2.12) when $L = 0$.

When $p_1 = p_4 = p$ and $p_2 = p_3 = l$ the correlation function in (B.10) reduces to

$$\mathcal{K}(p,l,l,p) \sim \frac{1}{|S(z,\bar{z})|} \ , \tag{B.14}$$

with

$$S(z,\bar{z}) = B(l,1-p)F_1(z)\bar{G}_2(1-\bar{z}) + B(p,1-l)F_2(1-z)\bar{G}_1(\bar{z}) \ , \tag{B.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) &= F(l,1-p,1+l-p,x) \ , \qquad & G_1(x) &= F(1-l,p,1+p-l,x) \ , \\ F_2(x) &= F(1-p,l,1,x) \ , & G_2(x) &= F(p,1-l,1,x) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

Finally when $p = l$ the correlator reduces to

$$\mathcal{K}(p,p,p,p) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(1-p)[F(z)\bar{F}(1-\bar{z}) + F(1-z)\bar{F}(\bar{z})]} \ , \tag{B.17}$$

where $F(z) = F(p, 1-p; 1, z)$.

C. Four-point amplitudes

In this appendix we provide more details concerning the solutions of the KZ equations relevant for the different types of four-point correlators.

C.1 $< +++- >$ correlators

Here we use the same notation as in section 5.1. Consider a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{j}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^{+}_{p_2,\hat{j}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^{+}_{p_3,\hat{j}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^{-}_{p_4,\hat{j}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \ , \tag{C.1.1}$$

with

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = p_4 \ . \tag{C.1.2}$$

The relevant KZ equation for the conformal blocks is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z F_n(x,z) = & \ \frac{1}{z}\left[-(p_1 x + p_2 x(1-x))\partial_x + L p_2 x\right] F_n(x,z) \\ & - \frac{1}{1-z}\left[(1-x)(p_2 x + p_3)\partial_x + L p_2 (1-x)\right] F_n(x,z) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.1.3}$$

where $L = \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 + \hat{\jmath}_3 + \hat{\jmath}_4$ and

$$x = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1} \ , \qquad \bar{x} = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{\bar{x}_3 - \bar{x}_1} \ . \tag{C.1.4}$$

The correlator is non vanishing only for $L \leq 0$ and in this case the number of conformal blocks is $N = |L| + 1$. We look for solutions that behave for small z as $F_n(z,x) \sim z^{-n(p_1+p_2)} f_n(x)$, $n = 0, ..., |L|$ so that we can identify them with the conformal blocks corresponding to intermediate $\Phi^+_{p_1+p_2, \hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n}$ representations. The previous equation requires that

$$f_n(x) = x^n \left(1 - \frac{p_2}{p_1+p_2} x \right)^{|L|-n} \ . \tag{C.1.5}$$

The behavior for small z, together with the structure of the conformal blocks for $SU(2)_k$ suggest the following ansatz

$$F_n(z,x) = f^n(z,x) (g(z,x))^{|L|-n} \ , \tag{C.1.6}$$

where $f(z,x) = f_0(z) + x f_1(z)$, $g(z,x) = g_0(z) + x g_1(z)$. The KZ equation leads to an hyper-geometric equation for the four functions f_i and g_i and we obtain

$$f(z,x) = \frac{z^{1-p_1-p_2} p_3}{1-p_1-p_2} \varphi_0 - x z^{-p_1-p_2} \varphi_1 \ , \qquad g(z,x) = \gamma_0 - \frac{x p_2}{p_1+p_2} \gamma_1 \ , \tag{C.1.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= F(1-p_1, 1+p_3, 2-p_1-p_2, z) \ , \qquad & \gamma_0 &= F(p_2, p_4, p_1+p_2, z) \ , \\ \varphi_1 &= F(1-p_1, p_3, 1-p_1-p_2, z) \ , \qquad & \gamma_1 &= F(1+p_2, p_4, 1+p_1+p_2, z) \end{aligned} \tag{C.1.8}$$

From the Penrose limit of the $SU(2)_k$ couplings we know that the quantum structure constants of the H_4 WZW model have a very simple dependence on the quantum number p and $\hat{\jmath}$. Taking this dependence into account, it is natural to consider the following combination of conformal blocks

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{|L|} \frac{|L|!}{n!(|L|-n)!} R^n |F_n(z,x)|^2 \ , \tag{C.1.9}$$

where the constant R is fixed by monodromy invariance. Once the resulting expression is properly normalized we obtain the correlator displayed in (5.1.11)

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = |z|^{2\kappa_{12}} |1-z|^{2\kappa_{14}} \frac{\sqrt{C_{12}C_{34}}}{|L|!} \left(C_{12} |f(z,x)|^2 + C_{34} |g(z,x)|^2 \right)^{|L|} \ , \tag{C.1.10}$$

where

$$C_{12} = \frac{\gamma(p_1+p_2)}{\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2)} \ , \qquad C_{34} = \frac{\gamma(p_4)}{\gamma(p_3)\gamma(p_4-p_3)} \ . \tag{C.1.11}$$

C.2 $<+-+->$ correlators

Here we use the same notation as is section 5.2. We consider a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^+_{p_1,\hat{\jmath}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^-_{p_2,\hat{\jmath}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^+_{p_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^-_{p_4,\hat{\jmath}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > , \qquad (C.2.1)$$

with $p_1+p_3=p_2+p_4$. The KZ equation is

$$\begin{aligned} z(1-z)\partial_z F_n(x,z) &= \left[x\partial_x^2 + (ax+1-L)\,\partial_x + \frac{x}{4}(a^2-b^2) + \rho_{12}\right] F_n(x,z) \\ &+ z\left[-2ax\partial_x + \frac{x}{4}(b^2-c^2) - \rho_{12} - \rho_{14}\right] F_n(x,z) \ , \qquad (C.2.2) \end{aligned}$$

where $L=\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+\hat{\jmath}_3+\hat{\jmath}_4$ and $x=(x_1-x_3)(x_2-x_4)$. Moreover

$$2a = p_1+p_3 \ , \quad b = p_1-p_2 \ , \quad c = p_2-p_3 \ , \qquad (C.2.3)$$

and

$$\rho_{12} = \frac{(1-L)}{2}(a-b) \ , \qquad \rho_{14} = \frac{(1-L)}{2}(a-c) \ . \qquad (C.2.4)$$

According to (3.0.15) when $p_1>p_2$ and $L\le 0$ the states flowing in the s-channel belong to the representations $V^+_{p_1-p_2,\hat{\jmath}_1+\hat{\jmath}_2+n}$ with $n\in\mathbb{N}$. In this case we require

$$F_n(z,x) \sim z^{n(p_1-p_2)}\varphi_n(x) \quad \text{for} \quad z\sim 0 \qquad (C.2.5)$$

The KZ equation then implies

$$\varphi_n(x) = e^{-\frac{a-b}{2}x}L_n^{|L|}(t) \ , \qquad t = -(p_1-p_2)x \ , \qquad (C.2.6)$$

where $L_n^{|L|}(t)$ is the n-th generalized Laguerre polynomials.

If we insert in the KZ equation a function of the form

$$F(x,z) = \frac{e^{xg(z)}}{(f(z))^{1-L}} \ , \qquad (C.2.7)$$

we derive from (C.2.2) the following equations for f and g

$$\begin{aligned} g &= -z(1-z)\partial \ln[z^{\frac{a-b}{2}}(1-z)^{\frac{a-c}{2}}f(z)] \ , \\ z(1-z)\partial g &= g(g+a(1-z)-az) + \frac{a^2-(1-z)b^2-zc^2}{4} \ , \qquad (C.2.8) \end{aligned}$$

and a hyper-geometric equation for f whose two solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= F(p_3,1-p_1,1-p_1+p_2,z) \ , \\ f_2(z) &= z^{p_1-p_2}F(p_4,1-p_2,1-p_2+p_1,z) \ . \qquad (C.2.9) \end{aligned}$$

We have thus found the conformal block for $n=0$

$$F_0(z,x) = \frac{e^{xg_1(z)}}{(f_1(z))^{1-L}} \ . \qquad (C.2.10)$$

The other blocks can be expressed in terms of $F_0(z,x)$, Laguerre polynomials and the two solutions f_1, f_2 of the hyper-geometric equation

$$F_n(z,x) = \nu_n F_0(z,x) L_n^{|L|}(x\gamma_\psi(z))\psi(z)^n \ , \tag{C.2.11}$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{f_2(z)}{f_1(z)} \ , \qquad \gamma_\psi(z) = -z(1-z)\partial \ln \psi \ , \qquad \nu_n = \frac{n!}{(p_1-p_2)^n} \ . \tag{C.2.12}$$

All of them are solutions of the KZ equation. Also in this case, the dependence on the p and $\hat{\jmath}$ quantum number of the three-point couplings of the H_4 WZW model obtained from the Penrose limit of the $SU(2)_k$ structure constants, suggests to look for a combination of the conformal blocks having the following form

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n-L)!} R^n |F_n(z,x)|^2 \ , \tag{C.2.13}$$

where the constant R is fixed by monodromy invariance. The sum can be performed using the identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} L_n^\alpha(x) L_n^\alpha(y) z^n = \frac{e^{-\frac{z(x+y)}{1-z}}}{1-z} (xyz)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} I_\alpha\left(\frac{2\sqrt{xyz}}{1-z}\right) \ , \tag{C.2.14}$$

where I_α is a Bessel function of imaginary argument

$$I_\alpha(w) = \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)^\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)} \ , \tag{C.2.15}$$

and we obtain the four-point correlator displayed in (5.2.12)

$$\mathcal{A}(z,\bar{z},x,\bar{x}) = \frac{\mu_L |z|^{2\kappa_{12}} |1-z|^{2\kappa_{14}}}{S^{1+|L|}} \left|e^{xq(z) - xz(1-z)\partial \ln S}\right|^2 \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{-|L|} I_{|L|}(u) \ , \tag{C.2.16}$$

where

$$r = \frac{C_{12}C_{34}}{(p_1-p_2)^2} \ , \qquad C_{12} = \frac{\gamma(p_1)}{\gamma(p_2)\gamma(p_1-p_2)} \ , \qquad C_{34} = \frac{\gamma(p_4)}{\gamma(p_3)\gamma(p_4-p_3)} \ , \tag{C.2.17}$$

and we defined

$$S = |f_1|^2 - r|f_2|^2 \ , \qquad \mu_L = C_{12}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{34}^{\frac{1}{2}+|L|} \ , \tag{C.2.18}$$

as well as

$$u = \frac{2\sqrt{r}|xz(1-z)\partial\psi|}{1-r|\psi|^2} = \frac{2\sqrt{r}|xz(1-z)W(f_1,f_2)|}{S} \ , \tag{C.2.19}$$

with $W(f_1, f_2)$ the Wronskian of the two solutions of the hyper-geometric equation,

$$W(f_1, f_2) = (p_1 - p_2) z^{p_1 - p_2 - 1} (1 - z)^{p_2 - p_3 - 1} \ . \tag{C.2.20}$$

The case $p_2 > p_1$, when the representations $V^-_{p_2 - p_1, \hat{\jmath}_1 + \hat{\jmath}_2 - n}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, flow in the s-channel, can be treated in exactly the same way.

When $p_1 = p_2 = p$ and $p_3 = p_4 = l$, the intermediate states in the s-channel belong to $V^0_{s,\hat{\jmath}}$ representations and the amplitudes can be represented as an integral over the Casimir s^2. One needs the following integral

$$\int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-\alpha t} J_\nu(2\beta\sqrt{t}) J_\nu(2\gamma\sqrt{t}) = \frac{e^{\frac{\beta^2 + \gamma^2}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} I_\nu\left(\frac{2\beta\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \ . \tag{C.2.21}$$

We can verify that, as we vary the external momenta, the correlator (C.2.16), which in the s channel factorizes on discrete representations, changes continuously to the correlator (5.2.24), which factorizes on continuous representations. The two solutions of the hypergeometric equation for the correlator with $p_1 = p_4 = p$ and $p_2 = p_3 = l$

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(z) &= F(l, 1-p, 1, z) \ , \\ c_2(z) &= [\ln z + 2\psi(1) - \psi(l) - \psi(1-p)] c_1(z) \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l)_n (1-p)_n}{n!^2} [\psi(l+n) + \psi(1-p+n) - 2\psi(n+1)] z^n \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.2.22}$$

can indeed be obtained as limits of the two solutions f_1 and f_2 in (C.2.9). Setting $p_1 = p$, $p_2 = p - \epsilon$, $p_3 = l$ and $p_4 = l + \epsilon$, we obtain

$$f_1(z) = c_1(z) + \epsilon b_1(z) \ , \qquad f_2(z) = c_1(z) + \epsilon(c_2(z) + b_1(z)) \ , \tag{C.2.23}$$

where

$$b_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (l)_n (1-p)_n \left[\psi(n+1) - \psi(1)\right] \frac{z^n}{(n!)^2} \ , \tag{C.2.24}$$

and we used that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(a+\epsilon, b+\epsilon, c+\epsilon, z) = F(a, b, c, z) \qquad \qquad (C.2.25) \\ & + \epsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \left[\psi(a+n) - \psi(a) + \psi(b+n) - \psi(b) - \psi(c+n) + \psi(c))\right] \frac{z^n}{n!} + O(\epsilon^2) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the behavior of the three-point couplings as $\epsilon \to 0$ it is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{-1} S(p, -p+\epsilon, l, -l-\epsilon) = S(p, -p, l, -l) \ , \qquad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{-1} W(f_1, f_2) = W(c_1, c_2) \ . \tag{C.2.26}$$

The necessary powers of ϵ are provided by μ_L that behaves as $\mu_L \sim \epsilon^{1-L}$ in the limit.

C.3 $< + + - \; 0 >$ correlators

Here we use the same notation as in section 5.3. Consider a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^{+}_{p_1,\hat{j}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^{+}_{p_2,\hat{j}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^{-}_{p_3,\hat{j}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^{0}_{s,\hat{j}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \;, \tag{C.3.1}$$

with

$$p_1 + p_2 = p_3 \;. \tag{C.3.2}$$

The KZ equation reads

$$z(1-z)\partial_z F_n = -\left[p_3 x \partial_x + \frac{s}{2\sqrt{2}}(p_1 - p_2)x\right] F_n + z\left[\left(p_2 x - \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\partial_x - \frac{s p_2}{2\sqrt{2}} x\right] F_n \;, \tag{C.3.3}$$

where $L = \hat{j}_1 + \hat{j}_2 + \hat{j}_3 + \hat{j}_4$ and $x = (x_1 - x_2)x_4$. The ansatz for the conformal blocks is

$$F_n(z,x) = (s\varphi(z) + x\gamma(z))^n e^{s^2\eta(z) + sx\psi(z)} \;, \tag{C.3.4}$$

with $n \geq 0$. From $(C.3.3)$ it follows that the functions f, g ψ and η have to satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} z(1-z)\partial\psi &= (zp_2 - p_3)\psi - \frac{(p_1 - p_2) + p_2 z}{2\sqrt{2}} \;, \\ z(1-z)\partial\gamma &= (zp_2 - p_3)\gamma \;, \\ \gamma &= -\sqrt{2}(1-z)\partial\varphi \;, \\ \psi &= -\sqrt{2}(1-z)\partial\eta \;., \end{aligned} \tag{C.3.5}$$

and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \frac{z^{1-p_3}}{\sqrt{2}(1-p_3)} F(1-p_1, 1-p_3, 2-p_3, z) \;, \\ \gamma(z) &= -z^{-p_3}(1-z)^{p_1} \;, \\ \psi(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{2}p_3}(1-z)F(1+p_2, 1, 1+p_3, z) \;, \\ \eta(z) &= -\frac{zp_2}{2p_3}\, {}_3F_2(1+p_2, 1, 1; 1+p_3, 2; z) - \frac{1}{4}\ln(1-z) \;. \end{aligned} \tag{C.3.6}$$

C.4 $< + - \; 0 \; 0 >$ correlators

Here we consider a correlator of the form

$$< \Phi^{+}_{p,\hat{j}_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1,x_1,\bar{x}_1)\Phi^{-}_{p,\hat{j}_2}(z_2,\bar{z}_2,x_2,\bar{x}_2)\Phi^{0}_{s_3,\hat{j}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3)\Phi^{0}_{s_4,\hat{j}_4}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \;. \tag{C.4.1}$$

As we showed in section 5.4 this correlator is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(u,\bar{u},x,\bar{x}) = \mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p,s_3)\mathcal{C}_{+-0}(p,s_4)|u|^{2\kappa_{12}}|1-u|^{2\kappa_{14}}\left|e^{x\omega(u) + \frac{\psi(u)}{x}}\right|^2 \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|xu^{-p}\right|^{2n} \;, \tag{C.4.2}$$

where $u = 1 - z$, $L = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\jmath}_i$, $x = \frac{x_4}{x_3}$ and

$$\kappa_{12} = h_1 + h_4 - \frac{h}{3} - p\hat{\jmath}_4 - \frac{s_4^2}{2} \ , \qquad \kappa_{14} = \frac{s_3^2 + s_4^2}{2} - \frac{h}{3} \ . \tag{C.4.3}$$

Moreover

$$\omega(u) = -\frac{s_3 s_4}{2p} F_p(u) \ , \quad \psi(u) = -\frac{s_3 s_4}{2(1-p)} u F_{1-p}(u) \ , \tag{C.4.4}$$

where $F_p(u) = F(p, 1, 1+p, u)$ and $F_{1-p}(u) = F(1-p, 1, 2-p, u)$. We can also rewrite $(C.4.2)$ as

$$\left| e^{x\omega(u) + \frac{\psi(u)}{x}} \right|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| x u^{-p} \right|^{2n} = \sum_{l,m \in \mathbb{Z}} x^l \bar{x}^m |u|^{-p(l+m)} \chi^{\frac{m-l}{2}} I_{|m-l|}(R) \ , \tag{C.4.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \frac{upF_{1-p} + (1-p)|u|^{2p} \bar{F}_p}{\bar{u}p\bar{F}_{1-p} + (1-p)|u|^{2p} F_p} \ , \\ R^2 &= s_3^2 s_4^2 |u|^{-2p} \left(u\frac{F_{1-p}}{1-p} + |u|^{2p} \frac{\bar{F}_p}{p} \right) \left(\bar{u} \frac{\bar{F}_{1-p}}{1-p} + |u|^{2p} \frac{F_p}{p} \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.4.6}$$

Here we want to reproduce this expression using the free-field representation for the vertex operators. For simplicity let us concentrate on the following subset of components

$$< R^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1;0,0}(z_1,\bar{z}_1) R^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}_2;0,0}(z_2,\bar{z}_2) \Phi^0_{s_3,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_3,\bar{z}_3,x_3,\bar{x}_3) \Phi^0_{s_4,\hat{\jmath}_3}(z_4,\bar{z}_4,x_4,\bar{x}_4) > \ , \tag{C.4.7}$$

that is, we keep only the ground state for the $\Phi^\pm$ representations. In terms of free-fields, as explained in section 3.2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} R^+_{p,\hat{\jmath}_1;0;0} &= e^{i(\hat{\jmath}u + pv)} H^-_p \ , \qquad R^-_{p,\hat{\jmath}_2;0;0} = e^{i(\hat{\jmath}u - pv)} H^+_p \ , \\ \Phi^0_{s_i,\hat{\jmath}_i} &= e^{\frac{is_i}{2}\left(y\sqrt{\frac{x_i}{\bar{x}_i}} + \tilde{y}\sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}_i}{x_i}} \right) + i\hat{\jmath}_i u} \sum_{n_i \in \mathbb{Z}} (x_i \bar{x}_i)^{n_i} e^{in_i u} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.4.8}$$

Remember that the momentum in the two-plane carried by the Φ^0 representations is $s_i e^{i\theta_i}$ where $e^{2i\theta_i} = \frac{\bar{x}_i}{x_i}$. We can expand the $\Phi^0_{s_i,\hat{\jmath}_i}$ vertex operators in powers of x and $\bar{x}$, writing $y = \rho e^{i\varphi}$ and

$$\Phi^0_{s_i,\hat{\jmath}_i} = \sum_{n,\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}} (-ix)^n (-i\bar{x})^{\bar{n}} e^{-i\frac{u}{2}(n+\bar{n})} e^{i\varphi(\bar{n}-n)} J_{\bar{n}-n}(s\rho) \ . \tag{C.4.9}$$

We now substitute these expressions in $(C.4.7)$ and compute the contractions between the free fields using $< u(z)v(w) >= \ln{(z-w)}$ and $< y(z)\tilde{y}(w) >= -2\ln{(z-w)}$. The only non-trivial correlators are of the form

$$< H^-_p(z_1) H^+_p(z_2) e^{i(m-\bar{m})\varphi(z_3)} J_{m-\bar{m}}(s\rho(z_3)) e^{i(m-\bar{m})\varphi(z_4)} J_{\bar{m}-m}(s\rho(z_4)) > \ , \tag{C.4.10}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_3 d\theta_4 e^{-i(m-\bar{m})(\theta_3-\theta_4)} < H_p^- H_p^+ e^{\frac{is_3}{2}\left[ye^{-i\theta_3}+\tilde{y}e^{i\theta_3}\right]} e^{\frac{is_4}{2}\left[ye^{-i\theta_4}+\tilde{y}e^{i\theta_4}\right]} > , \tag{C.4.11}$$

and then computed using the propagator for the complex boson y in the presence of the two twist fields

$$< H_p^- H_p^+ e^{\frac{is_3}{2}\left[ye^{-i\theta_3}+\tilde{y}e^{i\theta_3}\right]} e^{\frac{is_4}{2}\left[ye^{-i\theta_4}+\tilde{y}e^{i\theta_4}\right]} >\sim e^{-\frac{s_3 s_4}{4}\left(e^{i\theta}C+e^{-i\theta}\tilde{C}\right)} , \tag{C.4.12}$$

where $\theta = \theta_3 - \theta_4$ and

$$C = 2\left[|u|^p \frac{F_p}{p} + \bar{u}|u|^{-p}\frac{\bar{F}_{1-p}}{1-p}\right] , \qquad \tilde{C} = 2\left[|u|^p \frac{\bar{F}_p}{p} + u|u|^{-p}\frac{F_{1-p}}{1-p}\right] . \tag{C.4.13}$$

We then substitute $(C.4.13)$ in $(C.4.11)$, compute the integral and combine the result with the other terms coming from the contraction of the exponential in u and v. The result, up to overall powers of the z_{ij}, is

$$\sum_{m,\bar{m}\in\mathbb{Z}} x^m \bar{x}^{\bar{m}} |u|^{-p(m+\bar{m})} \left(\frac{\tilde{C}}{C}\right)^{\frac{\bar{m}-m}{2}} I_{m-\bar{m}}\left(\frac{s_3 s_4}{2}\sqrt{C\tilde{C}}\right) , \tag{C.4.14}$$

and so we reproduce precisely $(C.4.5)$.

D. Deformations of the Nappi-Witten model

We will study here marginal deformations of the NW model. Since the Cartan of H_4 is two-dimensional the moduli space of deformations is four dimensional. There are however two inequivalent choices for the Cartan subalgebra, not related by the action of the group. The first choice consists of the generators K, J. The other of K, P_1. To describe the former it is convenient to start from the NW in coordinates exhibiting the polar angle in the transverse plane:

$$S_{NW} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[2\partial u\bar{\partial}v + 2\partial v\bar{\partial}u - r^2\partial u\bar{\partial}u + \partial\rho\bar{\partial}\rho + \right. \tag{D.1}$$

$$\left. +\rho^2\partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + \rho^2(\partial u\bar{\partial}\phi - \partial\phi\bar{\partial}u)\right]$$

We first wish to perform the finite deformation associated with $J\bar{J}$ where

$$J = 2\partial v - \rho^2(\partial u + \partial\phi) \quad , \quad \bar{J} = 2\bar{\partial}v - \rho^2(\bar{\partial}u - \bar{\partial}\phi) \tag{D.2}$$

The currents are associated with the transformations

$$u \to u + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \quad , \quad \phi \to \phi + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 \quad , \quad \delta S = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[2\partial\epsilon_1\ J + 2\bar{\partial}\epsilon_2\ \bar{J}\right] \tag{D.3}$$

The deformation can be obtained by the standard trick of multiplying with an extra U(1), and gauging the combined symmetry. The result is

$$S_{NW'}(R) = S_{NW} + \frac{R^2}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[\frac{J\bar{J}}{R^2\rho^2+1}\right] \tag{D.4}$$

along with the dilaton

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\log[R^2\rho^2+1] \tag{D.5}$$

The deformed theory still carries part of the original symmetry with conserved currents

$$J(R) = \frac{J}{R^2\rho^2+1} \quad , \quad \bar{J}(R) = \frac{\bar{J}}{R^2\rho^2+1} \tag{D.6}$$

The deformed σ model satisfies

$$S_{NW'}(0) = S_{NW} \quad , \quad S_{NW'}(R+\delta R) = S_{NW'}(R) + \frac{\delta R^2}{2\pi}\int d^2z \;\; J(R)\bar{J}(R) \tag{D.7}$$

as it should. Notice that the new geometry described by the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{4R^2(dv)^2 + 4dudv + \rho^2[-du^2 + d\phi^2]}{R^2\rho^2+1} + d\rho^2 \tag{D.8}$$

and antisymmetric tensor

$$B_{+\phi} = \frac{2R^2\rho^2}{R^2\rho^2+1} \quad , \quad B_{-\phi} = \frac{\rho^2}{R^2\rho^2+1} \tag{D.9}$$

is regular for R^2 positive and are not of the pp-wave type. For $R \neq 0$ we can change coordinates as

$$v = \frac{x-t}{2R} \quad , \quad u = t\ R \tag{D.10}$$

the metric becoming

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \frac{dx^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2}{R^2\rho^2+1} \tag{D.11}$$

and

$$B_{x\phi} = \frac{R\rho^2}{R^2\rho^2+1} \tag{D.12}$$

The manifold is asymptotically flat as $r \to \infty$.

The change of variables (D.10) is singular at $R = 0$ and this is the reason that the $R \to 0$ limit of the metric (D.11) is flat. In fact the original metric (D.8) can be considered as containing the Penrose parameter (R), so that as $R \to 0$ the Penrose limit is performed and the Nappi-Witten pp-wave is obtained.

If the deformation is done in the opposite direction, $R^2 \to -R^2$, the metric develops a naked singularity (coming in from infinity) as attested by the scalar curvature

$$\mathcal{R} = -2R^2\frac{2R^2\rho^2+5}{(R^2\rho^2-1)^2} \tag{D.13}$$

There are two non-trivial T-dualities acting on the deformed geometry. Dualizing with respect to the coordinate x we obtain flat space

$$d\tilde{s}_x^2 = -dt^2 + (Rdx + d\phi)^2 + dx^2 + d\rho^2 \tag{D.14}$$

with trivial antisymmetric tensor and dilaton. Thus, the fact that the NW pp-wave is T-dual to flat space [3] persists for the whole line of deformations.

Dualizing with respect to ϕ we obtain

$$d\tilde{s}_\theta^2 = -dt^2 + (dx + Rd\phi)^2 + d\rho^2 + \frac{d\phi^2}{\rho^2} \quad , \quad \Phi = -\log\rho \tag{D.15}$$

which is the dual of the two-dimensional flat plane.

This deformation can be generalized to a four dimensional family by also introducing the central currents

$$K = \partial u \quad , \quad \bar{K} = \bar{\partial} u \tag{D.16}$$

$$S_{NW''}(R_{ij}) = S_{NW} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \, \left(\bar{J}, \bar{K}\right) \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} J \\ K \end{pmatrix} \tag{D.17}$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho^2 + R_{11}^2 + R_{21}^2 & 2 + R_{11}R_{12} + R_{21}R_{22} \\ 2 + R_{11}R_{12} + R_{21}R_{22} & R_{12}^2 + R_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{D.18}$$

and a dilaton

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\log\det M \tag{D.19}$$

It reduces to the previous σ-model when $R_{12} = R_{21} = R_{22} = 0$ and $R_{11}^2 \to -1/R^2$. This class of string backgrounds are still not of the pp-wave type.

The other inequivalent set of deformations are generated by the K and P_1 currents. It is convenient here to change coordinates and write the NW σ-model as [3]:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[\partial y^i \bar{\partial} y^i + 2\cos u \bar{\partial} y^1 \partial y^2 + \partial u \bar{\partial} v + \partial v \bar{\partial} u\right] \tag{D.20}$$

which bears a strong similarity to the $SU(2)$ WZW model. This can be used to generate a line of marginal deformations, parameterized by a positive real variable R, given by [43]

$$S(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z \left[\frac{\sin^2(u/2)\ \partial w^1\bar{\partial} w^1 + \cos^2(u/2)(R^2\partial w^2\bar{\partial} w^2 + \partial w^1\bar{\partial} w^2 - \bar{\partial} w^1\partial w^2)}{\cos^2(u/2) + R^2\sin^2(u/2)} + \right. \\ \left. + \partial u\bar{\partial} v + \partial v\bar{\partial} u\right] \tag{D.21}$$

where $w^1 = y^2 - y^1$, $w^2 = y^1 + y^2$ along with a dilaton

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\log\left[\frac{1}{R}\cos^2(u/2) + R\ \sin^2(u/2)\right] + \text{constant} \tag{D.22}$$

Changing coordinates as

$$u = 2x^- \quad , \quad v = x^+ + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\cot(x^-)\ (x^1)^2 - R^2 \tan(x^-)\ (x^2)^2}{\cos^2(x^-) + R^2\sin^2(x^-)} \tag{D.23}$$

$$w^1 = \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2(x^-) + R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}{\sin(x^-)}\ x^1 \quad , \quad w^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2(x^-) + R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}{R\ \cos(x^-)}\ x^2 \tag{D.24}$$

we obtain

$$S(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z\, \big[2\partial x^+\bar\partial x^- + 2\partial x^-\bar\partial x^+ + \partial x^1\bar\partial x^1 + \partial x^2\bar\partial x^2 + \tag{D.25}$$

$$+\frac{[1-2R^2+(1-R^2)\cos(2x^-)](x^1)^2 + R^2[R^2-2+(1-R^2)\cos(2x^-)](x^2)^2}{(\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-))^2}\partial x^-\bar\partial x^- -$$

$$+B_{12}(\partial x^1\bar\partial x^2 - \bar\partial x^1\partial x^2) + B_{-1}(\partial x^-\bar\partial x^1 - \partial x^1\bar\partial x^-) + B_{-2}(\partial x^-\bar\partial x^2 - \partial x^2\bar\partial x^-)\big]$$

with

$$B_{12} = \frac{\cot(x^-)}{R} \tag{D.26}$$

$$B_{-1} = -\frac{R\ x^2}{\cos^2(x^-) + R^2\sin^2(x^-)} \quad , \quad B_{-2} = -\frac{\cot^2(x^-)\ x^1}{R(\cos^2(x^-) + R^2\sin^2(x^-))}$$

and the dilaton becomes

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\log\left[\frac{1}{R}\cos^2(x^-) + R\ \sin^2(x^-)\right] + \text{constant} \tag{D.27}$$

Dropping total derivatives the antisymmetric tensor can be simplified:

$$S(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z\, \big[2\partial x^+\bar\partial x^- + 2\partial x^-\bar\partial x^+ + \partial x^1\bar\partial x^1 + \partial x^2\bar\partial x^2 + \tag{D.28}$$

$$+\frac{[1-2R^2+(1-R^2)\cos(2x^-)](x^1)^2 + R^2[R^2-2+(1-R^2)\cos(2x^-)](x^2)^2}{(\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-))^2}\partial x^-\bar\partial x^- -$$

$$-2\arctan[R\tan(x^-)](\partial x^1\bar\partial x^2 - \bar\partial x^1\partial x^2)\big]$$

This conformal σ-model describes the finite deformation generated by the $\int P_1\bar P_1$ deformation.

Note that there is an $R \to 1/R$ duality of the background fields accompanied by the reparametrization $x^1 \leftrightarrow x^2$ and $x^- \to x^- + \pi/2$. At the ends of the line the theory becomes a direct product of a real line and the coset of the NW theory obtained by gauging P_1 [3].

$$ds^2(R=0) = 4dx^+dx^- + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + 2\frac{(x^1)^2}{\cos^2(x^-)}(dx^-)^2 \tag{D.29}$$

This deformation can be also be generalized to a four-parameter family

$$\begin{aligned}S(R,R_{ij}) = \int \frac{d^2z}{2\pi}\Bigg[&\frac{\sin^2(u/2)\ \partial w^1\bar\partial w^1+\cos^2(u/2)(R^2\partial w^2\bar\partial w^2+\partial w^1\bar\partial w^2-\bar\partial w^1\partial w^2)}{\cos^2(u/2)+R^2\sin^2(u/2)}+ \\ &\frac{R_{12}(\bar\partial u(-\sin^2(u/2)\partial w^1+\cos^2(u/2)\partial w^2)+R_{21}(\partial u(\sin^2(u/2)\bar\partial w^1+\cos^2(u/2)\bar\partial w^2)}{\cos^2(u/2)+R^2\sin^2(u/2)}+ \\ &+R_2^2\partial u\bar\partial u+\partial u\bar\partial v+\partial v\bar\partial u\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{D.30}$$

and the same dilaton as above. Changing again coordinates as

$$u = 2x^- \tag{D.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}v = x^+ &+ \frac{1}{4}\frac{\cot(x^-)\ (x^1)^2 - R^2\tan(x^-)\ (x^2)^2}{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}+ \\ &+\frac{(R_{12}-R_{21})R\sin(x^-)x^1-(R_{12}+R_{21})\cos(x^-)x^2}{2R\sqrt{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}\end{aligned}$$

$$w^1 = \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}{\sin(x^-)}\ x^1 \quad , \quad w^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}{R\ \cos(x^-)}\ x^2 \tag{D.32}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S(R,R_2,R_{12},R_{21}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^2z\,\Big[&2\partial x^+\bar\partial x^- + 2\partial x^-\bar\partial x^+ + \partial x^1\bar\partial x^1+\partial x^2\bar\partial x^2+ \\ &+\left\{\frac{[1-2R^2+(1-R^2)\cos(2x^-)](x^1)^2+R^2[R^2-2+(1-R^2)\cos(2x^-)](x^2)^2}{(\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-))^2}+\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{4(R_{12}-R_{21})\cos(x^-)\ x^1-4(R_{12}+R_{21})\sin(x^-)\ x^2}{[\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)]^{3/2}}+4R_2^2\right\}\partial x^-\bar\partial x^- - \\ &+B_{12}(\partial x^1\bar\partial x^2-\bar\partial x^1\partial x^2)+B_{-1}(\partial x^-\bar\partial x^1-\partial x^1\bar\partial x^-)+B_{-2}(\partial x^-\bar\partial x^2-\partial x^2\bar\partial x^-)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{D.33}$$

with

$$B_{12} = \frac{\cot(x^-)}{R} \tag{D.34}$$

$$B_{-1} = -\frac{R\ x^2}{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}+\frac{2(R_{12}+R_{21})\ \sin(x^-)}{\sqrt{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}$$

$$B_{-2} = -\frac{\cot^2(x^-)\ x^1}{R(\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-))}-\frac{2(R_{12}-R_{21})\ \cos(x^-)}{R\ \sqrt{\cos^2(x^-)+R^2\sin^2(x^-)}}$$

By a gauge transformation on the antisymmetric tensor it can be replaced by

$$B_{12} = -2\arctan[R\tan(x^-)] \tag{D.35}$$

This class of space-times are of the pp-wave type.

References

[1] R. Güven, Phys. Lett. B **191** (1987) 275;
D. Amati and C. Klimcik, Phys. Lett. B **210** (1988) 92; Phys. Lett. B **219** (1989) 443;
H. J. de Vega and N. Sanchez, Nucl. Phys. B **317** (1989) 731;
G. T. Horowitz and A. R. Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 260; Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990) 1950;
A. R. Steif, Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990) 2150;
A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. B **288** (1992) 279 [arXiv:hep-th/9205058]; Nucl. Phys. B **390** (1993) 153 [arXiv:hep-th/9209023]; Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 3421 [arXiv:hep-th/9211061]

[2] C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3751.

[3] E. Kiritsis and C. Kounnas, Phys. Lett. B **320** (1994) 264 [Addendum-ibid. B **325** (1994) 536].

[4] E. Kiritsis, C. Kounnas and D. Lüst, Phys. Lett. B **331** (1994) 321.

[5] C. Klimcik and A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. B **323** (1994) 305 [arXiv:hep-th/9311012];
, Nucl. Phys. B **424** (1994) 71 [arXiv:hep-th/9402120];
K. Sfetsos, **324** (1994) 335 [arXiv:hep-th/9311010]; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 4759 [arXiv:hep-th/9311093];
N. Mohammedi, Phys. Lett. B **325** (1994) 371 [arXiv:hep-th/9312182];
A. Kumar and S. Mahapatra, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **9** (1994) 925 [arXiv:hep-th/9401098];
J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, Phys. Lett. B **327** (1994) 40 [arXiv:hep-th/9402035];
I. Antoniadis and N. A. Obers, Nucl. Phys. B **423** (1994) 639 [arXiv:hep-th/9403191];
K. Sfetsos and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **427** (1994) 245 [arXiv:hep-th/9404063];
O. Jofre and C. Nunez, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 5232 [arXiv:hep-th/9311187].

[6] D. I. Olive, E. Rabinovici and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. B **321** (1994) 361.

[7] A. Hatzinikitas and I. Smyrnakis, [arXiv:hep-th/0303043].

[8] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **448**, 293 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9411099].

[9] P. Forgacs, P. A. Horvathy, Z. Horvath and L. Palla, Heavy Ion Phys. **1** (1995) 65.

[10] R. Gueven, Phys. Lett. B **482** (2000) 255 [arXiv:hep-th/0005061];
M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, arXiv:hep-th/0201081; JHEP **0201**, 047 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110242].

[11] R. R. Metsaev, Nucl. Phys. B **625**, 70 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112044];
R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, [arXiv:hep-th/0202109];
J. Maldacena and L. Maoz, JHEP **0212** (2002) 046 [arXiv:hep-th/0207284];
I. Bakas and J. Sonnenschein, JHEP **0212** (2002) 049 [arXiv:hep-th/0211257];
G. Papadopoulos, J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 969 [arXiv:hep-th/0211289].

[12] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena and H. Nastase, [arXiv:hep-th/0202021].

[13] C. Kristjansen, J. Plefka, G. W. Semenoff and M. Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. B **643** (2002) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0205033];
D. J. Gross, A. Mikhailov and R. Roiban, Annals Phys. **301** (2002) 31 [arXiv:hep-th/0205066]; [arXiv:hep-th/0208231];
N. R. Constable, D. Z. Freedman, M. Headrick, S. Minwalla, L. Motl, A. Postnikov and W. Skiba, JHEP **0207** (2002) 017 [arXiv:hep-th/0205089];
A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, Phys. Lett. B **545** (2002) 425 [arXiv:hep-th/0206079];
N. Beisert, C. Kristjansen, J. Plefka, G. W. Semenoff and M. Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. B **650** (2003) 125 [arXiv:hep-th/0208178];
D. Vaman and H. Verlinde, [arXiv:hep-th/0209215];
J. Pearson, M. Spradlin, D. Vaman, H. Verlinde and A. Volovich, [arXiv:hep-th/0210102];
N. R. Constable, D. Z. Freedman, M. Headrick and S. Minwalla, JHEP **0210** (2002) 068 [arXiv:hep-th/0209002];
N. Beisert, C. Kristjansen, J. Plefka and M. Staudacher, Phys. Lett. B **558** (2003) 229 [arXiv:hep-th/0212269].

[14] M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 086004 [arXiv:hep-th/0204146];JHEP **0301** (2003) 036 [arXiv:hep-th/0206073];
J. H. Schwarz, JHEP **0209** (2002) 058 [arXiv:hep-th/0208179];
A. Pankiewicz, JHEP **0209** (2002) 056 [arXiv:hep-th/0208209];
A. Pankiewicz and B. . Stefanski, Nucl. Phys. B **657** (2003) 79 [arXiv:hep-th/0210246];
Y. H. He, J. H. Schwarz, M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, [arXiv:hep-th/0211198];
P. Di Vecchia, J. L. Petersen, M. Petrini, R. Russo and A. Tanzini, arXiv:hep-th/0304025;
A. Pankiewicz, arXiv:hep-th/0304232.

[15] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz, D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, JHEP **9810** (1998) 004 [arXiv:hep-th/9808149].

[16] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, Nucl. Phys. B **635** (2002) 106 [arXiv:hep-th/0202157].

[17] E. Kiritsis and B. Pioline, JHEP **0208** (2002) 048 [arXiv:hep-th/0204004].

[18] D. Sadri and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, arXiv:hep-th/0304169.

[19] S. R. Das, C. Gomez and S. J. Rey, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 046002 [arXiv:hep-th/0203164];
R. G. Leigh, K. Okuyama and M. Rozali, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 046004 [arXiv:hep-th/0204026];
D. Berenstein and H. Nastase, [arXiv:hep-th/0205048].

[20] A. Giveon, D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 733; [arXiv:hep-th/9806194]. D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, JHEP **9904** (1999) 008; [arXiv:hep-th/9903219]

[21] J. de Boer, H. Ooguri, H. Robins and J. Tannenhauser, JHEP **9812** (1998) 026 [arXiv:hep-th/9812046].

[22] I. Bakas and E. Kiritsis, Nucl. Phys. B **343** (1990) 185 [Erratum-ibid. B **350** (1991) 512]; Mod. Phys. Lett. A **5** (1990) 2039.

[23] L. J. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec and S. H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B **282** (1987) 13.

[24] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **43** (1986) 657 [Yad. Fiz. **43** (1986) 1031].

[25] J. M. Maldacena and H. Ooguri, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 2929; [arXiv:hep-th/0001053].

[26] J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and J. Son, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 2961; [arXiv:hep-th/0005183].

[27] J. M. Maldacena and H. Ooguri, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 106006; [arXiv:hep-th/0111180].

[28] I. Bakas and K. Sfetsos, Nucl. Phys. B **639** (2002) 223; [arXiv:hep-th/0205006];
K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. B **543** (2002) 73; [arXiv:hep-th/0206091].

[29] C. Kounnas, M. Porrati and B. Rostand, Phys. Lett. **B258** (1991) 61;
C.G. Callan, J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B367** (1991) 60; [arXiv:hep-th/9112030].

[30] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B **371** (1992) 269.

[31] W. Miller Jr., "Lie Theory and Special functions", Academic Press, NY 1968;
N. Ja. Vilenkin, "Fonctions Spéciales et Théorie de la Représentation des Groupes", Dunod, Paris 1969.

[32] V. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, Nucl. Phys. B **240** (1984) 312; Nucl. Phys. B **251** (1985) 691.

[33] V. S. Dotsenko, Nucl. Phys. B **358** (1991) 547.

[34] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B **247** (1984) 83.

[35] M. R. Gaberdiel, Nucl. Phys. B **618** (2001) 407; [arXiv:hep-th/0105046].

[36] J. Teschner, *Crossing symmetry in the H(3)+ WZNW model*, Phys. Lett. B **521** (2001) 127;
B. Ponsot, *Monodromy of solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation: SL(2,C)/SU(2) WZNW model*, Nucl. Phys. B **642** (2002) 114.

[37] G. Arutyunov and E. Sokatchev, JHEP **0208** (2002) 014 [arXiv:hep-th/0205270].

[38] K. Sfetsos, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 2784 [arXiv:hep-th/9402031];

[39] A. A. Kehagias and P. A. Meessen, Phys. Lett. B **331**, 77 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9403041].

[40] D. s. Bak and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, JHEP **0302** (2003) 019 [arXiv:hep-th/0211073].

[41] S. Stanciu and A. A. Tseytlin, JHEP **9806** (1998) 010 [arXiv:hep-th/9805006];
J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, JHEP **0001** (2000) 024 [arXiv:hep-th/9909164];
O. Bergman, M. R. Gaberdiel and M. B. Green, [arXiv:hep-th/0205183];
S. Stanciu and J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, [arXiv:hep-th/0303212];
Y. Hikida, H. Takayanagi and T. Takayanagi, [arXiv:hep-th/0303214].

[42] E. Kiritsis, Nucl. Phys. B **405** (1993) 109 [arXiv:hep-th/9302033].

[43] A. Giveon and E. Kiritsis, Nucl. Phys. B **411** (1994) 487 [arXiv:hep-th/9303016].

[44] J. Maldacena, J. Michelson and A. Strominger, JHEP **9902** (1999) 011 [arXiv:hep-th/9812073],
N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9904** (1999) 017 [arXiv:hep-th/9903224].